Journal Pre-proof

Assessment of structural behaviour and seismic retrofitting for an Italian monumental masonry building

Maurizio Orlando, Michele Betti, Paolo Spinelli

PII: S2352-7102(19)31156-8

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2019.101115

Reference: JOBE 101115

To appear in: Journal of Building Engineering

Received Date: 14 July 2019

Revised Date: 4 December 2019

Accepted Date: 5 December 2019

Please cite this article as: M. Orlando, M. Betti, P. Spinelli, Assessment of structural behaviour and seismic retrofitting for an Italian monumental masonry building, *Journal of Building Engineering* (2020), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2019.101115.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2019 Published by Elsevier Ltd.



Assessment of structural behaviour and seismic retrofitting for an Italian monumental masonry building

Maurizio Orlando^{*}, Michele Betti, Paolo Spinelli

Dipartimento di Ingegneria Civile e Ambientale, Via di S. Marta, 3 – 50139 Firenze (Italy)

*Corresponding author: <u>maurizio.orlando@unifi.it</u>

6 Abstract: This paper deals with assessment of structural behaviour and seismic retrofitting of Rocca Strozzi, a 7 monumental masonry building located in the Municipality of Campi Bisenzio, near Florence, in Italy. The paper 8 consists of three parts. The first part is dedicated to description of the geometry and state of conservation of the 9 building. The second part presents results of a multi-level approach prescribed by the Italian Code, including 10 finite element analysis, which allowed for the static and seismic capacity of the building to be assessed. The 11 third and last part of the paper illustrates a strengthening design approach aimed at increasing both the 12 load-bearing capacity of some portions and the global seismic capacity of the building. Innovative solutions are 13 proposed to strengthen the chemin de ronde and roof of the main building. The case study highlights that in 14 many cases the strengthening design of an existing monumental masonry building may be successfully 15 developed even if the knowledge of geometry, constructive details and material properties is limited.

Keywords: monumental masonry building, knowledge level, double-leaf masonry, mechanism analysis, finite
element analysis, strengthening design

18 1. Introduction

3

4

5

19 The structural analysis of historical and monumental masonry buildings requires care and caution, as it is 20 not an easy task neither to recognize the actual static scheme, which in many cases could have been modified 21 over time, nor to identify structural details and mechanical properties of the masonry. In many cases, additional 22 uncertainties come from the lack of an exhaustive experimental campaign to characterize mechanical properties 23 of structural materials, especially when the building is under monumental protection. Therefore, researchers are 24 required not only to analyse the historical constructive evolution of the building [1] but also to verify geometry 25 and investigate constructive details and mechanical properties of materials to such an extent that a target 26 knowledge level can be reached [2, 3]. Preliminary analyses could be useful to design the in-situ investigation 27 and choose the most appropriate knowledge level [4]. Moreover, non-destructive techniques, combined with 28 numerical modelling, may be used to detect the damage state of the existing construction [5, 6, 7, 8].

29 Monumental masonry buildings were often built taken into consideration only static loads, while no 30 attention was paid to seismic loads, even if they were built in regions of moderate or high seismicity. This is the 31 reason why the main cause of damage and losses to cultural heritage is represented by earthquakes. Only in 32 those regions where earthquakes occurred with a high frequency, builders adopted suitable constructive details 33 to strengthen the building against seismic loads. Seismic horizontal loads can significantly change stress 34 patterns in masonry walls, produce cracks and activate local failures or, in the worst case, the global failure of 35 the building. The seismic vulnerability of monumental buildings is often due to the presence of large rooms 36 covered by thin masonry vaults or very deformable wooden floors without a satisfactory connection to 37 supporting walls, slender walls, arches [7, 9], projecting parts like walkways lying on corbels, large openings, 38 multi-leaf walls with an inner core of bad quality, and so on. Therefore, even if the masonry is of good quality, 39 the seismic capacity of a monumental building could be very low. Due to a large number of historical and 40 monumental buildings located in seismic regions, their vulnerability analysis should be performed first at 41 territorial scale using simplified methods and utilizing a few geometrical and mechanical parameters, 42 eventually taken from literature. Then successive evaluation levels are required to design local retrofitting or 43 global retrofitting of the building. This multi-level approach is adopted by the Italian Guidelines for assessment 44 and mitigation of seismic risk of Cultural Heritage (IGCH) [10]. To reduce and limit strengthening works, 45 giving higher priority to conservation issues and monitoring, IGCH consider three evaluation levels: LV1, LV2, 46 and LV3. The first level (LV1) uses simplified analysis methods and qualitative data to allow for the evaluation 47 of the seismic vulnerability on many buildings at urban scale. The second level (LV2) uses local models for 48 independent portions of the building and may be applied to design strengthening works of single portions of the

49 building. The third level (LV3) concerns the whole building and requires a global model or, alternatively, the

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/13421248

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/13421248

Daneshyari.com