Polyhedron 118 (2016) 52-60

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Polyhedron

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/poly

Synthesis, X-ray structures, and characterization of hexafluoro-*iso*-propoxide group 3 and lanthanide precursors

Timothy J. Boyle^{d,*}, Michael L. Neville^d, Jeremiah M. Sears^d, Roger E. Cramer^a, Mark A. Rodriguez^b, Todd M. Alam^c, Samuel P. Bingham^d

^a University of Hawaii – Manoa, Department of Chemistry, 2545 McCarthy Mall, Honolulu, HI 96822-2275, Unites States

^b Sandia National Laboratories, P.O. Box 5800, Albuquerque, NM 87185-1411, Unites States

^c Sandia National Laboratories, P.O. Box 5800, Albuquerque, NM 87185-0886, Unites States

^d Sandia National Laboratories, Advanced Materials Laboratory, 1001 University Boulevard, SE, Albuquerque, NM 87106, Unites States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 4 June 2016 Accepted 22 July 2016 Available online 29 July 2016

Keywords: Alkoxide Fluorinated Lanthanides Hexafluoro-*iso*-propoxide Lanthanide

ABSTRACT

A series of hydrated hexafluoro-*iso*-propoxide (hfip) lanthanide complexes was synthesized from the amide-alkoxide exchange reaction of the lanthanide *bis*-trimethylsilyl amide dissolved in toluene and an excess amount of H-hfip. The products were isolated and identified by single crystal X-ray diffraction as: $[cis-(H_2O)_2(hfip)_2Ln(\mu-hfip)]_2$ (Ln = **Ce**, **Pr**, **Nd**, **Eu**, **Gd**, **Tb**, **Dy**, **Ho**, **Er**, **Tm**, **Yb**, **Lu**, **Y**), $[trans-(H_2O)_2(hfip)_2Sc(\mu-hfip)]_2$ (**Sc**), $[(H_2O)_2(hfip)_2La_2(\mu-hfip)_3(\mu_3-OH)]_2$ (**La-OH**), and $[(H_2O)(hfip)_2Sc(\mu-hfip)]$ (μ -OH)(μ_3 -OH)Sc(H_2O)(hfip)]_2 (**Sc**-OH). All species were found to have bound H₂O molecules thought to be present in the hfip. For the non-oxo species, dinuclear species were formed with one bridging and two terminal hfip ligands. The waters were *cis*-oriented for all samples but the smallest derivative, **Sc**, where they were located in *trans* arrangement. Oxo species were formed by 'aged' hfip and generated tetranuclear species (**La-OH** and **Sc-OH**) that possessed different ligand sets. Initial efforts to determine these compounds utility for LnF₃ nanomaterial production were undertaken using amine solvent in solvothermal (SOLVO) or solution precipitation (SPPT) routes. LnF₃ phases were noted but often this was mixed with the Ln(O,F) or Ln₂O₃ phases.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Metal alkoxides $([M(OR)_x])$ have found widespread utility as precursors to metal oxide ceramic materials. This is usually due to their high solubility, high volatility, and ability to cross-link forming extended networks upon exposure to ambient water. Several efforts have clearly shown the connection between the structure of the $[M(OR)_x]$ precursor and the final properties obtained [1–7]. Unfortunately, the large radii to small charge of the OR ligand, the high donor activity of the oxygen atom, and low steric hindrance of the pendant carbon chain results in structures of $[M(OR)_x]$ that are often different than a priori predicted [5–9]. Typically, these characteristics result in larger structures with higher vapor pressures and lower solubility. Fluorinated alkoxide ligands can overcome some of these issues, since they yield decreased M–O interaction through the electron withdrawing nature of the pendant CF_x groups and M-F interactions that can occur, which leads to lower nuclearity compounds with higher volatility and increased stability to hydrolysis [6,10–13]. Unfortunately for ceramic oxide production, upon conversion, fluoride retention is often observed; however, this 'detrimental' F-retention has been successfully exploited for the production of fluoride based materials [10].

In particular, lanthanum fluoride (LaF₃), has shown some laboratory success as a F⁻ ion detector [14,15]. For this work we were interested in exploration of nano-LaF₃ as a sensor for tracers in 'hot rock' sub-stratus, water injected, geothermal power (GTP) applications [16–18]. While numerous F-ligated species have been successfully exploited (i.e., alkoxides, carboxylates, β-diketonates, etc) for the production of F-containing materials [10], this report details on the synthesis and characterization of a series of previously unreported species, hydrated lanthanide hexafluoro *iso*-propoxide ([(H₂O)₂Ln(hfip)₃]_n) derivatives. These hfip ligated species are of interest due to the increased flexibility of chemistry, structures, and modifications available with these monodentate ligands compared to the polydentate fluorinated carboxylate, β-diketonates, etc. ligands that have been previously exploited [10].

After numerous attempts using a variety of Ln-based precursors under a variety of conditions, the $[(H_2O)_2Ln(hfip)_3]_n$ species were





CrossMark

^{*} Corresponding author. Fax: +1 (505)272 7336. E-mail address: tjboyle@Sandia.gov (T.J. Boyle).

synthesized from the reaction of lanthanide *bis*-trimethylsilyl amide $[Ln(NR_2)_3]_n$ where $R = Si(CH_3)_3$ with excess H-hfip (or H-OCH(CF_3)_2) in toluene (Eq. (1)). The products were isolated and identified by single crystal X-ray diffraction as $[cis-(H_2O)_2(hfip)_2-Ln(\mu-hfip)]_2$ (Ln = Ce, Pr, Nd, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Yb, Lu, Y), $[trans-(H_2O)_2(hfip)_2Sc(\mu-hfip)]_2$ (Sc), $[(H_2O)_2(hfip)_2La_2(\mu-hfip)_3-(\mu_3-OH)]_2$ (La-OH), and $[(H_2O)(hfip)_2Sc(\mu-hfip))(\mu-OH)(\mu_3-OH)Sc(H_2O)(hfip)]_2$ (Sc-OH). In our hands, the Sm derivative could not be isolated. Select species from this novel family of compounds were used to characterize and survey the utility of these compounds as precursors to LnF₃ nanomaterials under solvothermal (SOLVO) and solution precipitation (SPPT) conditions.

$$[Ln(NR_2)_3] + (xs) H - hfip \xrightarrow{\text{tol}} [(H_2O)_2(hfip)_2Ln(\mu - hfip)]_2 + 3H - NR_2$$
(1)

2. Material and methods

All compounds described below were handled with rigorous exclusion of air and water using standard Schlenk line and glove box techniques, unless otherwise noted. Analytical data were collected on freshly dried crystalline samples. All anhydrous solvents, ammonium chloride [(NH₄)Cl], potassium *bis*-trimethylsily-lamide [KNR₂], ethylenediamine, tetra-acetic acid disodium salt di-hydrate (EDTA), xylenol orange, hexamethylenetetramine (HMTA) buffer, and H-hfip were used as received (Aldrich and Alfa Aesar). LnCl₃ were either purchased (Aldrich and Alfa Aesar) or synthesized directly from the metal [19,20] with (aq)HCl, followed by dehydration using (NH₄)Cl [21]. [Ln(NR₂)₃] were synthesized according to literature reports from the reaction of LnCl₃ and 3 equivalents of KNR₂ [19]. Complexometric titrations were conducted according to literature reports using EDTA, HMTA, and a xylenol orange indicator [22].

Crystalline materials were used for all analytical analyses. FTIR data were collected on samples in pressed KBR pellets using a Nicolet 6700 FTIR spectrometer under a flowing atmosphere of nitrogen. The solid-state ¹⁹F magic angle spinning (MAS) NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker Avance-I 400 NMR spectrometer at an observed frequency 376.48 MHz using a 2.5 mm rotor spinning at 20 kHz. The 1D MAS spectra were obtained using a rotor-synchronized Hahn Echo with the chemical shift referenced to the secondary external standard PTFE (δ = -122 ppm) with respect to CFCl₃ δ = 0 ppm.

Solution spectra were collected on a Bruker Avance 500 NMR spectrometer under standard experimental conditions: ¹H analysis was performed with a 4-s recycle delay at 16 scans and spectra were referenced to the CDCl₃ peak at 7.24 ppm; ¹³C analysis was performed with a 10-s recycle delay at a minimum of 64 scans and spectra were referenced to the CDCl₃ peak at 77.0 ppm; The ¹⁹F NMR spectra were obtained using a 5 s recycle delay, a sweep width of 400 ppm, with the chemical shift referenced to the external secondary standard CFCl3 δ = 0.0 ppm.

2.1. General Synthesis

In an argon filled glovebox, [Ln(NR₂)₃] was dissolved in toluene and an excess amount of H-hfip was added via pipette, until the reaction mixture stopped effervescing and became clear. After this time, the reaction was set aside with the cap loose until crystals formed, typically within a 12 h period. Yields reported are for the first batch isolated and were not optimized.

2.2. $[cis-(H_2O)_2(hfip)_2Ln(\mu-hfip)_2]_2$

2.2.1. Се

Used $[Ce(NR_2)_3]$ (0.50 g, 0.80 mmol) in ~0.75 mL tol. Added ~3 mL H-hfip. Yield 50% (0.27 g). FTIR (KBr, cm⁻¹) 3405(w), 3318 (w), 2964(w), 2923(w), 2896(w), 1372(m), 1283(m), 1228(s), 1181(s), 1092(s), 889(m), 846(m), 743(m), 687(m), 652(w), 520 (m). Complx. Titr. for $C_9H_3CeF_{18}O_3$ (MW = 641.21)%Ce: Calc. 21.8%. Found 21.5%.

2.2.2. Pr

Used [Pr(NR₂)₃] (0.50 g, 0.96 mmol) in ~0.75 mL tol. Added ~3 mL H-hfip. Yield 55% (0.29 g). FTIR (KBr, cm⁻¹) 3406(w), 3314 (w), 2963(w), 2927(w), 2865(w), 1373(m), 1285(m), 1213(s), 1179(s), 1089(s), 888(m), 846(m), 742(m), 687(m), 648(w), 520 (m). Complx. Titr. for C₉H₃F₁₈O₃Pr (MW = 646.03)%Pr: Calc. 22.0%. Found 22.0%.

2.2.3. Nd

Used [Nd(NR₂)₃] (0.50 g, 0.80 mmol) in ~0.75 mL tol. Added ~3 mL H-hfip. Yield 24% (0.13 g). FTIR (KBr, cm⁻¹) 3404(w), 3317 (w), 2962(w), 2926(w), 2860(w), 2723(w), 2686(w), 1374(m), 1286(m), 1260(s), 1216(s), 1174(s), 1129(s), 1088(s), 885(m), 845 (m), 742(m), 686(m), 655(w), 643 (w), 520(m). Complx. Titr. for C₉-H₃F₁₈NdO₃ (MW = 645.33, 0 H₂O)%Nd: Calc. 22.4%. Found 22.2%.

2.2.4. Eu

Used $[Eu(NR_2)_3]$ (0.55 g, 0.87 mmol) in ~0.75 mL tol. Added 3 mL H-hfip. Yield 42% (0.25 g). FTIR (KBr, cm⁻¹) 3407(w), 2962(w), 2926(w), 2858(w), 1374(m), 1284(m), 1257(s,sh), 1213 (s), 1177(s), 1090(s), 887(m), 845(m), 800(m), 742(m), 687(m), 615 (w). Complx. Titr. for C₉H₃EuF₁₈O₃ (MW = 653.06, 0 H₂O)% Eu: Calc. 23.3%. Found: 23.5%.

2.2.5. Gd

2.2.6. Tb

Used $[Tb(NR_2)_3]$ (1.0 g, 1.6 mmol) in ~1.5 mL tol. Added ~6 mL H-hfip. Yield 32% (0.35 g). FTIR (KBr, cm⁻¹) 3405(w), 3313(w), 3181(w), 2870(w), 2732(w), 2687(w), 1379(m), 1301(s), 1177(s), 1247(s), 1209(s), 1158(s), 1105(s), 889(m), 855(sh), 845(m), 743 (m), 687(m), 654(w), 631 (w), 525(m). Complx. Titr. for $C_9H_3F_{18}O_3$ -Tb (MW = 660.02, 0 H₂O)%Tb: Calc. 24.1%. Found: 24.4%.

2.2.7. Dy

Used $[Dy(NR_2)_3]$ (0.50 g, 0.77 mmol) in ~0.75 mL tol. Added ~3 mL H-hfip. Yield 57% (0.31 g). FTIR (KBr, cm⁻¹) 3293(w), 2962 (w), 2927(w), 2873(w), 2858(w,sh), 1375(m), 1286(m), 1257(m, sh), 1206(s), 1180(s), 1124(s), 1903(s), 891(m), 855(sh), 845(m), 743(m), 687(m), 669(w), 519 (w), 472(m). Complx. Titr. for C₉H₃-DyF₁₈O₃ (MW = 658.34, 0 H₂O)%Dy: Calc. 24.5. Found: 24.0%.

2.2.8. Но

Used [Ho(NR₂)₃] (1.0 g, 1.5 mmol) in ~1.5 mL tol. Added ~6 mL H-hfip. Yield 55% (0.59 g). FTIR (KBr, cm⁻¹) 3406(w), 3313(w), 2963(w), 2927(w), 2872(w), 1373(m), 1288(m), 1211(s), 1177(s), 1125(s), 1126(s), 1093(s), 889(m), 855(sh), 845(m), 743(m), 687 (m), 655(w), 632 (w), 521(m). Complx. Titr. for C₉H₃F₁₈HoO₃ (MW = 666.02, 0 H₂O)%Ho: Calc. 24.8%. Found: 24.7%.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1334218

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1334218

Daneshyari.com