

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Molecular Structure 789 (2006) 220-224

Journal of MOLECULAR STRUCTURE

www.elsevier.com/locate/molstruc

Blue luminescent complexes based on 5-aminodiacetic isophthalic ligand

Yanqing Xu, Baiquan Chen, Yaqiong Gong, Daqiang Yuan, Feilong Jiang, Maochun Hong *

State key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of the Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fuzhou, Fujian 350002, People's Republic of China

> Received 17 November 2005; received in revised form 25 December 2005; accepted 27 December 2005 Available online 28 February 2006

Abstract

The reactions of Cd(CH₃COO)₂·2H₂O or Zn(CH₃COO)₂·2H₂O and 5-aminodiacetic isophthalic acid (H₄adip) with 1,10'-phenanthroline (phen) ligand gave rise to two blue luminescent coordination mononuclear complexes: [Cd(phen)(H₃adip)₂(H₂O)₂]·0.5H₂O (1) and Zn(phen)(H₃adip)₂ (2), respectively. 1 crystallizes in the triclinic space group *P*-1, with a=6.2889(2), b=16.1556(5), c=18.4277(7) Å, α =97.722(12), β =94.338(9), γ =95.039(7)°, V=1840.86(11) Å³, Z=2. Complex 2 crystallizes in monoclinic, space group *C*2/*c* with a=22.639(8), b=9.813(3), c=15.708(6) Å, β =110.928(4)°, V=3259(2) Å³, Z=4. Carboxylic groups in both structures are involved in strong hydrogen bonding interactions. At room temperature, complex 1 exhibits an intense emission at 474 nm upon 380 nm excitation, while complex 2 exhibits an intense emission at 485 nm upon 365 nm excitation, which are contributed from ligand-to-metal charge-transfer (LMCT). © 2006 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Mononuclear; Supramolecule; Carboxylate; Hydrogen bond; Luminescence

1. Introduction

In recent years, chemists have devoted a great deal of effort to metal-organic coordination polymers [1–5]. In particular, aromatic multi-carboxylate ligands have been shown to be good building blocks in the design of metal-organic materials with desired topologies owing to their rich coordination modes [6-9]. However, most adopted ligands possesses rigid carboxylate arms, e.g. 1,3,5-benzenetricarboxylate [10], 1,2,4,5benzenetetracarboxylate [11], 1,4,5,8-naphthalenetetracarboxylate [12], etc. Relative fewer compounds are reported derive from flexible carboxylate arms [13,14]. But as known that the crystal structure formation is sensitive to the flexibility of carboxylate arms, and such flexibility may increase the probability of making tube-like or cage-like structure. Taking account of these, we feel it significant to take on the exploration on carboxylate ligand with flexible carboxylate arms. So, we designed and synthesized a new bridging chelating multi-carboxylate ligand 5-aminodiacetic isophthalic acid (H₄adip). H₄adip not only has two rigid carboxyl groups, but also has two flexible acetic groups with suitable lengths. Moreover, the nitrogen atom of aminodiacetic group is also possible to participate in the coordination sphere. In addition, like other multi-carboxylate ligands, it can act not only as hydrogen-bond acceptor but also as hydrogen-bond donor, depending upon the number of deprotonated carboxyl groups, which contribute to the stability of supramolecular network. Recently, we began to assemble H₄adip and transition metal ions into polymeric complexes under hydrothermal conditions. We hope the rich information stored in H₄adip will induce novel polymeric structures. Through carefully controlling the reaction conditions, we have found that H₄adip is a versatile building block for the construction of metal-organic complexes through complete or partial deprotonation of its carboxyl groups. A series of interesting structures from 1D to 3D architectures have been successfully obtained in our experiments [15]. Herein, we reported two transition metal complexes derived from H₄adip and phen under strong acidic conditions: [Cd(phen)(H₃adip)₂ $(H_2O)_2$] \cdot 0.5H₂O (1) and Zn(phen)(H₃adip)₂ (2). Such discrete molecules are further linked together by hydrogen bonds or $\pi \cdots \pi$ interactions to generate interesting supramolecular architectures, and both show intense blue luminescence in solid state at room temperature.

2. Experimental section

2.1. General

The H_4 adip were prepared as described in our work elsewhere [15], and the other starting materials were purchased commercially and used without further purification. Elemental

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 591 83792460; fax: +86 591 83714946. *E-mail address:* hmc@ms.fjirsm.ac.cn (M. Hong).

analyses were determined on an Elemental Vario ELIII elemental analyzer. IR spectra were measured as KBr pellets on a Nicolet Magna 750 FT IR spectrometer in the range of 200-4000 cm⁻¹. Fluorescent spectra were measured on an Edinburgh Instruments analyzer model FL920.

2.2. Synthesis of $[Cd(phen)(H_3L)_2(H_2O)_2] \cdot 0.5H_2O(1)$

A mixture of Cd(CH₃COO)₂·2H₂O (0.134 g, 0.5 mmol), H₄adip (0.148 g, 0.5 mmol) and 1,10-phen (0.099 g, 1.0 mmol) dissolved in distilled water (6 ml)/CH₃COOH (6 ml) was sealed in a 25 ml Teflon-lined autoclave and heated under autogenous pressure at 90 °C for 3 days. The resulting colorless prismatic crystals of **1** were filtered, and air-dried at room temperature. Yielded (0.157 g), produced in 67.6% (based on H₄adip). IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 3425(br), 2972(w), 2911(w), 2844(w), 1696(s), 1602(s), 1468(s), 1423(s), 1383(m), 1325(s), 1383(m), 1293(m), 995(m). Anal. Calcd for C₃₆H₃₃CdN₄O_{18.5}: C 46.49, H 3.58, N 6.02%. Found: C 46.42, H 3.71, N 5.98%.

2.3. Synthesis of $Zn(phen)(H_3L)_2$ (2)

Complex **2** was synthesized by the same procedure as above but adding $Zn(CH_3COO)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$ instead of $Cd(CH_3COO)_2 \cdot 2$ H_2O . Pale-yellow prism crystals are obtained by filtration. Yielded (0.075 g), produced in 36.2% (based on H₄adip). IR (KBr pellet, cm⁻¹): 3436.9(br), 3075.6(w), 2921.54(w), 2865.02(w), 2361.7(w), 1718.63(vs), 1597.8(m), 1555.49(m), 1467.63(m), 1421.97(m), 1383.83(m), 1267.9(w), 1216.5(m), 1192.47(m), 990.58(m), 846.79(w). Anal. Calcd for $C_{36}H_{28}N_4O_{16}Zn$: C 51.60, H 3.37, N 6.69%. Found: C 51.52, H 3.42, N 6.64%.

Table 1

Crystallographic data for the complexes 1 and 2

	1	2	
Empirical formula	C36H33CdN4O18.5	C36H28N4O16Zn	
Temp. (K)	173(2)	173(2)	
Cryst. color	Colorless	Colorless	
Cryst. size (mm ³)	$0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.08$	$0.20 \times 0.10 \times 0.05$	
Mr	930.06	837.99	
Crystal system	Triclinic	Monoclinic	
Space group	P-1	C2/c	
a (Å)	6.2889(2)	22.639(8)	
<i>b</i> (Å)	16.1556(5)	9.813(3)	
<i>c</i> (Å)	18.4277(7)	15.708(6)	
α (°)	97.722(12)	90	
β (°)	94.338(9)	110.928(4)	
γ (°)	95.039(7)	90	
$V(\text{\AA}^3)$	1840.86(11)	3259(2)	
Ζ	2	4	
Density (mg/m ³)	1.678	1.708	
Abs. coeff. (mm^{-1})	0.684	0.846	
<i>F</i> (000)	946	1712	
Refln collections	14204	12279	
Indep. Reflns	8293 [<i>R</i> (int)=0.0259]	3719 [<i>R</i> (int)=0.0315]	
Params	547	314	
S on F^2	1.142	1.076	
R1, wR2 $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	0.0534, 0.1176	0.0393, 0.0928	
R1, wR2 (all data)	0.0609, 0.1216	0.0459, 0.0973	

Table 2 Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°) of complexes 1 and 2

	Bond		Bond angle
	length (Å)		(°)
Complex 1			
Cd(1)-O(18)	2.274(3)	N(2)-Cd(1)-N(1)	70.67(11)
Cd(1)–O(8)	2.306(3)	O(8)-Cd(1)-O(14)	152.75(11)
Cd(1)–N(2)	2.336(3)	O(18)-Cd(1)-O(17)	89.80(13)
Cd(1)-O(14)	2.340(3)	O(8)-Cd(1)-N(1)	87.36(11)
Cd(1)–N(1)	2.403(3)	O(18)-Cd(1)-N(2)	162.06(11)
Cd(1)-O(17)	2.412(3)	O(18)-Cd(1)-N(1)	113.45(12)
O(13)-C(36)	1.247(5)	O(14)-Cd(1)-N(1)	118.94(10)
O(14)-C(36)	1.278(5)	N(1)-Cd(1)-O(17)	152.48(12)
O(7)–C(13)	1.272(6)		
O(8)–C(13)	1.235(5)		
Complex 2			
Zn(1)-N(2A)#1	2.0641(17)	N(2A)#1-Zn(1)-N(2)	81.92(9)
Zn(1)–N(2)	2.0641(17)	O(1)-Zn(1)-O(2)	59.97(6)
Zn(1)-O(1A)#1	2.1252(16)	O(1A)#1-Zn(1)-O(1)	153.73(8)
Zn(1)–O(1)	2.1252(16)	N(2A)#1-Zn(1)-O(2A)#1	153.19(6)
Zn(1)-O(2A)#1	2.2347(15)	N(2)-Zn(1)-O(1A)#1	105.37(6)
Zn(1)–O(2)	2.2347(15)	O(1)-Zn(1)-O(2A)#1	101.43(6)
Zn(1)-C(8A)#1	2.517(2)	N(2)-Zn(1)-O(2A)#1	96.43(6)
O(1)–C(8)	1.257(2)		
O(2)–C(8)	1.262(3)		

Symmetry code: #1 -x, y, -z + 1/2.

2.3.1. X-ray structure analyses

X-ray intensity data of both complexes were collected on a Mercury CCD diffractometer equipped with graphite monochromated Mo K α radiation (λ =0.71073 Å) at 173 K. Empirical absorption corrections were applied to the data using the SADABS program [16]. The structures were solved by the direct method and refined by the full-matrix least squares on F^2 using the sHELXTL-97 program [17]. All of the non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The H atoms bonded to C atoms were positioned geometrically and refined using a riding model [C–H 0.93 Å and $U_{iso}(H)=1.2 U_{eq}(C)$]. The H atoms bonded to O atoms were located from difference maps and refined isotropically. Crystallographic data and structure refinements for 1 and 2 are summarized in Table 1. Selected bond lengths and bond angles are listed in Table 2. CCDC numbers are 290056 for compound 1 and 290057 for compound 2, respectively.



Fig. 1. ORTEP drawing of 1, drawn with displacement ellipsoids at the 30% probability.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1407736

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1407736

Daneshyari.com