



# Nanoindentation studies of small-scale martensitic transformations and ductile precipitate effects in dual-phase polycrystalline shape memory alloys

Rebecca D. Dar and Ying Chen\*

Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, 110 8th Street, Troy, NY 12180, USA

Received 12 February 2015; revised 7 March 2015; accepted 8 March 2015

Available online 30 March 2015

**Abstract**—A ductile solid solution phase  $\gamma$  is introduced into austenite  $\beta$  of a polycrystalline Co–Ni–Al Shape Memory Alloy (SMA) using thermal treatments. Thermally-induced martensitic transformation in this dual-phase SMA is detected by Differential Scanning Calorimetry and X-ray Diffraction. We perform nanoindentation tests using a Berkovich tip to study mechanically-induced martensitic transformations and transformation–precipitate interactions. Deviation of load–depth curve of austenite  $\beta$  from Hertz elastic prediction indicates initiation of plastic deformation and possibly also martensitic transformation, the occurrence of which is supported by stress analysis. Compared to non-transforming  $\gamma$ , strain recovery is significantly higher and percent energy dissipation is much lower in  $\beta$ . Indents in  $\beta$  but at  $\beta/\gamma$  interfaces exhibited enhanced strain recovery, higher nanohardness, and lower energy dissipation in comparison to austenite  $\beta$ . There is local strengthening at the  $\beta/\gamma$  interface. Additionally,  $\gamma$  accommodates transformation strain in nearby  $\beta$  by extensive plastic deformation, alleviating stress concentration beneath the indenter. The plastic accommodation by  $\gamma$  also relieves the constraint imposed on transforming  $\beta$  and decreases the energy barrier for transformation. As a result, less material deforms plastically and more transforms martensitically, improving superelastic properties in  $\beta$  adjacent to  $\gamma$ . Our results suggest that incorporation of a ductile second phase is promising for enhancing ductility and superelasticity of polycrystalline SMAs.

© 2015 Acta Materialia Inc. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

**Keywords:** Dual-phase Shape Memory Alloys (SMAs); Martensitic transformation; Nanoindentation; Ductile precipitate effects; Superelasticity

## 1. Introduction

Shape Memory Alloys (SMAs) hold a great promise for actuation, sensing, and damping applications [1]. They can sustain large strains when a force is applied, and recover their prior shape and dimensions upon release of the force or application of heat. The shape memory effect is enabled by a reversible martensitic phase transformation, through which austenite and martensite phases with different crystal structures convert between each other mainly by a shear [2]. During thermally-induced transformation, martensite variants self-accommodate to minimize strain energy; but under an applied stress one or a few variants are promoted over others and the self-accommodated configuration no longer exists, leading to macroscopic strain. Many single crystalline SMAs have been studied (e.g. Ni–Ti [3–5], Ni–Mn–Ga [6], Cu–Al–Ni [7], Cu–Zn–Al [8,9], and Co–Ni–Ga [10]) and some can achieve recoverable strains up to 10% in tension [11]. In polycrystalline SMAs, however, during stress-induced transformation different grains may shear in different directions, which often induces strain incompatibility and stress concentration at grain boundaries, leading to intergranular fracture. For example, while single crystalline [12,13] and oligocrystalline [14–16]

Cu–Al–Ni exhibits high recoverable strains, its bulk polycrystalline forms are prone to grain boundary cracking [17,18]. On the other hand, polycrystalline Ni–Ti SMAs exhibit excellent transformation ductility (possibly due to their particular transformation crystallography and grain texture [19]), but are expensive and have only moderate fatigue properties [20]. It is therefore desirable to develop polycrystalline SMA alternatives that are not only ductile but low cost. Dual-phase design of polycrystalline SMAs is a scientifically intriguing concept with promising technological potential.

Dual-phase concept has been explored in many SMA systems. Some SMA systems can precipitate an intermetallic second phase, such as  $Ti_2Ni$  or  $Ti_3Ni_4$  in Ni–Ti [21,22], H-phase in Ni–Ti–Hf(Zr) [23–25],  $\gamma'$  in Co–Ni–Ga [26,27], and  $\gamma$  in Cu–Zn–Al [28–36].  $Ti_2Ni$  and  $Ti_3Ni_4$  precipitates increase hardness and enhance superelastic properties in Ni–Ti [21,22]. H-phase precipitates smaller than 100 nm in Ni–Ti–Hf(Zr) strengthen the matrix and improve shape memory properties of polycrystals, which achieve full shape recovery ( $\sim 3\%$ ) at 180–250 °C [23,24]. 10–25 nm  $\gamma'$  precipitates in Co–Ni–Ga single crystals are effective at strengthening austenite and resisting plastic deformation [26], leading to complete recovery of nearly 3% compressive strain up to 300 °C [27]. Oriented  $\gamma'$  precipitates result in higher transformation temperatures and smaller hysteresis than do non-oriented ones due to the ease of martensite

\* Corresponding author; e-mail: [cheny20@rpi.edu](mailto:cheny20@rpi.edu)

accommodation around oriented  $\gamma'$  precipitates [27]. In Cu–Zn–Al single crystals, while larger  $\gamma$  precipitates ( $\sim 500$  nm) tend to increase martensitic transformation temperature and narrow hysteresis with thermal cycling, smaller ones ( $\sim 15$  nm) facilitate the stabilization of transformation during cycling [28]. As these intermetallic second phases discussed above were studied in either Ni–Ti based SMAs or single crystals of Cu–Zn–Al or Co–Ni–Ga, they do not provide a solution for the long-standing transformation brittleness issue in many polycrystalline SMAs such as Ni–Mn–Ga, Cu–Al–Ni, Cu–Zn–Al, Co–Ni–Ga, or Co–Ni–Al.

Several SMA systems that exhibit brittleness associated with grain boundaries in polycrystalline austenite  $\beta$  phase can precipitate a non-transforming and ductile solid solution phase  $\gamma$ . Studies on Ni–Al [37], Co–Ni–Al [38–40], Co–Ni–Ga [41], Ni–Al–Fe [42], and Ni–Mn–Ga [43] have reported enhancement of ductility of  $\beta$  polycrystals when the  $\gamma$  phase was precipitated into them. For example, Ni–Al alloys exhibit  $\beta/\gamma$  equilibrium when alloyed with Co, Cr, Fe, Mn, or Cu; additions of these elements to polycrystalline Ni–Al SMAs, which are extremely brittle, drastically improve room-temperature and elevated-temperature ductility and workability due to the formation of a ductile  $\gamma$  phase [37].  $\gamma$  may preferentially precipitate along grain boundaries, cushioning grain boundaries as they are stressed [37,44]. The presence of  $\gamma$  alters the fracture mode from intergranular cracking in single  $\beta$  phase Ni–Al to transgranular with ductile tearing in (Co, Cr, Fe, Cu)–Ni–Al dual-phase alloys [37]. In  $\beta + \gamma$  dual-phase Co–Ni–Al polycrystals, as the volume fraction of  $\gamma$  increases from 18% to 40%, the strain to failure increases significantly from 19% to 40%, and cold workability also improves [45]. Polycrystalline Ni–Mn–Ga and Ni–Mn–Fe–Ga also show an increase in plasticity with additions of  $\gamma$  phase [43].

Interestingly, the addition of a non-transforming phase does not seem to compromise superelastic strain and recovery. For example, [115] oriented Co–Ni–Al single crystals with a  $\beta + \gamma$  microstructure achieve a recoverable strain of 5.5–6% in tension [11,46] and 3.3% in compression [46]. [001] and [123] oriented Co–Ni–Al crystals with a  $\beta + \gamma$  dual-phase microstructure achieve 4.1% and 3.3% compressive superelastic strains [46], while those for their  $\beta$  phase counterparts are 4% and 2.5%, respectively [47]. A dual-phase Co–Ni–Al polycrystalline alloy containing  $\gamma$  achieves a recoverable strain of 4% following five cycles in compression [48]. A Co–Ni–Ga polycrystal containing  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  have a compressive shape memory strain of 5.1% [41], while Co–Ni–Ga  $\beta$  phase single crystals (oriented along [001], [011], and  $[\bar{1}23]$ ) have recoverable transformation strains of 4.5%, 4.0%, and 3.5% in compression, respectively [49].

Despite the above studies on dual-phase SMAs, the direct localized effect of a ductile second-phase on superelastic properties is presently unclear. The key to develop and optimize dual-phase SMAs lies in understanding martensitic transformation–precipitate interactions at austenite/precipitate phase boundaries. Instrumented nanoindentation is suited for gaining such understanding. Nanoindentation has been used to probe the localized mechanical properties of SMA thin films [50–52], single crystals [53], bulk polycrystals [54,55], and nanopillars [56], and has been shown to be able to detect superelastic behavior [51,52]. Some studies utilized a cono-spherical or spherical indenter tip with a large nominal radius (e.g.  $0.6 \mu\text{m}$  [56],  $1 \mu\text{m}$  [57],  $2 \mu\text{m}$  [52],  $5 \mu\text{m}$  [58],  $10 \mu\text{m}$  and

$650 \mu\text{m}$  [59]) for nanoindentation on SMAs to reduce stress concentration and plasticity beneath the tip, and accordingly used high indentation loads, on the order of tens of mN [54,58,60]. Others used a Berkovich tip of 50–200 nm nominal radius [50,53–55,57,59,61,62] that probes smaller volumes. One study used a Berkovich tip and applied cyclic loads with a peak value of 1000  $\mu\text{N}$  to Ni–Ti thin films, and demonstrated stabilization of superelasticity after 6 cycles [50]. Nanoindentation has also been used to probe the local effects of small precipitates on mechanical properties in dual-phase alloys (non-SMAs). For example, it has been applied to a  $\gamma/\gamma'$  nickel-based superalloy to measure the hardness of  $\gamma$  with a 250 nm channel width and  $\gamma'$  precipitates of 100–790 nm size [63]. It was also used to measure hardness and modulus of  $\gamma'$  precipitates smaller than 100 nm in CMSX–6 and Waspaloy superalloys [64]. Nanoindentation has also been used to measure local hardness [65] and probe plastic zone–grain boundary interactions in dual-phase steel consisting of martensite grains smaller than  $4 \mu\text{m}$  and ferrite grains smaller than  $1.5 \mu\text{m}$  [66].

Our main goal is to study the superelastic properties of dual-phase SMAs at small scales using nanoindentation, and elucidate martensitic transformation–ductile precipitate interactions across phase boundaries. We also study grain orientation effect on small-scale martensitic transformations. In this study, we use a Co–Ni–Al SMA as a model material and study the effects of a ductile solid solution phase  $\gamma$ . Co–Ni–Al has excellent corrosion resistance, very high yield strength ( $\sim 0.6$ – $1.2$  GPa [37,67,68]), and high melting temperature, making it a desirable low-cost candidate material for both ambient and high temperature applications [69]. Its high yield stress also helps suppress yielding during low-load nanoindentation and facilitates our study of martensitic transformations. The understanding and insights gained from this study will be applicable or adaptable to many other dual-phase SMA systems.

## 2. Experimental procedure

Cylindrical ingots of  $\text{Co}_{37}\text{Ni}_{35.5}\text{Al}_{27.5}$  at.% were prepared by arc melting and casting in a copper chill mold in high purity argon. The as-prepared polycrystalline alloy was subjected to a thermal treatment in argon with 1% hydrogen at  $1150^\circ\text{C}$  for 24 h [70]. Fig. 1(a) illustrates our alloy composition (as a red dot), which is located in the  $\beta + \gamma$  dual-phase regime in an isothermal Co–Ni–Al ternary phase diagram. From an analysis of the phase diagram and the use of reported tie lines [69], it is expected that approximately 18–20 wt.%  $\gamma$  exists in equilibrium with  $\beta$  as a result of this thermal processing. Fig. 1(b–d) shows the unit cells of austenite  $\beta$ , martensite  $\beta'$ , and  $\gamma$  in Co–Ni–Al. The austenite  $\beta$  phase, which has a B2 crystal structure, transforms to tetragonal  $\text{L1}_0$  martensite (by shrinking along the “a” axes while expanding along “c”).  $\gamma$  phase is a face-centered cubic solid solution consisting of Co, Ni, or Al atoms at each lattice site [69].

A Differential Scanning Calorimeter (TA instruments DSC-Q2000) was used to measure martensitic transformation temperatures with a temperature ramping rate of  $2^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$ . Transformations were further confirmed by in-situ X-ray Diffraction (XRD). XRD patterns were collected with Cu– $K\alpha$  radiation using a Bruker D8-Discover Diffractometer equipped with a thermally controlled stage capable of reaching temperatures in the range of  $-100^\circ\text{C}$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1445354>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1445354>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)