



Flavonoids in aerial parts of *Persicaria mitis* (Schrank) Holub



Sebastian Granica*, Karolina Hinc

Department of Pharmacognosy and Molecular Basis of Phytotherapy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Medical University of Warsaw, Poland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 12 May 2015

Received in revised form 2 July 2015

Accepted 5 July 2015

Available online 13 July 2015

Keywords:

Persicaria mitis

Polygonum mite

Polyphenols

Polygonaceae

Flavonoids

ABSTRACT

The study presents the isolation of seventeen polyphenols from aerial parts of *Persicaria mitis* comprising one simple chromone derivative (1) and sixteen flavonols (2–17). Compounds (1, 3–4, 8, 10, 12 and 15–17) were detected and isolated for the first time from investigated plant material. The chemotaxonomic value of all isolated compounds within *Persicaria* genus is discussed.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Subject and source

Persicaria mitis (Schrank) Holub (*Persicaria dubia* Stein, *Polygonum mite* Schrank), commonly known as tasteless water pepper, is an erect to suberect, 35–60 cm tall, branched, annual herbaceous weed occurring in the central temperate region of Europe (Webb, 1964; Jalas and Suominen, 1972). Recent phylogenetic studies on *Polygonum* L. *sensu lato* confirmed that *Persicaria mitis* is closely related to *Persicaria minor* (Huds.) Opiz and *Persicaria hydropiper* (L.) Delarbre (Galasso et al., 2009). The aerial parts of *P. mitis* were collected at the banks of Vistula river in Warsaw (N 52°13'36", E 21°03'06", altitude: 82 m). The plant material was identified by Dr. Sebastian Granica and confirmed by Prof. Christian Zidorn (Institute of Pharmacy, Department of Pharmacognosy, University of Innsbruck, Austria) and Prof. Dr. Konrad Pagitz (Institute of Botany, University of Innsbruck, Austria). A voucher specimen (No. 20140910_C) was deposited in the herbarium of Department of Pharmacognosy and Molecular Basis of Phytotherapy, Medical University of Warsaw, Poland.

2. Previous work

Previous investigations on the chemical composition of taxa belonging to Polygonaceae family showed that flavonoids are valuable chemotaxonomical markers within this family (Kawasaki et al., 1986). In the literature there are three chromatographic studies describing the phytochemical composition of *P. mitis* (Smolarz, 1999, 2002a,b). The TLC analysis of phenolic acids revealed that *P. mitis* contained chlorogenic, protocatechuic, caffeic, gentysic, *p*-hydroxyphenyl acetic, *p*-hydroxybenzoic, *p*-coumaric, melillic, syringic, vanillic and salicylic acids (Smolarz, 1999). The HPLC investigation of flavonoid aglycones showed that aerial parts of *P. mitis* contain taxifolin, luteolin, quercetin-3-*O*-methyl ether, quercetin, kaempferol and rhamnetin (Smolarz, 2002a). Smolarz (2002b) also reported thirteen flavonoid glycosides including quercetin 3-*O*-

* Corresponding author. ul. Banacha 1, 02-097 Warsaw, Poland.

E-mail addresses: sgranica@wum.edu.pl, sgranica@gmail.com (S. Granica).

rutinose (rutin), quercetin 3-O-galactoside (hyperoside, hyperin), quercetin 3-O-glucoside (isoquercitrin), quercetin 3-O-rhamnoside (quercitrin), quercetin 3-O-arabinopyranoside (guaijaverin), quercetin 3-O-arabinofuranoside (avicularin), quercetin-3-O-glucuronide (miquelianin), quercetin 3-O-(6''-galloyl)-glucoside, quercetin 8-O-glucoside, quercetin 4'-O-glucoside (spireoside), kaempferol 3-O-glucoside (astragalin) and isorhamnetin 3-O-glucoside. It must be noted that none of the mentioned compounds have been isolated and that their identity was confirmed basing on R_f values or retention times compared to available standards. Thus all reported studies should be considered as preliminary.

3. Present study

Shade dried aerial parts of *P. mitis* (800 g) were exhaustively extracted with a mixture of acetone:methanol:water (3:1:1, v/v) by sonication (10 × 3 L, 30 min each time). Organic solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure and the aqueous residue was partitioned between diethyl ether (7 × 0.75 L), ethyl acetate (5 × 0.75 L) and finally *n*-butanol (5 × 0.75 L). Combined fractions were evaporated to dryness under vacuum affording 1.11, 4.86 and 13.53 g of each fraction, respectively.

The diethyl ether residue (1.11 g) was subjected to a flash chromatography system (PuriFlash 430evo, Interchim, France, silica gel column – 40 mm × 50 mm, Interchim, France, λ = 254, 350 nm, flow 5 mL/min, mobile phase: chloroform (A) and methanol (B); elution program: 0% B – 50% B (0–480 min) then 50% B – 75% B (480–510 min)) fractions were collected continuously 20 mL each to obtain 128 fractions which were then pooled into 12 main fractions E₁–E₁₂ basing on TLC profile (silicagel, mobile phase: ethyl acetate:formic acid:water, 18:1:1, v/v/v, derivatized with 1% Naturstoffreagenz A, Carl Roth, Germany). Fraction E₂ (33 mg) was subjected to preparative HPLC system (Shimadzu LC10vp, Japan, Zorbax C₁₈ – 5 μ m, 150 mm × 21.2 mm, Agilent, CA, USA, λ = 280 nm, flow 7 mL/min, mobile phase: 0.1% HCOOH in water (A) and 0.1% HCOOH in acetonitrile (B); elution program: 10% B – 30% B (0–35 min)) fractions were collected based on UV–Vis chromatograms to give pure compound **1** (t_r = 33.3–34.5 min, 2.1 mg). Fraction E₇ (200 mg) was subjected to column chromatography on Sephadex LH-20 (150 cm × 2.5 cm) and eluted with methanol to give 108 fractions combined to 8 main fractions ES₁–ES₈ basing on TLC profile (the same as above). Fraction ES₄ (68 mg) and fraction ES₇ (14 mg) were separated using preparative HPLC system (instrumentation and conditions as above) to give pure compounds **2** (t_r = 28.7–30.8 min, 12.5 mg) and **3** (t_r = 29.3–30.7 min, 5.5 mg), respectively.

The ethyl acetate residue (4.86 g) was subjected to a flash chromatography system (the same instrumentation, silica gel column – 45 mm × 50 mm, flow 5 mL/min, mobile phase: chloroform (A) and methanol (B); elution program: 0% B – 50% B (0–360 min) then 50% B – 70% B (360–420 min) and finally 70% B – 100% B (420–480 min)) fractions were collected continuously 15 mL each to obtain 160 fractions which were pooled into 13 main fractions O₁–O₁₃ basing on TLC profile (the same as above). Fraction O₂ contained pure compound **4** (43 mg). Fractions O₅ (60 mg) and O₆ (83 mg) were separated using preparative HPLC system (instrumentation as above, different gradient elution: 20% B – 45% B, 0–45 min, then 45%–60%, 45–60 min) to give pure compounds **5** (t_r = 37.0–37.7 min, 1.1 mg), **6** (t_r = 37.9–38.6 min, 8.8 mg), **7** (t_r = 45.5–46.2 min, 1.1 mg) and **8** (t_r = 54.8–55.5 min, 0.6 mg) from fraction O₅ and compound **9** (t_r = 28.0–29.6 min, 3.6 mg) from fraction O₆. Fraction O₇ (700 mg) was subjected to column chromatography on Sephadex LH-20 (150 cm × 2.5 cm) eluted with methanol to give 110 fractions combined to 10 main fractions OS₁–OS₁₀ based on TLC profile (the same as above). Fraction OS₆ (150 mg) was subjected to preparative HPLC system (instrumentation as above, different gradient elution: 10% B – 35% B, 0–35 min) to yield pure compounds **10** (t_r = 29.3–30.0 min, 1.9 mg), **11** (t_r = 34.7–35.4 min, 1.5 mg), and **12** (t_r = 35.6–36.2 min, 2.2 mg). Fraction OS₈ (38 mg) was subjected to preparative HPLC system (instrumentation as above, different gradient elution: 12% B – 40% B, 0–45 min) to give compounds **13** (t_r = 24.2–25.0 min, 5.8 mg) and **14** (t_r = 31.5–36.5 min, 4.9 mg). Fraction O₉ (320 mg) was subjected to column chromatography on Sephadex LH-20 (150 cm × 2.5 cm) eluted with methanol to give 144 fractions combined to 8 main fractions OSS₁–OSS₈ based on TLC profile (the same as above). Fraction OSS₄ (25 mg) was subjected to preparative HPLC system (instrumentation as above, different gradient elution: 12% B – 35% B, 0–45 min) to give compound **15** (t_r = 22.0–22.4 min, 4.5 mg). Fraction OSS₇ (60 mg) was subjected to preparative HPLC system (instrumentation as above, different gradient elution: 10% B – 35% B, 0–35 min) to give compound **16** (t_r = 23.6–24.3 min, 6.9 mg). Fraction O₁₁ (1.01 g) was subjected to preparative HPLC system (instrumentation as above, 15 runs 67 mg each time, different gradient elution: 10% B – 30% B, 0–35 min) to obtain pure compound **17** (t_r = 29.0–30.0 min, 99 mg).

Structures of the isolated compounds have been determined on the basis of the UV–Vis, MS and ¹H spectra. If needed, additional experiments including ¹³C, HSQC, HMBC and chromatographic experiments have been performed. Isolated flavonoids were identified as 7,5-dihydroxychromone (**1**) (Simon et al., 1994), quercetin 3-O- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (quercitrin) (**2**) (Peng et al., 2003), quercetin 3-O- α -(2''-O-galloyl)-L-rhamnopyranoside (**3**) (Peng et al., 2003), rhamnazin (**4**) (Barbera et al., 1986), kaempferol (**5**) (Yang et al., 2012), isorhamnetin (**6**) (Su et al., 2008), rhamnetin (**7**) (Yamauchi et al., 2014), kaempferide (**8**) (Ji et al., 2014), quercetin (**9**) (Yang et al., 2012), gossypetin 8-methyl ether 3-O- β -D-glucopyranoside (**10**) (Bennini et al., 1992), kaempferol 3-O- β -D-glucopyranoside (**11**) (astragalin) (Peng et al., 2003), isorhamnetin 3-O- β -D-glucopyranoside (**12**) (Sang et al., 2002), quercetin 3-O- α -L-arabinopyranoside (**13**) (Kwon and Bae, 2013), rhamnazin 3-O-sulphate (**14**) (Haraguchi et al., 1996), quercetin 3-O- β -D-glucopyranoside (**15**) (isoquercitrin) (Wu et al., 2008), quercetin 3-O- β -(2''-O-galloyl)-D-glucopyranoside (**16**) (Kawakami et al., 2011) and quercetin 3-O-(2''-O- β -D-xylopyranosyl)- α -L-rhamnopyranoside (**17**) (Nielsen et al., 2005).

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1354225>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1354225>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)