Carbohydrate Research 346 (2011) 2091-2097

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Carbohydrate Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/carres

Synthesis of an O-sulfo Lewis^X analog as glycolipid antigen

Shuhei Ueno, Shigeomi Horito*

Department of Biological Science and Technology, Tokyo University of Science, 2641 Yamazaki, Noda, Chiba 278-8510, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 2 May 2011 Received in revised form 4 June 2011 Accepted 21 June 2011 Available online 29 June 2011

Keywords: Glycolipid antigen O-Sulfo Lewis^x Sphinganine CD1d ligand

ABSTRACT

The title compound containing dihydroceramide as a ligand for CD1d was accomplished using the mannosyl, glucosaminyl, and fucosyl donors, and a sphinganine analogue, as suitable building blocks. The 2-O-unprotected mannosyl donor was coupled effectively with the sphinganine analog to afford the mannnosyl sphinganine derivative. The coupling of the glucosaminyl donor with the mannosyl sphinganine acceptor required triflic acid as a promoter and the promoter change to silver triflate led to the undesired glycal production. The reduction of azide group using Zn powder was the key process, in which the amount of acetic acid was restricted to avoid the benzoyl migration and N-trichloroacetyl deprotection. The trisaccharide glycolipid was sulfonated at the 3-position of fucose moiety.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

rbohydrat

1. Introduction

Cancer-associated glycoproteins and glycolipids have been found by characterization of cancers.¹⁻⁴ These cancer-cell glycans were associated with malignant transformation, hematogenous metastasis and angiogenesis.⁵ Levels of fucosyl-, β -galactosyl-, β -N-acetylgalactosaminyl-, sialyl-, and sulfotransferase activities of various cancer cell lines were examined and the existence of unique carbohydrate moieties in cancer-associated glycolipids was predicted.⁶ Whereas, the CD1 family of antigen presenting glycoproteins has been well studied⁷ and the crystal structure of CD1d revealed that two deep hydrophobic pockets were able to accommodate two hydrocarbon chains.⁸



In these contexts, target molecule 1 as a cancer antigen candidate was designed to mimic a partial structure of Lewis^X, which can be constructed by the cancer specific activation of corresponding transferases in N-glycan biosynthesis. A glycolipid-immunized antibody production against glycoproteins is a challenging study because glycolipids are much readily obtainable than glycoproteins.

2. Results and discussion

Three sugar and one lipid components were required for a chemical synthesis of target compound **1**. A saturated sphinganine analog was selected as the ligand for CD1d because of synthetic process truncation. Therefore, known sphingosine analog **2**⁹ was hydrogenated using H₂ and Pd/C to afford saturated lipid component 3 (92%) as depicted in Scheme 1.

The glucosaminyl donor was prepared in the following way (Scheme 2). Glucosamine derivative $\mathbf{4}^{10}$ was protected by a p-methoxybenzyl (MB) group to afford 5 in 76% yield. The benzylidene group of 5 was hydrolyzed in 90% aqueous acetic acid, followed by benzylation, to provide glucosaminyl donor 6 in a 72% yield over two steps.

The fucosyl donor was obtained in the following manner (Scheme 3). Isopropylidenation of known thiofucoside **7**¹¹ afforded 8 (65%), of which the protection with an allyl group afforded 9 (90%). The isopropylidene group of 9 was hydrolyzed in 90% aqueous acetic acid to yield deprotected compound 10 (90%). Partial protection of **10** using dibutyltin oxide and *p*-methoxybenzyl



Scheme 1.



^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +81 4 7124 1501; fax: +81 4 7125 1841. E-mail address: shorito@rs.noda.tus.ac.jp (S. Horito).

^{0008-6215/\$ -} see front matter © 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.carres.2011.06.027

2092



Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) NaH, MBCl, DMF, 0 °C, 76%; (b) 90% HOAc; (c) NaH, BnBr, DMF, 0 °C, 72% (two steps).



Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) 2-methoxy propene, *p*-toluenesulfonic acid, acetone, 65%; (b)AllBr, NaH, DMF, 0 °C, 90%; (c) 90% HOAc, 60 °C, 90%; (d) Bu₂SnO, TBAI, NaH, MBCI, 120 °C; (e) Ac₂O, pyridine, 60% (two steps).

chloride and ensuing acetylation furnished the fucosyl donor **11** (60%).

The construction of the target compound **1** is outlined in Scheme 4. Condensation of sphinganine acceptor 3 with mannnosyl donor **12**¹² having a hydroxyl group at C-2, which was designed in this research as a donor with moderate activity afforded α -mannopyranoside **13** (75%), of which the α -configuration was ascertained by ¹³C NMR spectroscopy ($J_{C-1,H-1} = 173 \text{ Hz}$).¹³ Although it might be expected that 2-O-chloroacetylated 12 would improve a condensation yield, a similar mannosylation using 2-O-chloroacetylated 12 never afforded the desired product because the condensation was preceded by acyl migration of the 2-O-chloroacetyl group to the acceptor. A second condensation of acceptor 13 with glucosaminyl donor 7 under NIS-TfOH promotion yielded disaccharide **14**, of which the newly constructed β -configuration was confirmed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy ($J_{1,2}$ = 7.9 Hz). Glycal production was promoted by use of silver triflate instead of triflic acid as the promoter and no desired product was generated. The

p-methoxybenzyl group of **14** was deprotected using ammonium cerium(IV) nitrate (CAN) to yield acceptor **15** (72%). Condenzation of **15** and fucosyl donor **11** under NIS–TfOH promotion afforded trisaccharide **16** (67%), of which newly constructed α -configuration was confirmed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy ($J_{1,2}$ = 3.4 Hz).

Deprotected compound **17** (63%) was prepared by PdCl₂-catalized deallylation of 16 and again protected by benzoyl group to afford benzoate 18 in a 92% yield. We attempted to carry out trichloroacetyl (TCA) and azido group reduction using tributyltin hydride; however, benzoyl migration to the newly produced amino group was inevitable. The problem was resolved by an unexpected reaction. Azido group reduction of 18 was accomplished using Zn powder without the removal of the *N*-trichloroacetyl group in spite of its removal conditions. Ratios of HOAc and CH₂Cl₂ were critical during the treatment with zinc: azide group reduction and de-Ntrichloroacetvlation were normally observed in a high concentration (0.06:1 HOAc-CH₂Cl₂) to afford derivative **20** (60%): the de-N-trichloroacetylation was prevented in a middle concentration (0.05:1 HOAc-CH₂Cl₂) to afford desired compound **19** (61%); starting material 18 was completely recovered in a low concentration (0.02:1 HOAc-CH₂Cl₂). Subsequent coupling of the amino group of **19** with octadecanoic acid using *N*-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-*N'*-ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (WSC) afforded glycolipid derivative **21** (55% two steps) and the trichloroacetyl group was converted to acetyl group by radical reduction using tributyltin hydride to yield 22 (98%).

The *p*-methoxybenzyl group of **22** was deprotected using CAN to afford **23** (69%), which was sulfonated using sulfur trioxide–pyridine complex to yield **24** (79%). Removal of the benzyl group with sodium bromate and sodium dithionite afforded **25** in a 33% yield.¹⁴ The removal of acyl groups with NaOMe in MeOH gave **1** in an 86% yield. The formation of 3-O-sulfonated derivatives was proven by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The 3³-protons of the sulfonated **24** and **25** showed the remarkable down-field shifts of 1.29–1.45 ppm compared with that of the non-sulfonated **23**. Moreover, the sulfonated 3³-proton of **1** also showed the down-field shifts of 0.50 and 0.42 ppm compared with the non-sulfonated 2³- and 4³-



Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: (a) 3, NIS, AgOTf, MS 4 Å, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 75%; (b) 6, NIS, HOTf, MS 4 Å, CH₂Cl₂, -15 °C; 70%; (c) CAN, 10:1 CH₃CN/H₂O, 72%; (d) 11, NIS, HOTf, MS 4 Å, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 67%; (e) PdCl₂, 2:1 MeOH/CH₂Cl₂, 63%; (f) BzCl, Pyr, CH₂Cl₂, 92%; (g) Zn, HOAc, CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C, 61%; (h) octadecanoic acid, WSC, CH₂Cl₂, 75%; (i) Bu₃SnH, DMA, AIBN, C₆H₆, 120 °C, 98%; (j) CAN, 10:1 CH₃CN/H₂O, 69%; (k) SO₃/pyridine, DMF, 79%; (l) NaBrO₃, Na₂S₂O₄, 1:2 ACOEt/H₂O, 33%; (m) NaOMe, MeOH, H₂O, 86%.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1390453

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1390453

Daneshyari.com