Polyhedron 68 (2014) 365-371

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Polyhedron

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/poly

Synthesis and structural diversity of complexes with new isomeric tripodal ligands

Zhao-Peng Qi^{a,b,*}, Pei-Yu Li^a, Jiao-Jiao Sun^a, Le-Le Zhu^a, Kui Wang^a

^a School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Huangshan University, Huangshan 245041, China
^b State Key Laboratory of Coordination Chemistry, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 8 October 2013 Accepted 4 November 2013 Available online 13 November 2013

Keywords: Tripodal complex Ni(II) Cu(II) 1D chain Structure

ABSTRACT

Four new complexes $[Ni(L3)_2] \cdot (ClO_4)_2$ (1), $[Cu(L3)(H_2O)] \cdot (ClO_4)_2$ (2), $[Cu_2(L4)_2(Cl)] \cdot (ClO_4)_3 \cdot 2H_2O$ (3), and $[Cu(L4)(NO_3)(H_2O)] \cdot (NO_3) \cdot H_2O$ (4) with new tripodal ligands N¹-(2-Amino-ethyl)-N¹-pyridin-3-ylmethyl-ethane-1,2-diamine (L3) and N¹-(2-Amino-ethyl)-N¹-pyridin-4-ylmethyl-ethane-1,2-diamine (L4) have been synthesized. Interestingly, complex 1 shows mononuclear structure, complex 2 displays 1D *zig-zag* chain structure, complex 3 exhibits 1D ladder structure, and complex 4 presents less waved 1D *zig-zag* chain structure in comparison with complex 2. The results clearly showed that the variation of the N-donor orientation of the ligands, metal ions, and anions, has remarkable impact on the frameworks of the complexes.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In the past few years, the construction of coordination polymers has attracted great interest, for not only the intriguing structural topologies like zero-, one-(1D), two-(2D), and three-dimensional(3D) coordination architectures, but also the versatile properties such as magnetism, gas adsorption, biomimic functions, and so on [1-3]. It is well known that the self-assembly process of the complexes is influenced by many factors such as the nature of the ligands, metal ions, anions, pH, temperature, etc. Among which, the design of organic ligands is particularly crucial, since subtle modification on the ligand like the flexibility, the length, the spacer and so on, may lead to dramatic changes on the ultimate structures and properties of the coordination frameworks [4–6]. Recently, we focus our attention on the polyamine tripodal ligands, which have two symmetric amino groups and one imidazole-containing arm, and are proved excellent to construct varied frameworks with various properties [7]. However, up to now, similar tripodal ligands bearing one pyridine arm are seldom, so it is of great interest to investigate the kinds of organic ligands and their derivates [8]. Here, as an extension of our previous work, we designed two new isomeric pyridine-containing tripodal ligands, N¹-(2-Amino-ethyl)-N¹-pyridin-3-ylmethyl-ethane-1,2namely diamine (L3) and N¹-(2-Amino-ethyl)-N¹-pyridin-4-ylmethyl-ethane-1,2-diamine (L4), which have the same frame structure except distinct N-donor orientation on the pyridine arm, with 3-position N-donors of L3 while 4-position ones of L4 (Scheme 1). Further, we reported four complexes $[Ni(L3)_2] \cdot (ClO_4)_2$ (1), $[Cu(L3)(H_2O)] \cdot (ClO_4)_2$ (2), $[Cu_2(L4)_2(Cl)] \cdot (ClO_4)_3 \cdot 2H_2O$ (3), and $[Cu(L4)(NO_3)(H_2O)] \cdot (NO_3) \cdot H_2O$ (4) under the same synthesis conditions (Scheme 2).

2. Experimental

2.1. Synthesis of the tripodal Ligands

The NH₂ groups of diethylenetriamine were protected by phthalic anhydride as described previously [7c]. The protected product (1.80 g, 5 mmol) was allowed to react with pyridine-3-aldehyde (0.53 g, 5 mmol) in methanol (50 ml) followed by reduction using sodium cyanoborohydride (0.32 g, 5 mmol) in small portions in three hours. After the resulting solution had been stirred for one day at room temperature, the solution was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residual was extracted by CH₂Cl₂ from aqueous phase, and the organic phase was dried over Na₂SO₄ and evaporated to give pale oil. Then concentrated hydrochloric acid (30 ml) was added, and the mixture was boiled for 8 h, and filtered. The filtrate was evaporated to dryness, after recrystallization from ethanol, L3 3HCl was obtained as white powder (1.10 g, 73%). ¹H NMR: (D₂O, 500 MHz): δ = 8.79 (s, 1H), 8.70 (s, 1H), 8.61 (s, 1H), 8.03 (s, 1H), 3.97 (s, 2H), 3.14 (t, 4H), 2.84 (t, 4H) ppm. ESI-MS: [L3+H⁺] calc. for C₁₀H₁₉N₄: 195.3; found 195.4 (100%), [L3+Na⁺] calc. for C10H18N4Na: 217.3; found 217.3 (25%). L4-3HCl was obtained as white powder with similar method except that pyridine-4-aldehyde was used (0.85 g, 56%). ¹H NMR: (D_2O , 500 MHz):





POLYHEDRON

^{*} Corresponding author at: School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Huangshan University, Huangshan 245041, China. Fax: +86 559 2546554. *E-mail address:* zhaopengqi@hsu.edu.cn (Z.-P. Qi).

^{0277-5387/\$ -} see front matter © 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.poly.2013.11.006



Scheme 1. The tripodal ligands L3 and L4.



Scheme 2. Schematic drawing for formation of complexes **1–4** with isomeric tripodal ligands.

δ = 8.69 (s, 2H), 8.03 (s, 1H), 4.03 (s, 2H), 3.12 (t, 4H), 2.85 (t, 4H) ppm. ESI-MS: [L4+H⁺] calc. for C₁₀H₁₉N₄: 195.3; found 195.4 (100%), [L4+Na⁺] calc. for C₁₀H₁₈N₄Na: 217.3; found 217.3 (18%).

2.2. Synthesis of the complexes

2.2.1. Synthesis of $[Ni(L3)_2] \cdot (ClO_4)_2$ (1)

An aqueous solution (3 ml) of L3·3HCl (0.0151 g, 0.05 mmol) was added to an aqueous solution (3 ml) of Ni(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O (0.0177 g, 0.05 mmol), and the resulted solution was adjusted to pH ca. 9 with 0.5 M NaOH while stirring and acetonitrile (5 ml) was added. The solution was filtered about 15 min later, and the filtrate was evaporated slowly in air for several days, violet crystals

Table 1

Crystallographic data for complexes 1-4

Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for complexes 1-4.

1			
Ni1-N1	2.146(3)	Ni1-N2	2.196(2)
Ni1-N3	2.129(3)		
N1-Ni1-N2	99.1(1)	N1-Ni1-N3	90.7(1)
N2-Ni1-N3	82.1(1)		
2			
Cu1-N1	1.980(5)	Cu1-N2	2.070(4)
Cu1-N3	2.003(5)	Cu1-N4#1	2.046(4)
Cu1-01	2.261(6)		
N1-Cu1-N2	84.5(1)	N1-Cu1-N4#1	90.9(2)
N1-Cu1-O1	103.0(3)	N2-Cu1-N3	85.8(2)
N2-Cu1-O1	97.2(2)	N3-Cu1-N4#1	96.3(2)
N3-Cu1-O1	93.2(3)	N4-Cu1-O1#1	92.2(2)
3			
Cu1-N1	2.009(4)	Cu1-N2	2.068(4)
Cu1-N3	2.012(4)	Cu1-N4#2	2.034(4)
Cu1-Cl1	2.603(1)		
N1-Cu1-N2	83.4(2)	N1-Cu1-N4#2	97.0(2)
N2-Cu1-N3	84.2(2)	N2-Cu1-Cl1	103.8(2)
N3-Cu1-N4#3	92.4(2)	N3-Cu1-Cl1	93.4(2)
N4-Cu1-Cl1#3	92.6(2)		
4			
Cu1-N1	2.003(2)	Cu1-N2	2.046(2)
Cu1-N3	1.992(2)	Cu1-N4#3	2.026(2)
Cu1-01	2.587(2)		
N1-Cu1-N2	85.8(1)	N1-Cu1-N4#3	95.4(1)
N1-Cu1-O1	84.3(1)	N2-Cu1-N3	84.6(1)
N2-Cu1-O1	90.1(1)	N3-Cu1-N4#3	94.4(1)
N3-Cu1-O1	87.5(1)	N4-Cu1-O1#3	92.5(1)
N1-Cu1-O2	86.5(1)	N2-Cu1-O2	92.6(1)
N3-Cu1-O2	101.9(1)	N4-Cu1-O2#3	84.9(1)

Symmetry transformation used to generate equivalent atoms: $\#1 \ 1/2 + x, \ 1/2 - y, z$; $\#2 \ 1/2 + x, \ 1/2 - y, \ 1/2 + z$; $\#3 \ 1 + x, \ 1/2 - y, \ 1/2 + z$.

were obtained. Yield: (60%). Anal. Calc. for $C_{20}H_{36}Cl_2NiN_8O_8$: C, 37.18; H, 5.62; N, 17.34. Found: C, 37.29; H, 5.43; N, 17.46%. IR: (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3236 (s), 3151 (s), 2930 (m), 2883 (m), 1601 (m), 1476 (m), 1428 (m), 1357 (w), 1082 (vs), 894 (m), 802 (m), 723 (m), 628 (m).

2.2.2. Synthesis of [Cu(L3)(H₂O)]·(ClO₄)₂ (2)

The single crystal of **2** was obtained by the same method for **1** except $Cu(ClO_4)_2$ ·6H₂O was used. Blue block crystals were

Complex	1	2	3	4
Empirical formula	C20H36Cl2NiN8O8	C ₁₀ H ₂₀ Cl ₂ CuN₄O ₉	$C_{20}H_{40}Cl_4Cu_2N_8O_{14}$	$C_{10}H_{22}CuN_6O_8$
Formula weight	427.08	474.75	885.48	417.89
Crystal system	orthorhombic	orthorhombic	monoclinic	monoclinic
Space group	$P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$	$Pna2_1$	C2/c	$P2_1/c$
a (Å)	9.167(3)	15.404(6)	17.651(6)	11.9341(18)
b (Å)	11.438(4)	13.289(5)	15.090(6)	10.9626(17)
<i>c</i> (Å)	16.558(6)	8.387(3)	13.545(5)	17.3693(19)
α (°)	88.050(5)	90.00	90.00	90.00
β(°)	68.728(6)	90.00	109.874(4)	131.552(6)
γ(°)	71.181(6)	90.00	90.00	90.00
$V(Å^3)$	696.8(5)	1716.9(11)	3393(2)	1700.6(4)
Ζ	1	4	4	4
D_{calc} (g cm ⁻³)	1.540	1.837	1.733	1.632
μ (mm ⁻¹)	0.947	1.639	1.643	1.338
R _{int}	0.0095	0.0784	0.0314	0.0192
$R_1 (I > 2\sigma(I))^a$	0.0448	0.0602	0.0545	0.0305
wR_2 (all data) ^b	0.1300	0.1651	0.1543	0.0826
Goodness-of-fit (GOF) on F^2	1.038	1.053	1.066	1.043

^a $R_1 = \sum ||F_0| - |F_c|| / \sum |F_0|$.

^b $wR_2 = |\sum w(|F_0|^2 - |F_c|^2)| / \sum |w(F_0)^2|^{1/2}$.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1336625

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1336625

Daneshyari.com