ELSEVIER



Journal of Solid State Chemistry



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jssc

# Crystal structure of Ba<sub>2</sub>InTaO<sub>6</sub> as determined by the Rietveld refinement

## T.S. Hammink, W.T. Fu\*, D.J.W. IJdo

Leiden Institute of Chemistry, Gorlaeus Laboratories, Leiden University, P.O. Box 9502, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

#### ARTICLE INFO

### ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 15 October 2010 Received in revised form 20 January 2011 Accepted 11 February 2011 Available online 19 February 2011

Keywords: Crystal structure and symmetry Perovskites X-ray diffraction

#### 1. Introduction

In the past years the crystal structures of double perovskites with the formula  $Ba_2Ln(III)M(V)O_6$ , in which *Ln* is a trivalent lanthanide or Y and *M* is a pentavalent metal cation, have been extensively studied. The relationship between the structure and the tolerance factor (*t*), defined as

$$t = \frac{(r_{\text{Ba}} + r_0)}{\sqrt{2(\overline{r}_{(\text{Ln},M)} + r_0)}}$$

with  $\overline{r}_{(Ln,M)}$  being the averaged ionic radius of the *Ln* and *M* cations, follows the trends: (i) The cubic  $Fm\overline{3}m$  (tilt system  $a^0a^0a^{0}$ ) structure occurs with the tolerance factor *t* being above about 0.98. (ii) When *t* is approximately between 0.96 and 0.98, the double perovskites adopt either the rhombohedral  $R\overline{3}$  (tilt system  $a^-a^-a^-$ ) or the tetragonal *I*4/*m* (tilt system  $a^0a^0c^-$ ) structure. (iii) With even lower *t*, the monoclinic *I*2/*m* structure (tilt system  $a^0b^-b^-$ ) is found. (iv) At room temperature all tilt systems occurred in Ba<sub>2</sub>*LnMO*<sub>6</sub> contain only the out-of-phase tilting of the octahedra about the two-, three- and four-fold axis of the cubic aristotype.

Several other tilt systems have also been reported for the  $Ba_2Ln(III)M(V)O_6$ -type double perovskites. One particular example is the tilt system  $a^0a^0c^+$  of the space group P4/mnc, first reported for  $Ba_2PrIrO_6$  [1]. In contrast to the commonly observed tilt system  $a^0a^0c^-$  (I4/m), the P4/mnc structure contains in-phase tilting between the adjacent octahedra about the four-fold axis of the cubic aristotype. However, the re-examination of the crystal structure of  $Ba_2PrIrO_6$  using X-ray powder diffraction data [2]

E-mail address: w.fu@chem.leidenuniv.nl (W.T. Fu).

0022-4596/\$ - see front matter  $\circledcirc$  2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jssc.2011.02.011

scopy, we find no evidence that  $Ba_2InTaO_6$  adopts the tetragonal space group P4/mnc of the tilt system  $a^0a^0c^+$ . Instead, it has cubic symmetry with the space group  $Fm\bar{3}m$  in the temperature range 82–723 K. In  $Ba_2InTaO_6$  the ordering between In(III) and Ta(V) cations is a difficult process requiring prolonged heat treatment at high temperature. © 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Rietveld profile analysis of X-ray diffraction data was carried out to determine the symmetry and

crystal structures of the double perovskite Ba<sub>2</sub>InTaO<sub>6</sub>. Contrary to a recent study of Raman spectro-

disproved this assignment showing that it is a double cubic perovskite instead. The same result has also been observed by a recent investigation using high-resolution time-of-flight neutron powder diffraction technique [3].

Similarly,  $Ba_2InTaO_6$ , with a tolerance factor t=1.004, was previously reported to be cubic having the space group  $Fm\overline{3}m$  [4,5]. However, a recent paper by Dias et al. [6], using Raman spectroscopy, shows that Ba<sub>2</sub>InTaO<sub>6</sub> is not cubic since the Raman spectrum presents more than four Raman-active modes predicted by the space group  $Fm\overline{3}m$ . Therefore, they assigned the space group P4/mnc for this compound, and fitted the observed Raman spectrum with 14 Lorentzian lines. Although the fit they show may seem to account for the observed Raman data, doubts are cast on the validity of their assignment. First, the choice of the space group P4/mnc is somewhat arbitrary; no systematic comparison among the other possible tilt systems is given except for the tilt system  $a^0a^0c^-$  (I4/m). Second, it is questionable whether the diffuse-like Raman spectrum between 130 and 310 cm<sup>-1</sup> can be described in terms of six phonon modes whereas the similar kinks, e.g. at  $\sim$ 425 and  $\sim$ 600 cm<sup>-1</sup>, etc., are neglected. Third, while Raman spectroscopy may be sensitive to (local) symmetry, it does not provide full description of the crystal structure. It is uncommon to determine the space group of a compound based on the Raman spectroscopy alone.

The structural details of the double perovskites  $Ba_2LnTaO_6$  (Ln=lanthanide and Y) have recently been reported [7]. At room temperature, three structures were identified\*: monoclinic I2/m for Ln=La-Nd (t=0.952-0.962); tetragonal I4/m for Ln=Eu-Dy (t=0.97 to -0.978); cubic  $Fm\overline{3}m$  for Ln=Ho-Lu and Y (0.981-0.99).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Fax: +31 71 5274537.

<sup>\*</sup>  $Ba_2SmTaO_6$  (t=0.968) was found to be a mixture of the I2/m and I4/m phases at room temperature [7].

Further, the cubic phase, e.g. Ba<sub>2</sub>YTaO<sub>6</sub> [8] and Ba<sub>2</sub>HoTaO<sub>6</sub> [9], undergoes the phase transition to the tetragonal *I*4/*m* structure at low temperature (T=253 and 260 K, respectively). The ionic radius of In(III) (0.80 Å) is somewhat smaller than that of Lu(III) (0.861 Å), but the tolerance factor of  $Ba_2InTaO_6$  (t=1.004) is just about equal to the unity. Therefore, it is an interesting question of whether the reported tetragonal space group P4/mnc indeed occurs in this compound, and, if it does, the phase sequence in the Ba<sub>2</sub>LnTaO<sub>6</sub> series would be  $I2/m \rightarrow I4/m \rightarrow Fm\overline{3}m \rightarrow P4/mnc$ with decreasing the size of trivalent cations. Furthermore, the tetragonal Ba<sub>2</sub>InTaO<sub>6</sub> is expected to become cubic at high temperature. The phase transition,  $P4/mnc \rightarrow Fm\overline{3}m$ , is then different from the one observed in Ba<sub>2</sub>YTaO<sub>6</sub> and Ba<sub>2</sub>HoTaO<sub>6</sub> ( $I4/m \rightarrow$  $Fm\overline{3}m$ ) with increasing temperature. To clear these questions, we carried out a detailed analysis of X-ray powder diffraction data recorded in the temperature range between 82 and 723 K. In this paper, we confirm that the symmetry of Ba<sub>2</sub>InTaO<sub>6</sub> is cubic. No evidence has been found that it adopts the tilt system  $a^0a^0c^+$  at the temperature range investigated.

#### 2. Experimental

Samples of Ba<sub>2</sub>InTaO<sub>6</sub> were prepared from BaCO<sub>3</sub>, In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> or In(OH)<sub>3</sub> and Ta<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> in alumina crucibles using the standard solidstate reaction. The thoroughly ground mixtures were first heated at 900 °C overnight. The resultant powders were then reground and sintered at 1400 °C for 24 h. However, an initial X-ray diffraction has shown the ordering lines are quite diffuse with weak intensity. The sintering temperature was raised to 1500 °C and the samples were kept at this temperature for several cycles of 12 h, with intermediate grindings, until the intensity of the ordering lines no longer changes. All synthesises were carried out in air and the samples were furnace cooled to room temperature with cooling rate of about 5 °C/min.

X-ray powder diffraction data were collected with a Philips X'Pert diffractometer, equipped with the X'celerator, using CuK $\alpha$  radiations, in steps of 0.02° (2 $\theta$ ) and 10 s counting time in the range  $15^{\circ} < 2\theta < 140^{\circ}$ . For non-ambient X-ray diffraction, an Anton Paar TTK 450 chamber was used with direct sample cooling/heating in the temperature range between 80 and 723 K and a temperature stability of ~0.1 K. The model refinements were performed by the Rietveld method using the Rietica computer program [10]. The Chebyshev-polynomial function with 12 parameters was used to fit the background. The profiles were described by a Pseudo-Voigt function.

#### 3. Results

X-ray powder diffraction pattern of Ba<sub>2</sub>InTaO<sub>6</sub> at room temperature is shown in Fig. 1. It is easily recognized as an ordered perovskite from the substantial intensity of the ordering lines (indicated by arrows). However, the degree of order between octahedral site cations depends on both the sintering temperature and the sintering time. The inset in Fig. 1 shows the evolution of the superlattice line at  $2\theta \approx 18.5^{\circ}$ , indexed as (111) in a double cubic cell, as compared with the basic (100) reflection ( $2\theta \approx 21.5^{\circ}$ ). The intensity of the superlattice reflection increases with both the sintering temperature and time, suggesting that the cation ordering is controlled by kinetics rather than thermodynamics. The similar phenomenon has been observed previously in the related double perovskite Sr<sub>2</sub>AlTaO<sub>6</sub> [11].

The space groups reported thus far for  $Ba_2InTaO_6$  are  $Fm\overline{3}m$  [4,5] and P4/mnc [6], respectively. To identify the correct one, we have examined the splitting of some reflections which are



**Fig. 1.** X-ray powder diffraction pattern of Ba<sub>2</sub>InTaO<sub>6</sub> at room temperature. Arrows indicate the superlattice reflections due to *B*-cation ordering. Inset shows the evolution of the ordering line at  $2\theta \approx 18.5^{\circ}$  as compared to the basic (100) reflection ( $2\theta \approx 21.5^{\circ}$ ). The sintering conditions are (a) 1400 °C, 24 h; (b) 1400 °C, 24 h+1500 °C, 12 h; (c) 1400 °C, 24 h+1500 °C, 24 h



**Fig. 2.** Enlarged sections showing the basic (222) and (400) reflections of  $Ba_2 lnTaO_6$  at room temperature. Insert shows the evolution of these reflections as function of temperature.

sensitive to the crystal symmetry. In Fig. 2, we plotted the basic (222) and (400) reflections. If P4/mnc is the true space group, these reflections should appear as singlet and doublet, respectively. It is not the case: both reflections are seen as single line except the obvious  $K\alpha_1$  and  $K\alpha_2$  splitting. In fact, none of the measured reflections shows apparent splitting. To see whether the non-observable peak splitting might be due to a too small tetragonal distortion, we closely examined the values of the fullwidth at half-maxima (FWHM) by fitting the experimental data with the pseudo-Voigt function. This analysis does not reveal any deviation from a cubic symmetry. For example, the fitted FWHMvalues  $(\varDelta_{2\theta})$  for the basic (310)  $(2\theta \approx 72^\circ)$ , (222)  $(2\theta \approx 80^\circ)$ , (321)  $(2\theta \approx 88.5^{\circ})$  and (400)  $(2\theta \approx 96.4^{\circ})$  reflections are 0.084°, 0.092°,  $0.098^{\circ}$  and  $0.106^{\circ}$ , respectively. In the space group P4/mnc, those reflections would be seen as triplet, singlet, triplet and doublet. The quasi linear behavior of  $\Delta_{2\theta}$  as function of  $2\theta$  is typically the angle-dependent FWHMs showing that the basic reflections are not split. The fact that Ba<sub>2</sub>InTaO<sub>6</sub> is unlikely to adopts the space group P4/mnc can also be seen from the X-ray diffraction data taken at non-ambient temperature. Suppose that Ba<sub>2</sub>InTaO<sub>6</sub> is tetragonal at room temperature [6], the distortion is expected to be larger at low temperature and becomes smaller or eventually disappears at high temperature [8,9]. The evolution of the basic (222) and (400) reflections as function of temperature is shown in Fig. 2 as inset. Clearly, their profiles remain unchanged on cooling and on heating. The fitted FWHM-values are 0.0924(3)°

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1330914

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1330914

Daneshyari.com