Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect



Journal of Fluorine Chemistry



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/fluor

Short Communication

Synthesis and structure of a bis-trifluoromethylthiolate complex of nickel

Cheng-Pan Zhang^a, William W. Brennessel^b, David A. Vicic^{a,*}

^a Department of Chemistry, University of Hawaii, 2545 McCarthy Mall, Honolulu, HI 96822, USA
^b The X-ray Crystallographic Facility, Department of Chemistry, University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14627, USA

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 16 April 2012 Received in revised form 27 April 2012 Accepted 1 May 2012 Available online 8 May 2012

Keywords: Sulfur Nickel Organometallic Fluorine Reaction of $[(dippe)NiI_2](dippe = 1,2-bis(diisopropylphosphino)ethane) with excess <math>[NMe_4][SCF_3]$ led to the formation of $[(dippe)Ni(SCF_3)_2]$ (1) in 92% isolated yield. Complex 1 has been structurally characterized, and the data provides the first experimentally determined bond parameters of a nickeltrifluoromethylthiolate complex. The molecule lies on a two-fold axis, with nickel-phosphorus bonds of 2.1828(4) Å and nickel-sulfur bonds of 2.2395(4) Å. Density functional theory (DFT) calculations predict that the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) is sulfur-centered and the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) is nickel-centered.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The SCF₃ functional group has become increasingly important in the agrochemical and pharmaceutical fields.[1] Its extremely high Hansch lipophilicity parameter ($\pi = 1.44$)[2] makes the SCF₃ group appealing for the design of new molecules capable of crossing lipid membranes. Although a variety of novel methods exist to incorporate an SCF₃ group into organic substrates, [1a] only recently have metal-catalyzed SCF₃-coupling processes begun to emerge. Three recent breakthroughs in metal-catalyzed trifluoromethylthiolation are shown in Eqs. (1)-(3). A palladium complex bearing the bulky Brettphos ligand was found to mediate the crosscoupling of aryl bromides with $AgSCF_3$ as described in Eq. (1) [1c]. The employment of the silver trifluoromethylthiolate reagent was necessitated by the fact that the cross-couplings occur at elevated temperatures. Aryl chlorides were not amenable to the conditions described in Eq. (1). Since aryl chlorides can be catalytically converted to aryl boronic acids [3], the copper-catalyzed oxidative trifluoromethylthiolation reaction described in Eq. (2) offers a nice alternative approach to the formation of aryl trifluoromethyl sulfides [1d]. However, this approach also employs the use of stoichiometric amounts of silver salts. The use of a simple nickel bipyridine system described in Eq. (3) (dmbpy = 4,4'-dimethoxybipyridine) allows for the cross-coupling of aryl iodides and bromides to occur under room temperature conditions with the convenient [NMe₄][SCF₃] reagent [4] and avoids the use of silver salts altogether [1b].

Ar-SCF₂

(1)

Ph(Et)₃NI AgSCF₃ toluene, 2h, 80 °C

Ar-Br

$$Ar-B(OH)_{2} + S_{8} \xrightarrow{phen (cat.)} Ar-SCF_{3} (2)(2)$$

$$+ Me_{3}SiCF_{3} + Me_{3}SiCF_{3} \xrightarrow{K_{3}PO_{4}, Ag_{2}CO_{3}, DMF} molecular sieves, RT$$

$$Ar-X + [NMe_4][SCF_3] \xrightarrow{Ni(COD)_2 (cat.)}{THF, RT} Ar-SCF_3 (3) (3)$$

Because of the promising reactivity of the nickel system, we became interested in obtaining experimental structural parameters of a nickel–SCF₃ bond. Attempts to prepare (bipyridine)Ni trifluoromethylthiolate complexes related to the catalytically active species in Eq. (3) have thus far not yielded any successful results, so we turned our attention to other ligands which might be able to support trifluoromethylthiolate bonding. One ligand that

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail address: vicic@hawaii.edu (D.A. Vicic).

^{0022-1139/\$ -} see front matter © 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfluchem.2012.05.003

we targeted was dippe. Many studies have focused on using the [(dippe)Ni] fragment as active desulfurization agents, so many structurally characterized [(dippe)Ni] compounds exist with nickel–sulfur bonds [5]. Since this collection of structures is very attractive for comparative purposes, efforts turned toward preparing [(dippe)Ni(SCF₃)₂] (**1**).

2. Results and discussion

It was found that reaction of $[(dippe)NiI_2]$ [6] with excess $[NMe_4][SCF_3]$ led to the formation of **1** in 92% isolated yield. The ¹⁹F NMR spectrum (CD₂Cl₂) of **1** displays a singlet at δ –19.9, indicating the presence of equivalent SCF₃ groups. The ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum (CD₂Cl₂) clearly showed the presence of the SCF₃ carbon atom as a quartet at δ 135.0 with J_{C-F} = 304 Hz. X-ray quality crystals of **1** could be grown by layering a THF solution of **1** with pentane, and the X-ray structure (Fig. 1) is consistent with the symmetric geometry observed in solution phase. Details of the data collection are summarized in Table 1. The molecule lies along a crystallographic two-fold axis which includes the nickel atom. The twist angle between P-Ni-P and S-Ni-S planes is 11.69(2) degrees. Interestingly, the nickel-phosphorus and nickel-sulfur bond distances are both slightly longer than those for the known [(dippe)Ni(S₂C₂H₄)] (**2**) and [(dippe)Ni(SAr)₂)] (**3**, Table 2).

DFT calculations were performed in order to determine the optimized bond lengths and angles for **1** in the gas phase, and the results are provided in Table 2. Calculations predict a slightly more elongated nickel–phosphorus bond and slightly contracted nickel–sulfur bonds. The HOMO, HOMO-1, and LUMO of **1** were also evaluated computationally, and the results are depicted in Fig. 2. The largest molecular orbital coefficients (Mulliken) for both the HOMO and HOMO-1 of **1** were sulfur-centered, while those for the LUMO were dominated by the nickel atom. The HOMO and HOMO-1

Table 1
Crystal data and refinement parameters for (dippe)Ni(SCF ₃) ₂ [7].

Compound	1	
Chemical formula	C ₁₆ H ₃₂ F ₆ NiP ₂ S ₂	
Formula weight	523.19	
Crystal dimensions (mm)	$0.20 \times 0.18 \times 0.12$	
Color, habit	Orange, block	
Crystal system	Monoclinic	
Temperature	100.0(5)K	
Wavelength (Å)	0.71070	
Space group (Z)	C2/c, 4	
a (Å)	9.1592(9)	
b (Å)	17.3494(16)	
<i>c</i> , (Å)	14.4664(14)	
α (°)	90	
$oldsymbol{eta}$ (°)	94.703(2)	
γ(°)	90	
vol, (Å ³)	2291.1(4)	
$\rho_{\rm calc} ({\rm mg/m^3})$	1.517	
R indices $[I > 2 \text{ sigma}(I)]$	$R_1 = 0.0575$	
	$wR_2 = 0.1538$	
R indices [all data]	$R_1 = 0.0728$	
	$wR_2 = 0.1652$	
Goodness of fit	1.080	
θ Range (°)	2.35-37.78	
Number of data collected	33,333	
Number of unique data	6103	
R _{int}	0.0650	
Absorption correction	Multi-scan	
Data/restraints/parameters	6103/0/127	
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.043	
Final R indices $[I > 2$ sigma $(I)]$	$R_1 = 0.0392$	
	$wR_2 = 0.0843$	
R indices	$R_1 = 0.0392$	
(All data)	$wR_2 = 0.0843$	



Fig. 1. ORTEP diagram of $[(dippe)Ni(SCF_3)_2]$ (1). Ellipsoids shown at the 50% level. Hydrogen atoms are removed for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å): F1-C8 1.3443(19); F2-C8 1.3447(19); F3-C8 1.3576(17). Selected bond angles (°): F(1)-C(8)-F(2) 105.09(13); F(1)-C(8)-F(3) 105.64(13); F(2)-C(8)-F(3) 104.58(12); F(1)-C(8)-S(1) 114.73(11); F(2)-C(8)-S(1) 115.63(11); F(3)-C(8)-S(1) 110.23(10).

contributions came largely from the two sulfur's p_x and p_y orbitals, respectively. The largest molecular orbital coefficients (Mulliken) for the LUMO of **1** were dominated by the nickel d_{yz} orbital with slight contributions from the d_{xy} . Charge distributions (Fig. 2) show that the sulfur atoms bear a negative charge that is not as large in magnitude as those on the fluorine. This distribution is in contrast to that recently reported for [(SIPr)Cu(OCF₃)] (SIPr = *N*,*N*'-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)-4,5-dihydroimidazol-2-ylidene) [8], in which the oxygen atom bore the highest charge of -0.87e while that of the fluorines were calculated to be -0.39, -0.38, and -0.41e. The carbon atom of the SCF₃ group in **1** was also found to have a less positive charge (0.93e) than that in [(SIPr)Cu(OCF₃)] (1.32e).

Table 2

Experimental and calculated bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) in selected compounds.



Bond length or angle	Complex 1 expt.	Complex 1 calc.	Complex 2 expt. [5a]	Complex 3 expt. [5f]
Ni-P1	2.1828(4)	2.223	2.156(2)	2.1728(7)
Ni-P2	2.1828(4)	2.223	2.154(2)	2.1831(7)
Ni-S1	2.2395(4)	2.272	2.179(2)	2.2298(7)
Ni-S2	2.2395(4)	2.273	2.181(2)	2.2252(7)
C1-S1	1.7685(15)	1.800	1.820(7)	1.762(3)
C2-S2	1.7685(15)	1.800	1.810(8)	1.775(2)
S1-Ni-S2	96.66(2)	95.56	93.41(8)	98.16(3)
P1-Ni-P2	87.87(2)	88.39	89.17(7)	88.60(3)
P1-Ni-S1	88.354(14)	88.57	90.54(8)	86.06(3)
P1-Ni-S2	170.508(13)	171.33	165.19(8)	174.84(3)
P2-Ni-S1	170.508(13)	171.33	164.80(9)	172.58(3)
P2-Ni-S2	88.3354(14)	88.57	90.70(8)	87.46(3)

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1314639

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1314639

Daneshyari.com