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#### Abstract

A bidentate phosphine ligand with two amide arms, designed to form hydrogen bonds with electrondonating moieties, was synthesized and isolated in high diastereomeric excess (95\% de). The hydrogen-bonding abilities of the ligand and its diastereomer were demonstrated with two rhodium complexes containing these ligands. The structures of the rhodium compounds are reminiscent of the well-studied picket-fence porphyrin systems.
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## 1. Introduction

Secondary ligand-ligand and ligand-substrate interactions play important roles in the catalytic transformations promoted by transition metal complexes [1] and as enzymatic models [2]. Among the various types of interactions, hydrogen bonds are useful, or even critical, in many cases of catalysis involving substrates containing oxygen and nitrogen atoms [3]. Given our interest in heterocycle carbonylation [4], we explored along this line in a limited scope. Here, we document the synthesis of a bidentate phosphine ligand with a capacity for hydrogen bonding and its rhodium coordination chemistry. The resultant Rh complexes resemble picket-fence porphyrins [5], which have been used as models for enzymatic active sites and for selective substrate binding.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. General remarks

All reactions and manipulations were performed under an inert atmosphere. All solvents were anhydrous and purchased from Sig-ma-Aldrich. NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian 300 MHz spectrometer. Bis(phenylphosphino)ethane, $\mathrm{PhP}(\mathrm{H})\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{Ph}$, was prepared according to a literature procedure [6].
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### 2.2. Synthesis of $\mathbf{1 - C _ { s }}$

A $50-\mathrm{mL}$ flask was placed in an oil bath at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{Ph}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{Ph}(1.24 \mathrm{~g}, 5.04 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\operatorname{AIBN}(\sim 50 \mathrm{mg})$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCONH}^{\mathrm{i} P r}$ $(1.26 \mathrm{~g}, 11.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to this flask. The mixture was stirred at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 h under a nitrogen atmosphere and then under vacuum for 24 h to remove the slightly excess amount of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=-$ CHCONH ${ }^{i}$ Pr. The resultant oil was dissolved in boiling toluene $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ and filtered while the solution was hot. The filtrate was kept at room temperature (RT) to allow precipitation of a white crystalline solid, which was washed with acetonitrile ( $2 \times 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and isolated after filtration (yield, $1.08 \mathrm{~g}, 45 \%$ in $95 \%$ diastereomeric excess).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.10$ ("triplet" arising from two partially overlapping doublets, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 12 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 1.63-1.75 (m, 4 H , $\left.-\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}-\right), 1.96\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}-\right), 2.07\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}-\right.$ ), 4.02 (heptet, $J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}-$ ), 5.24 (b, $2 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NH}-$ ), 7.34 (m, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {aryl }}$ ), and $7.42\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {aryl }}\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $171.3,137.1,132.4,119.2,128.5,41.4,32.9,23.5,23.1,22.8$. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta-19.9$.

### 2.3. Collection of $\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{s}} / \mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbf{2}}$ mixture

The toluene filtrate from the above experiment was kept at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. A white crystalline solid again formed, and the isolated solid contained a mixture of $\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{C}_{s}$ and $\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{C}_{\mathbf{2}}$ in about a 1:3 ratio (yield, $0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \%$ ).
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.10$ ("triplet" arising from two partially overlapping doublets, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $1.62-1.77\left(\mathrm{~m},-\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}-\right)$, 1.96-1.99 (m, - $\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}-$ ), 2.05-2.12 (m, $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}-$ ), 3.97-4.05
(m, -CH-), 5.25 (b, -NH-), 7.34 (m, CH aryl), and 7.39-7.43 (m, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{\text {aryl }}\right) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta-19.9(\mathrm{~s}),-20.0(\mathrm{~s})$.

### 2.4. Synthesis of $\mathbf{2}$

To a stirred solution of $\left[(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{RhCl}\right]_{2}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.257 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of ligand $\mathbf{1 - C}$ ( 488 mg , $1.028 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(5 \mathrm{~mL})$ at RT. A drop of $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}(\sim 50 \mathrm{mg})$ was added to the above solution, which was refluxed with stirring overnight. After all of the volatile compounds were removed under vacuum, the residue was washed with ether to give a bright yellow powder (yield, $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ). Analytically pure crystals were obtained by layering ether on top of a concentrated $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of the product.

Anal. Calc. for $\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{76} \mathrm{ClN}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{Rh}$ : C, 57.64; H, 7.07; N, 5.17. Found: C, 58.02; $\mathrm{H}, 6.69$; $\mathrm{N}, 4.87 \%{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 1.23$ ("triplet" arising from two partially overlapping doublets, $J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 24$ $\left.\mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 1.90\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{P}-\right), 2.32\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}-\right)$, $2.76\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}-\right), 2.86\left(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}-, 3.01(\mathrm{~m}\right.$, $\left.4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{PCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}-\right), 4.13$ (h, $4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{CH}-$ ), 7.06 ("t", $8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{\text {aryl }}$ ), 7.26-7.16 (m, 12H, C $\underline{H}_{\text {aryl }}$ ), 8.68 (d, $\left.J=8.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H},-\mathrm{NH}-\right) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR ( $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 170.1,133.9,131.2,130.1,128.4,41.7,31.1,28.7$, 23.4, 22.6. ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 57.3\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} \mathrm{~J}_{\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{P}}=128 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$.

### 2.5. Reaction of $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{C}_{\boldsymbol{s}} / \mathbf{1}-\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}}$ Mixture with $\left[(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{RhCl}\right]_{2}$

The reaction between the $\mathbf{1 - C _ { s }} / \mathbf{1}-\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}}$ mixture and $\left[(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{RhCl}\right]_{2}$ was carried out in the same manner as described above. Judging from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum, the product was a complex mixture. However, single crystals of $\mathbf{3}$ were obtained by diffusion of diethyl ether into a solution of the reaction mixture.

### 2.6. X-ray data collection and structural analysis

Single crystals of $\mathbf{2}$ were grown by layering diethyl ether on top of a dichloromethane solution of $\mathbf{2}$ and allowing diffusion to occur at RT. Single crystals of $\mathbf{3}$ were grown by layering diethyl ether on top of a dichloromethane solution of the reaction mixture of $\mathbf{1 - C} \boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{s}}$, $\mathbf{1 - C} \mathbf{C}_{2}$, and $\left[(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{RhCl}\right]_{2}$, and allowing diffusion to occur at RT.

### 2.7. Crystal data for $\mathbf{2}$

$\mathrm{C}_{52} \mathrm{H}_{76} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{RhCl}$., $\mathrm{Mw}=1083.41$, triclinic, space group $\mathrm{P}-1$, $a=12.572(2) \AA, \quad b=14.298(2) \AA, \quad c=16.199(2) \AA, \quad \alpha=98.590(2)^{\circ}$, $\beta=97.498(2)^{\circ}, \gamma=94.482(2)^{\circ}$, and volume $=2840.3(6) \AA^{3}, Z=2$, $\rho=1.267 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}, R($ int $)=3.64 \%, R_{1}=4.31 \%, w R_{2}=9.90 \%$ for 9846 independent reflections, $I^{\circ}>2 \mathrm{~s}\left(I^{\circ}\right)$, with 19770 observed reflections. Data were collected at 293(2) K (Siemens smart Platform CCD diffractometer with Mo source $\mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA$ ) and corrected for absorption using sADABS [7]. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares procedures [8].

### 2.8. Crystal data for $\mathbf{3}$

$\mathrm{C}_{108} \mathrm{H}_{162} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{P}_{8} \mathrm{Rh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$., $\mathrm{Mw}=2240.94$, monoclinic, space group $P 2(1) / c, \quad a=21.0259(12) \AA, \quad b=26.9157(16) \AA, \quad c=20.8978(12) \AA$, $\beta=103.669(3)^{\circ}$, volume $=11491.7(12) \AA^{3}, Z=4, \rho=1.295 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$, $R($ int $)=5.36 \%, R_{1}=4.84 \%, w R_{2}=12.59 \%$ for 18975 independent reflections, $I^{\circ}>2 s\left(I^{\circ}\right)$, with 127493 observed reflections. Data were collected at 100(2) K (APEX2 CCD diffractometer with Cu source $K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=1.54178 \AA$ ) and corrected for absorption using SADABS [7]. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined by full-matrix least-squares procedures [9].


Scheme 1. Synthesis of diphosphines with hydrogen-bonding arms and their Rh complexes.

## 3. Results and discussion

AIBN-initiated phosphine addition to acrylamide afforded a mixture of diastereomers $\mathbf{1 - C _ { \boldsymbol { s } }}$ and $\mathbf{1 - \boldsymbol { C } _ { \boldsymbol { 2 } }}$ in roughly a $2: 1$ ratio (Scheme 1). Ligand $\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{s}}$ ( $\sim 95 \%$ diastereomeric excess, as estimated from ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR) was easily isolated from the mixture with a $\sim 45 \%$ yield by fractional crystallization from toluene. The racemic isomer $\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{2}}$ was not separated from the remaining $\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{s}}$ in the mixture. Interconversion between $\mathbf{1 - \boldsymbol { C } _ { \mathbf { 2 } }}$ and $\mathbf{1 - \boldsymbol { C } _ { \boldsymbol { s } }}$ was not observed in the solid state over months or days in solution at room temperature. When we attempted to make the Rh complex $\left[\left(\mathbf{1}-\mathrm{C}_{s}\right) \cdot \mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]$ by reacting equimolar amounts of $\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{s}}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]_{2}$, the reaction did not give the expected 1:1 ligand-Rh adduct. Instead, a complex mixture resulted as judged from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectra. In an attempt to make $\left[(\mathbf{1 - C} \mathbf{C}) \cdot \operatorname{Rh}\left(\mathrm{PEt}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right], \mathbf{2}$ formed unexpectedly. Indeed, the initial mixture from the reaction of $\mathbf{1 - \boldsymbol { C } _ { s }}$ and [ $\left.\mathrm{Rh}(\mathrm{CO})_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right]$ cleanly converged to $\mathbf{2}$ after it was refluxed overnight in the presence of a substoichiometric amount of $\mathrm{PEt}_{3}$. The yield of $\mathbf{2}$ was essentially quantitative when a $2: 1$ ligand: Rh molar ratio was used. Compound 2 was stable in air in the solid form indefinitely, whereas $\mathbf{1}-\boldsymbol{C}_{\boldsymbol{s}}$ had to be stored under an inert atmosphere. Compound 2 was completely characterized by standard spectroscopic methods and elemental analysis. X-ray diffraction (XRD) of a single crystal of $\mathbf{2}$ revealed a picket fence-like structure in pseudo- $C_{2 v}$ symmetry (Fig. 1). The four P atoms and the Rh atom were approximately coplanar. The $\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distance was $\sim 5.16 \AA$, clearly outside the range of covalent bonding. ${ }^{1}$ The four amide arms of the ligand surrounded the chloride ion, with the four N atoms pointing toward the chloride. Although the hydrogen atoms were not located directly on the difference map, this configuration suggests that each N atom was bonded with the chloride through a hydrogen bond. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Cl}$ distances were $\sim 3.33,3.28,3.42$, and $3.29 \AA$, all of which are in the range of $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ hydrogen bonds.

Infrared (IR) spectroscopy indicated that the amide hydrogen bonds [10] in $\mathbf{2}$ were largely retained in the chloroform solution (Fig. 2), especially when compared with the ligand $\mathbf{1 - C} \boldsymbol{C}_{\text {s }}$. In the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ stretching vibration region of the IR spectrum of $\mathbf{2}$, a sizable
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