Polyhedron 30 (2011) 1313-1317

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Polyhedron

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/poly

Alkyne-functionalized metallocene complexes: Synthesis, structure, and catalytic ethylene polymerization

Min-Xiong Li^a, Shan-Sheng Xu^a, Hai-Bin Song^a, Bin-Yuan Liu^b, Bai-Quan Wang^{a,*}

^a State Key Laboratory of Elemento-Organic Chemistry, College of Chemistry, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, People's Republic of China ^b Institute of Polymer Science and Engineering, Hebei University of Technology, Tianjin 300130, People's Republic of China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 26 January 2011 Accepted 9 February 2011 Available online 16 February 2011

Keywords: Metallocene Titanocene Zirconocene Alkyne-functionalized Polymerization

1. Introduction

Since the discovery of methylaluminoxane (MAO) as an active cocatalyst in 1980 by Kaminsky and co-workers [1], metallocene olefin polymerization catalysts have been one of the most investigated research topics over the last few decades [2–4]. As a great advantage over the traditional heterogeneous Ziegler-Natta catalysts, a metallocene–MAO catalytic system has superbly high catalytic activity and can be tuned to tailor the polymer properties, such as molecular weight, molecular weight distribution, and stereochemistry, because the active species in these catalyst systems is the single-site active center. The steric and electronic effects of cyclopentadienyl ring substituents greatly influence the catalytic properties [5–7]. Thus it is possible to control their efficient catalytic properties by changing the ligand structure.

The cyclopentadienyl ligands with one or more alkyne groups as the ring substituents or the side chain functional groups have been extensively used to synthesize the alkyne-functionalized cyclopentadienyl transition metal complexes [8–27]. The alkyne group in these complexes not only acts as a donor of 2π electrons to coordinate with the Lewis acidic metal center [8,9], but also as a reactive group to participate in the reactions [10–21]. However, up to now, alkyne-functionalized cyclopentadienyl transition-metal complexes have been mainly restricted to ferrocene and ruthenocene derivatives. Group 4 metal complexes with an alkyne-functionalized cyclopentadienyl ligand are very limited [22–27]. Recently, we reported the synthesis of alkynyl-substituted zirconocenes: { η^5 -

ABSTRACT

Reactions of phenylethynyl lithium with substituted cyclopentenones gave the corresponding pendant phenylethynyl substituted cyclopentadienes. Subsequent deprotonation and transmetallation with TiCl₄·2THF, ZrCl₄, and Cp*ZrCl₃ yielded the alkyne-functionalized metallocene complexes [C₅Me₄-(C=CPh)]₂MCl₂ [M = Ti (1), Zr (2)], Cp*[C₅Me₄(C=CPh)]ZrCl₂ (3), and Cp*[C₅H₂R'₂(C=CPh)]ZrCl₂ [R' = Me (4), Ph (5)]. These complexes were fully characterized by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, MS spectra, and elemental analysis. The molecular structure of **2** was determined by single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. Ethylene polymerization was studied with these complexes in the presence of methylaluminoxane (MAO).

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

 $[1,2-R_2-4-(PhC \equiv C)C_5H_2]_2ZrCl_2$ [R = Me (**6**), Ph (**7**)] [28] (Chart 1). They reacted with Ru₃(CO)₁₂ to form the unexpected Zr-decoordinated products. In this work, we will focus our research interest on the synthesis and catalysis of Group 4 metallocene complexes containing alkyne-functionalized cyclopentadienyl ligands.

2. Experimental

2.1. General considerations

All experimental manipulations were carried out under the atmosphere of dry argon using standard Schlenk technique. All solvents were distilled from appropriate drying agents under argon prior to use. Methylaluminoxane (MAO, 10% solution in toluene) was purchased from Arbemarle Co. Polymerization grade ethylene (Daqing Petrochemical Co., China) was used without further purification. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV400 spectrometer and El mass spectra on a VG ZAB-HS instrument. Elemental analyses were performed on a Perkin–Elmer 240C analyzer. Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) measurements were carried out via Waters Alliance GPC 2000 in 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene. TiCl₄·2THF [29], Cp*ZrCl₃ [30], 1,2-Me₂-4-(PhC \equiv C)C₅H₃ (Cp^{II}H), 1,2-Ph₂-4-(PhC \equiv C)C₅H₃ (Cp^{III}H), **6**, and **7** [28] were synthesized according to literature procedures.

2.2. Synthesis of 1,2,3,5-Me₄-4-(PhC \equiv C)C₅H (Cp¹H)

The ligand precursor Cp^IH was prepared according to the procedure described for the synthesis of 2-phenylethynylindene [23]. To a solution of phenylacetylene (8.594 g, 84.15 mmol) dissolved in





^{*} Corresponding author. Tel./fax: +86 22 23504781. E-mail address: bqwang@nankai.edu.cn (B.-Q. Wang).

^{0277-5387/\$ -} see front matter © 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.poly.2011.02.011



THF (80 mL) was gradually added ^{*n*}BuLi (84.15 mmol, 1.67 M in hexane) at 0 °C. After it was slowly warmed to room temperature and stirred for 5 h, a solution of 2,3,4,5-tetramethylcyclopent-2enone (11.630 g, 84.15 mmol) in THF (30 mL) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight and then quenched with saturated NH₄Cl aqueous solution (30 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether, and the combined organics were dried over Na₂SO₄. After removal of solvents the residue was dissolved in diethyl ether (100 mL), H₂SO₄ (3 M, 70 mL) was added, and then this mixture was stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was again quenched with a saturated NH₄Cl aqueous solution, separated, extracted with diethyl ether, and dried. After removal of solvents the residue was chromatographed over a silica gel column with hexane as eluent to give 8.044 g (43%) of $Cp^{I}H$ as yellow oil. Anal. Calc. for C17H18: C, 91.84; H, 8.16. Found: C, 91.64; H, 8.36%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.35 (br d, J = 5.1 Hz, 2H, Ph-H), 7.18 (t, J = 7.5, 6.6 Hz, 3H, Ph-H), 2.77 (br d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1H, C₅H), 1.96 (s, 3H, C₅-Me), 1.77 (s, 3H, C₅-Me), 1.69 (s, 3H, C₅-Me), 1.10 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 3H, C₅-Me) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 159.3, 149.2, 143.4, 134.5, 131.0, 128.2, 127.2, 102.0, 95.4, 86.7, 51.5, 14.4, 13.4, 12.1, 10.8 ppm. MS (EI): *m*/*z* 222.2 (100, M⁺).

2.3. Preparation of $Cp_{2}^{l}TiCl_{2}$ (**1**)

To a solution of Cp^IH (4.479 g, 20.15 mmol) in hexane (120 mL) was gradually added ⁿBuLi (20.04 mmol. 2.33 M in hexane) at 0 °C. Then it was slowly warmed to room temperature and stirred overnight. The resulting suspension was filtered and evaporated to dryness. THF (100 mL) and TiCl₄·2THF (3.346 g, 10.02 mmol) were added to the reaction mixture through a cannula at -78 °C and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. After removal of solvent under reduced pressure the residue was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ and the solution was filtered through Celite. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the crude product was recrystallized from a mixture of diethyl ether and hexane. About 2.756 g (49%) of **1** was obtained as red crystals. M.p.: 179–180 °C. Anal. Calc. for C₃₄H₃₄Cl₂Ti: C, 72.74; H, 6.10. Found: C, 72.51; H, 5.99%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.55 (m, 2H, Ph-H), 7.34 (m, 3H, Ph-H), 2.47 (s, 6H, C₅-Me), 2.28 (s, 6H, C₅-Me) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 135.0, 134.2, 131.9, 128.7, 128.3, 122.7, 118.3, 98.9, 82.2, 14.4, 13.4 ppm. MS (EI): *m*/*z* 221.1 (100, Cp¹⁺), 339.0 $(20, M^+ - Cp^1).$

2.4. Preparation of $Cp_2^{l}ZrCl_2$ (2)

To a solution of Cp^IH (4.572 g, 20.56 mmol) in hexane (120 mL) was gradually added ^{*n*}BuLi (20.50 mmol, 2.33 M in hexane) at 0 °C. Then it was slowly warmed to room temperature and stirred overnight. The resulting suspension was filtered and evaporated to dryness. ZrCl₄ (2.389 g, 10.25 mmol) was added to the lithium salt, the flask was cooled to -78 °C, and CH₂Cl₂ (150 mL) was slowly added. After stirring overnight, the solution was filtered through Celite. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the crude product was recrystallized from a mixture of diethyl ether and hexane to give yellow-green crystals. Yield: 2.480 g (40%). M.p.: 144–145 °C. Anal. Calc. for C₃₄H₃₄Cl₂Zr: C, 67.52; H, 5.67. Found: C, 67.32; H, 5.31%.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.41–7.38 (m, 2H, Ph-H), 7.31–7.27 (m, 3H, Ph-H), 2.27 (s, 6H, C₅-Me), 2.04 (s, 6H, C₅-Me) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 131.3, 129.0, 128.3, 128.1, 126.5, 123.3, 105.5, 95.4, 82.6, 12.8, 12.5 ppm. MS (EI): m/z 601.9 (17, M⁺), 221.1 (10, Cp^{I+}), 380.9 (40, M⁺–Cp^I).

2.5. Preparation of $Cp^{I}Cp^{*}ZrCl_{2}$ (3)

To a solution of Cp^IH (2.526 g, 11.36 mmol) in hexane (70 mL) was gradually added ⁿBuLi (11.42 mmol, 2.33 M in hexane) at 0 °C. Then it was slowly warmed to room temperature and stirred overnight. The resulting suspension was filtered and evaporated to dryness. Cp*ZrCl₃ (3.781 g, 11.36 mmol) was added to the lithium salt, the flask was cooled to -78 °C, and methylene chloride (120 mL) was slowly added. After stirring overnight, the solution was filtered through Celite. The solvent was removed in vacuo. and the crude product was recrystallized from a mixture of diethyl ether and hexane to give a pale yellow solid. Yield: 1.768 g (30%). M.p. 141-142 °C. Anal. Calc. for C₂₇H₃₂Cl₂Zr: C, 62.52; H, 6.22. Found: C, 62.32; H, 6.31%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.55–7.51 (m, 2H, Ph-H), 7.40–7.31 (m, 3H, Ph-H), 2.09 (s, 6H, C₅-Me), 2.08 (s, 15H, Cp*), 2.03 (s, 6H, C₅-Me) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 131.0, 130.1, 128.6, 128.3, 124.7, 123.3, 105.1, 95.8, 83.3, 12.7, 12.3, 11.8 ppm. MS (EI): *m*/*z* 516.0 (30, M⁺), 381.0 (95, M⁺–Cp^{*}), 295.0 (40, M⁺-Cp^I), 221.2 (17, Cp^{I+}), 135.1 (5, Cp^{*+}).

2.6. Preparation of $Cp^{II}Cp^*ZrCl_2$ (4)

By using a similar procedure to that described above, reaction of Cp^{II}H with ⁿBuLi and Cp*ZrCl₃ afforded complex **4** in 38% yield as yellow solid. M.p. 195–196 °C. *Anal.* Calc. for $C_{25}H_{28}Cl_2Zr$: C, 61.20; H, 5.75. Found: C, 61.23; H, 5.89%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.49–7.46 (m, 2H, Ph-H), 7.37–7.33 (m, 3H, Ph-H), 6.25 (s, 2H, C_5H_2), 2.08 (s, 15H, Cp*), 2.05 (s, 6H, C_5 -Me) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 131.2, 128.4, 127.6, 124.9, 123.0, 120.7, 100.6, 91.1, 83.3, 13.3, 12.3 ppm. MS (EI): *m/z* 353.0 (72, M⁺–Cp^{*}), 295.0 (86, M⁺–Cp^{II}), 135.1 (7, Cp^{*+}).

2.7. Preparation of $Cp^{III}Cp^*ZrCl_2$ (5)

By using a similar procedure to that described above, reaction of Cp^{III}H with ^{*n*}BuLi and Cp*ZrCl₃ afforded complex **5** in 32% yield as yellow solid. M.p. 277–278 °C. *Anal.* Calc. for $C_{35}H_{32}Cl_2Zr$: C, 68.38; H, 5.25. Found: C, 68.32; H, 5.31%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.52 (m, 2H, Ph-H), 7.38 (m, 7H, Ph-H and C₅-Ph), 7.28 (m, 6H, Ph-H and C₅-Ph), 6.72 (s, 2H, C₅H₂), 1.89 (s, 15H, Cp*) ppm. ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 132.7, 131.4, 130.0, 128.6, 128.5, 128.0, 127.7, 125.9, 122.8, 103.2, 92.4, 82.9, 12.1 ppm. MS (EI): m/z 612.1 (11, M⁺), 476.9 (7, M⁺-Cp⁺), 295.0 (20, M⁺-Cp^{III}).

2.8. Crystallographic studies

Single crystals of complex **2** suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained from hexane/Et₂O solution at -20 °C. Data collections were performed with a Rigaku Saturn 70 diffractometer equipped with a rotating anode system at 113(2) K by using graphite-mono-chromated Mo K α radiation ($\omega - 2\theta$ scans, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). Semi-empirical absorption corrections were applied. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least squares. All calculations were performed by using the SHELXL-97 program system. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were assigned idealized positions and were included in structure factor calculations. The crystal data and summary of X-ray data collection are presented in Table 1.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1336293

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1336293

Daneshyari.com