## Polyhedron 69 (2014) 156-159

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Polyhedron

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/poly



# Coordination chemistry of bidentate phosphine ligands with hydrogen-bonding arms: Picket-fence rhodium complexes



POLYHEDRON



Ilknur Babahan<sup>a,b</sup>, James T. Engle<sup>c</sup>, Nishant Kumar<sup>b</sup>, Christopher J. Ziegler<sup>c,\*</sup>, Li Jia<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> The University of Akron, Good year Polymer Science, Akron, OH 44325, USA

<sup>b</sup> Adnan Menderes University, Faculty of Science and Art, Department of Chemistry, 09010 Aydin, Turkey

<sup>c</sup> The University of Akron, Department of Chemistry, Akron, OH 44325, USA

# ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 25 September 2013 Accepted 18 November 2013 Available online 4 December 2013

Keywords: Bidentate phosphine Secondary interaction Rhodium complex

# ABSTRACT

A bidentate phosphine ligand with two amide arms, designed to form hydrogen bonds with electrondonating moieties, was synthesized and isolated in high diastereomeric excess (95% de). The hydrogen-bonding abilities of the ligand and its diastereomer were demonstrated with two rhodium complexes containing these ligands. The structures of the rhodium compounds are reminiscent of the well-studied picket-fence porphyrin systems.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Secondary ligand-ligand and ligand-substrate interactions play important roles in the catalytic transformations promoted by transition metal complexes [1] and as enzymatic models [2]. Among the various types of interactions, hydrogen bonds are useful, or even critical, in many cases of catalysis involving substrates containing oxygen and nitrogen atoms [3]. Given our interest in heterocycle carbonylation [4], we explored along this line in a limited scope. Here, we document the synthesis of a bidentate phosphine ligand with a capacity for hydrogen bonding and its rhodium coordination chemistry. The resultant Rh complexes resemble picket-fence porphyrins [5], which have been used as models for enzymatic active sites and for selective substrate binding.

#### 2. Experimental

## 2.1. General remarks

All reactions and manipulations were performed under an inert atmosphere. All solvents were anhydrous and purchased from Sigma–Aldrich. NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian 300 MHz spectrometer. Bis(phenylphosphino)ethane, PhP(H)(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>P(H)Ph, was prepared according to a literature procedure [6].

## 2.2. Synthesis of **1-C**<sub>s</sub>

A 50-mL flask was placed in an oil bath at 100 °C. Ph(H)CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>-P(H)Ph (1.24 g, 5.04 mmol), AIBN (~50 mg), and CH<sub>2</sub> = CHCONH<sup>i</sup>Pr (1.26 g, 11.2 mmol) were added to this flask. The mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 1 h under a nitrogen atmosphere and then under vacuum for 24 h to remove the slightly excess amount of CH<sub>2</sub> = - CHCONH<sup>i</sup>Pr. The resultant oil was dissolved in boiling toluene (20 mL) and filtered while the solution was hot. The filtrate was kept at room temperature (RT) to allow precipitation of a white crystalline solid, which was washed with acetonitrile (2 × 5 mL) and isolated after filtration (yield, 1.08 g, 45% in 95% diastereomeric excess).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): *δ* 1.10 ("triplet" arising from two partially overlapping doublets, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 12 H,  $-CH_3$ ), 1.63–1.75 (m, 4H,  $-PC\underline{H}_2CH_2CO_-$ ), 1.96 (m, 4H,  $-PC\underline{H}_2CH_2P_-$ ), 2.07 (m, 4H,  $-CH_2CO_-$ ), 4.02 (heptet, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H,  $-CH_-$ ), 5.24 (b, 2H,  $-NH_-$ ), 7.34 (m, 6 H,  $C\underline{H}_{aryl}$ ), and 7.42 (m, 4H,  $C\underline{H}_{aryl}$ ). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): *δ* 171.3, 137.1, 132.4, 119.2, 128.5, 41.4, 32.9, 23.5, 23.1, 22.8. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): *δ* -19.9.

# 2.3. Collection of 1-C<sub>s</sub>/1-C<sub>2</sub> mixture

The toluene filtrate from the above experiment was kept at -30 °C. A white crystalline solid again formed, and the isolated solid contained a mixture of **1-***C*<sub>*s*</sub> and **1-***C*<sub>*2*</sub> in about a 1:3 ratio (yield, 0.30 g, 12%).

<sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  1.10 ("triplet" arising from two partially overlapping doublets, *J* = 7.7 Hz), 1.62–1.77 (m, –PC<u>H</u><sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CO–), 1.96–1.99 (m, –PC<u>H</u><sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>P–), 2.05–2.12 (m, –CH<sub>2</sub>CO–), 3.97–4.05

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding authors. Tel.: +1 330 972 7511; fax: +1 330 972 5290 (L. Jia). Tel.: +1 330 972 2531; fax: +1 330 972 6085 (C.J. Ziegler).

E-mail addresses: ziegler@uakron.edu (C.J. Ziegler), ljia@uakron.edu (L. Jia).

<sup>0277-5387/\$ -</sup> see front matter  $\circledcirc$  2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.poly.2013.11.018

(m, -CH-), 5.25 (b, -NH-), 7.34 (m, C<u>H</u> <sub>aryl</sub>), and 7.39–7.43 (m, C<u>H</u> <sub>aryl</sub>). <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$ -19.9 (s), -20.0 (s).

# 2.4. Synthesis of 2

To a stirred solution of  $[(CO)_2RhCl]_2$  (100 mg, 0.257 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) was added a solution of ligand **1-C**<sub>s</sub> (488 mg, 1.028 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 mL) at RT. A drop of PEt<sub>3</sub> (~50 mg) was added to the above solution, which was refluxed with stirring overnight. After all of the volatile compounds were removed under vacuum, the residue was washed with ether to give a bright yellow powder (yield, 0.50 g, 90%). Analytically pure crystals were obtained by layering ether on top of a concentrated CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> solution of the product.

*Anal.* Calc. for  $C_{52}H_{76}ClN_4O_4P_4Rh$ : C, 57.64; H, 7.07; N, 5.17. Found: C, 58.02; H, 6.69; N, 4.87%. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  1.23 ("triplet" arising from two partially overlapping doublets, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 24 H, -CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.90 (m, 8H, -PC<u>H<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>P</u>-), 2.32 (m, 4H, -PC<u>H<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CO-), 2.76 (m, 4H, -PCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>CO-), 2.86 (m, 4H, -PCH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H<sub>2</sub>CO-, 3.01 (m, 4H, -PCH<sub>2</sub>C<u>H<sub>2</sub>CO-), 4.13 (h, 4H, -CH-), 7.06 ("t", 8H, C<u>H<sub>aryl</sub>), 7.26-7.16 (m, 12H, C<u>H<sub>aryl</sub>), 8.68 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 4H, -N<u>H-</u>). <sup>13</sup>C{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  170.1, 133.9, 131.2, 130.1, 128.4, 41.7, 31.1, 28.7, 23.4, 22.6. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  57.3 (d, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>Rh-P</sub> = 128 Hz).</u></u></u></u></u>

# 2.5. Reaction of 1-C<sub>s</sub>/1-C<sub>2</sub> Mixture with [(CO)<sub>2</sub>RhCl]<sub>2</sub>

The reaction between the  $1-C_s/1-C_2$  mixture and  $[(CO)_2RhCl]_2$  was carried out in the same manner as described above. Judging from the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, the product was a complex mixture. However, single crystals of **3** were obtained by diffusion of diethyl ether into a solution of the reaction mixture.

## 2.6. X-ray data collection and structural analysis

Single crystals of **2** were grown by layering diethyl ether on top of a dichloromethane solution of **2** and allowing diffusion to occur at RT. Single crystals of **3** were grown by layering diethyl ether on top of a dichloromethane solution of the reaction mixture of **1**- $C_s$ , **1**- $C_2$ , and [(CO)<sub>2</sub>RhCl]<sub>2</sub>, and allowing diffusion to occur at RT.

# 2.7. Crystal data for 2

C<sub>52</sub>H<sub>76</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>P<sub>4</sub>RhCl., Mw = 1083.41, triclinic, space group P-1, *a* = 12.572(2) Å, *b* = 14.298(2) Å, *c* = 16.199(2) Å, *α* = 98.590(2)°, *β* = 97.498(2)°, *γ* = 94.482(2)°, and volume = 2840.3(6) Å<sup>3</sup>, *Z* = 2, *ρ* = 1.267 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, *R*(int) = 3.64%, *R*<sub>1</sub> = 4.31%, *wR*<sub>2</sub> = 9.90% for 9846 independent reflections, *I*° > 2s(*I*°), with 19770 observed reflections. Data were collected at 293(2) K (Siemens SMART Platform CCD diffractometer with Mo source Kα radiation, *λ* = 0.71073 Å) and corrected for absorption using SADABS [7]. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares procedures [8].

## 2.8. Crystal data for 3

 $C_{108}H_{162}N_8O_9P_8Rh_2Cl_2$ , Mw = 2240.94, monoclinic, space group P2(1)/c, a = 21.0259(12) Å, b = 26.9157(16) Å, c = 20.8978(12) Å,  $\beta = 103.669(3)^\circ$ , volume = 11491.7(12) Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4,  $\rho = 1.295$  g/cm<sup>3</sup>, R(int) = 5.36%,  $R_1 = 4.84\%$ ,  $wR_2 = 12.59\%$  for 18975 independent reflections,  $I^\circ > 2s(I^\circ)$ , with 127493 observed reflections. Data were collected at 100(2) K (APEX2 CCD diffractometer with Cu source K\alpha radiation,  $\lambda = 1.54178$  Å) and corrected for absorption using sADABS [7]. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares procedures [9].



**Scheme 1.** Synthesis of diphosphines with hydrogen-bonding arms and their Rh complexes.

#### 3. Results and discussion

AIBN-initiated phosphine addition to acrylamide afforded a mixture of diastereomers  $1-C_s$  and  $1-C_2$  in roughly a 2:1 ratio (Scheme 1). Ligand 1-C<sub>s</sub> (~95% diastereomeric excess, as estimated from  $^{31}$ P NMR) was easily isolated from the mixture with a  $\sim$ 45% yield by fractional crystallization from toluene. The racemic isomer  $1-C_2$  was not separated from the remaining  $1-C_s$  in the mixture. Interconversion between  $1-C_2$  and  $1-C_s$  was not observed in the solid state over months or days in solution at room temperature. When we attempted to make the Rh complex  $[(1-C_s)\cdot Rh(CO)Cl]$ by reacting equimolar amounts of  $1-C_s$  and  $[Rh(CO)_2Cl]_2$ , the reaction did not give the expected 1:1 ligand-Rh adduct. Instead, a complex mixture resulted as judged from the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra. In an attempt to make  $[(1-C_s) \cdot Rh(PEt_3)Cl], 2$  formed unexpectedly. Indeed, the initial mixture from the reaction of **1-C**<sub>s</sub> and  $[Rh(CO)_2CI]$  cleanly converged to **2** after it was refluxed overnight in the presence of a substoichiometric amount of PEt<sub>3</sub>. The yield of 2 was essentially quantitative when a 2:1 ligand: Rh molar ratio was used. Compound 2 was stable in air in the solid form indefinitely, whereas **1-***C*<sub>*s*</sub> had to be stored under an inert atmosphere. Compound 2 was completely characterized by standard spectroscopic methods and elemental analysis. X-ray diffraction (XRD) of a single crystal of 2 revealed a picket fence-like structure in pseudo- $C_{2v}$  symmetry (Fig. 1). The four P atoms and the Rh atom were approximately coplanar. The Rh-Cl distance was ~5.16 Å, clearly outside the range of covalent bonding.<sup>1</sup> The four amide arms of the ligand surrounded the chloride ion, with the four N atoms pointing toward the chloride. Although the hydrogen atoms were not located directly on the difference map, this configuration suggests that each N atom was bonded with the chloride through a hydrogen bond. The N-Cl distances were ~3.33, 3.28, 3.42, and 3.29 Å, all of which are in the range of  $H \cdots Cl$  hydrogen bonds.

Infrared (IR) spectroscopy indicated that the amide hydrogen bonds [10] in **2** were largely retained in the chloroform solution (Fig. 2), especially when compared with the ligand  $1-C_s$ . In the N-H stretching vibration region of the IR spectrum of **2**, a sizable

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Cl atom was located normally on the difference map. No disorder is present in the position of the chloride.

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1338073

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1338073

Daneshyari.com