



## Four imidazolium iodocuprates based on anion- $\pi$ and $\pi$ - $\pi$ interactions: Structural and spectral modulation



Fang Liu, Pengfei Hao, Tanlai Yu, Qi Guan, Yunlong Fu\*

School of Chemistry & Material Science, Shanxi Normal University, Linfen 041004, PR China

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 30 November 2015

Received in revised form

15 April 2016

Accepted 19 April 2016

Available online 29 April 2016

#### Keywords:

Imidazolium iodocuprates

Anion- $\pi$  interaction

Sandwich structure

Structural diversity

Bandgap modulation

### ABSTRACT

Four imidazolium iodocuprates, [(1,3-dimethylimidazole)(Cu<sub>2</sub>I<sub>3</sub>)<sub>n</sub>] (1), [(1,2,3-trimethylimidazole)(Cu<sub>2</sub>I<sub>3</sub>)<sub>n</sub>] (2), [(1,3-dimethylimidazole)(Cu<sub>3</sub>I<sub>5</sub>)<sub>n</sub>] (3) and [(1,3-dimethylbenzimidazole)(CuI<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>] (4) have been solvothermally synthesized and optically characterized. Results exhibit that cationic spatial orientation, anion- $\pi$  and  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions are beneficial to structural diversity and band gap modulation of iodocuprate hybrids. The UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra show that compounds 1–4 are potential semiconductor materials.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Halometallate hybrids based on the crystal and energy-gap engineering have attracted considerable interest [1–10] in the last few years. As an important branch of the semiconducting materials, iodocuprate hybrids are especially interesting due to their structural diversity [11–13] and bandgap-related properties, such as photocatalytic [14] and second harmonic generation (SHG) [15]. It is well known that bandgaps can usually reflect the charge carrier mobility ability of semiconductor materials and consequently determine their application ranges [16–18]. Generally, two strategies are used to modulate the bandgaps of hybrids: one is changing the bonding features or dimension of inorganic frameworks [19,20]; another is introducing organic functional cations [21–23]. The latter is viewed as a more effective and active strategy, and various aromatic cations have been extensively used, especially for pyridine-based organic cations, which not only reveal versatile directing effects based on their multiple noncovalent interactions such as  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interactions, anion- $\pi$  interactions and hydrogen bonding [24–27], but also endow the resulted hybrids with intriguing thermo- or photochromism on the basis of the versatile intermolecular charge transfer or electronic transfer

[28–31]. In contrast, among the five-membered heterocyclic compounds, imidazolium are especially intriguing because of it largely used for anion recognition and room temperature ionic liquid (RTIL) through hydrogen bonds [32–35]. As an unusual noncovalent interaction, anion- $\pi$  interaction is propitious to form the charge transfer complexes, consequently used in the design of anion-sensing receptors [36–44]. Meanwhile, weak stacked  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interaction among the imidazolium  $\pi$  systems can be parallel, rotated or antiparallel, which was used to stabilize structure of imidazolium ionic liquids and salts, and explore in molecular recognition [42,45–47]. In a word, the imidazolium based on the weak interactions (anion- $\pi$ ,  $\pi$ - $\pi$ ) in halometallate hybrids have been paid few attentions [48–51]. Structurally, reasonable control alkylation of imidazole can endow these imidazolium derivatives with more flexible spatial orientation and further potential anion- $\pi$  or  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking interactions improve their charge carrier mobility, which may be beneficial to the structural diversity and energy gap modulation [52] of iodocuprate hybrids.

On the basis of the above consideration, four imidazolium iodocuprates hybrids [(1,3-dimethylimidazole)(Cu<sub>2</sub>I<sub>3</sub>)<sub>n</sub>] (1), [(1,2,3-trimethylimidazole)(Cu<sub>2</sub>I<sub>3</sub>)<sub>n</sub>] (2), [(1,3-dimethylimidazole)(Cu<sub>3</sub>I<sub>5</sub>)<sub>n</sub>] (3) and [(1,3-dimethylbenzimidazole)(CuI<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>] (4) *via in situ* route were synthesized by selecting the flexible imidazole derivatives as structure directing agents, and their effect of cationic spatial orientation and functional modulation were also investigated.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [yunlongfu@sxnu.edu.cn](mailto:yunlongfu@sxnu.edu.cn) (Y. Fu).

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Materials and methods

All reagents and solvents were commercially available and used as received without further purification. The FT-IR spectra were recorded from KBr pellets in the range of 4000–400  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  on a Nicolet 5DX spectrometer. XRPD data were recorded in a Rigaku Ultima IV–185 diffractometer. Elemental analyses were carried on Perkin–Elmer 240 elemental analyzer. UV–Vis diffuse reflectance spectra were measured at room temperature with a Varian Cary 5000 UV–Vis spectrophotometer (the values of  $E_g$  were obtained with the use of a straightforward extrapolation method [53,54]). Thermogravimetric (TG) behavior was investigated on a HTG-3 thermogravimetric analyzer at 10  $^{\circ}\text{C}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$  in air from room temperature to 800  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

### 2.2. Preparation of compounds 1–4

Four compounds were prepared through the similar procedure except for the variation of imidazole derivatives (*N,N*-carbonyldiimidazole (0.016 g, 0.10 mmol) in **1**, 2-methylimidazole (0.008 g, 0.10 mmol) in **2**, imidazole (0.006 g, 0.10 mmol) in **3**, benzimidazole (0.016 g, 0.10 mmol) in **4**).

A mixture of CuI (0.085 g, 0.50 mmol), imidazole derivatives (0.10 mmol), acetone (4 ml), methanol (4 ml) and concentrated HI (0.1 ml, 45%) were stirred for 30 min at room temperature, then sealed in a 15 ml Teflon-lined stainless steel vessel, heated at 160  $^{\circ}\text{C}$  for 5 days.

For compound **1**, black-red block crystals were obtained in 41.2% yield (based on Cu). Anal. Calcd (%) for  $\text{C}_5\text{H}_9\text{Cu}_2\text{I}_3\text{N}_2$ : C 9.92, H 1.49, N 4.63%. Found: C 9.94, H 1.47, N 4.68%. IR (KBr,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3443 s, 3146w, 3110w, 3096w, 2944w, 2920w, 2853w, 1611 m, 1572 m, 1511 s, 1462w, 1168 m, 827 m, 743w, 616 m. For compound **2**, pale yellow block crystals were obtained in 40.0% yield (based on Cu). Anal. Calcd (%) for  $\text{C}_6\text{H}_{11}\text{Cu}_2\text{I}_3\text{N}_2$ : C 11.63, H 1.78, N 4.52%. Found: C 11.61, H 1.79, N 4.50%. IR (KBr,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3436 s, 2919 m, 2850w, 1635 s, 1458w, 1396w, 1241w, 1127w, 1085w, 734w, 651w. For compound **3**, brown plate crystals were obtained in 48.5% yield (based on Cu). Anal. Calcd (%) for  $\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{18}\text{Cu}_3\text{I}_5\text{N}_4$ : C 11.77, H 1.76, N 5.49%. Found: C 11.79, H 1.75, N 5.50%. IR (KBr,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3429 s, 3134w, 3111w, 3079w, 2923w, 2854w, 1623 m, 1559 m, 1164 s, 826w, 750 m, 616 m. For compound **4**, pale yellow rod crystals were obtained in 38.9% yield (based on Cu). Anal. Calcd (%) for  $\text{C}_9\text{H}_9\text{Cu}_2\text{N}_2$ : C 23.56, H 1.10, N 6.11%. Found: C 23.55, H 1.09, N 6.10%. IR (KBr,  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3436 s, 3142w, 3117w, 3065w, 3044 s, 2934w, 2853w, 1616 m, 1565 s, 1462 m, 1349w, 1265 m, 1214 m, 1120 m, 1100 m, 1011w, 860w, 757 s, 592w, 559w.

### 2.3. X-ray crystallography

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data of **1–4** were collected at 293 K on an Oxford Gemini diffractometer (Mo  $K\alpha$ ,  $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$ ). An empirical absorption correction using spherical harmonics, implemented in SCALE3 ABSPACK scaling algorithm [55,56]. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined on  $F^2$  by full-matrix least-squares methods with the SHELXS-97 program [57,58]. The Cu1/Cu1' in compound **2** are disordered, with fixed occupancy of 0.95 and 0.05, respectively. The crystallographic data of **1–4** are listed in Table 1, the most relevant lengths and angles of **1–4** are listed in Table 2, the C–H $\cdots$ I hydrogen bonds are listed in Table S1 and selected bond lengths and bond angles are listed in Table S2 of the supporting information.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Infrared spectral aspects

The IR spectra of compounds **1–4** (Fig. S6) present similar features due to the presence of imidazolium. The C–H stretching vibrations of imidazolize ring are observed as the medium or weak bands in the range of 3146–3111  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ , while the phenyl is observed as strong band at ca. 3044  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . Medium or weak bands in the range of 2944–2854  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  indicate the C–H stretching vibrations of methyl groups. Medium bands in the range of 1635–1611  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  indicate the C=C stretching vibration and 1462–1164  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  can be assigned to the C–N stretching vibration of imidazolize ring [59].

In order to investigate the effect of the cationic substituted groups on the overall hybrids, *N,N*-carbonyldiimidazole, 2-methylimidazole, imidazole and benzimidazole are chosen as precursors and transformed *via in situ* methylation. And **3** may indicate the hydrolysis of *N,N*-carbonyldiimidazole in **1** and structural difference of the final resultants implies a special influence of imidazole precursors. Interestingly, formation of the same organic cation, 1,3-dimethylimidazolium for **1**.

### 3.2. Structural description

Four compounds are all featured as chain-like inorganic motif with different architectures.

**[[1,3-dimethylimidazole][Cu2I3]]<sub>n</sub> (1)** Compound **1** crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group *Pbcn* and the asymmetric unit contains one copper (I) atom, two iodine atoms and half of a 1,3-dimethylimidazolium (Fig. S1). As shown in Fig. 1(a), I (1) and I (2) adopt  $\mu_3$ - and  $\mu$ -bridging modes respectively. Each monovalent Cu atom is tetrahedrally coordinated and bridged by one  $\mu$ -I (2) atom and three  $\mu_3$ -I (1) atoms, resulting in a distorted tetrahedral environment. The Cu–I bond distances range from 2.5468 (14) to 2.8125 (18)  $\text{\AA}$ , while the I–Cu–I bond angles vary between 97.46 (5) and 120.53 (6) $^{\circ}$ . And the Cu $\cdots$ Cu distances (Cu(1)–Cu(1A) = 2.489 (3)  $\text{\AA}$ ) are shorter than the sum of van der Waals radius of the Cu(I) ion (1.4  $\text{\AA}$ ) [48,60], indicating a strong Cu–Cu  $d^{10}$ - $d^{10}$  interaction. Adjacent CuI<sub>4</sub> tetrahedral are connected together *via* alternative edge- and face-sharing modes, giving rise to a Cu<sub>2</sub>I<sub>3</sub> polymeric chain along the *c*-axis, which is chargedly balanced by 1,3-dimethylimidazolium. Such a chain is similar to the reported compound of  $\{[\text{Pr}_2\text{EtNH}]\text{Cu}_2\text{I}_3\}_n$  [61].

Noteworthy, imidazole ring is almost parallel to the inorganic chain and two methyl groups point toward the inter-chain space. Especially, each imidazole ring is sandwiched between two  $\mu$ -I (2) atoms (Fig. 1(b)) from adjacent inorganic chains with distance (4.144  $\text{\AA}$ ) between  $\mu$ -I atom and centroid of the imidazole ring, which is fall in the range of anion– $\pi$  interaction (3.82–4.26) [41–43] and the angle of the I $\cdots$ centroid axis to the plane of the imidazolium ring is 66.2 $^{\circ}$  (Fig. 1(c)), indicating weak anion– $\pi$  interaction (Table 2) [62,63].

**[[1,2,3-trimethylimidazole][Cu2I3]]<sub>n</sub> (2)** Compound **2** crystallizes in the monoclinic *I2/a* space group and the asymmetric unit contains two copper atoms, three iodine atoms and a 1,2,3-trimethylimidazolium (Fig. S2). As shown in Fig. 2(a), Cu(1) is disordered, I (1)/I (2) and I (3) adopt  $\mu_3$ - and  $\mu$ -bridging modes respectively and two distinct Cu atoms are four-coordinated in a distorted tetrahedral geometry by one  $\mu_3$ -I (1) atom, two  $\mu_3$ -I (2) atoms and one  $\mu$ -I (3) atom for Cu(1), two  $\mu_3$ -I (1) atoms, one  $\mu_3$ -I (2) atom and one  $\mu$ -I (3) atom for Cu(2). The Cu–I distances, I–Cu–I angles and Cu–Cu distances are in the range of 2.5525(8)–2.9347(11)  $\text{\AA}$ , 98.58(3)–125.77(4) $^{\circ}$  and 2.4585(11)–2.7698(18)  $\text{\AA}$ , respectively. The shortest Cu $\cdots$ Cu distance is 2.4585 (11)  $\text{\AA}$ , shorter

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1404812>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1404812>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)