

Axial stress–strain relationship for FRP confined circular and rectangular concrete columns

Mohamed H. Harajli *

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, American University of Beirut, Beirut-Lebanon, Lebanon

Available online 30 August 2006

Abstract

A general mathematical model is developed to describe the stress–strain (f_c – ϵ_c) relationship of FRP confined concrete. The relationship is applicable to both circular and rectangular columns, and accounts for the main parameters that influence the stress–strain response. These include the area and material properties of the external FRP wraps, the aspect ratio of rectangular column sections, the corner radius used for FRP application, and the volumetric ratio and configuration of internal transverse steel. The proposed model reproduced accurately experimental results of stress–strain or load–deformation response of circular and rectangular columns. In addition to its importance in evaluating the effect of FRP confinement on the ultimate axial strength of concrete columns, the developed f_c – ϵ_c relationship can be employed very efficiently and effectively for analyzing the response of FRP confined concrete under different types of load application.

© 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Columns; Confined concrete; Ductility; Fiber reinforced polymers; Stress; Strain

1. Introduction

Several experimental studies have been conducted for evaluating the axial strength characteristics of concrete columns confined externally with fiber reinforced polymer (FRP) composites. These studies have identified most of the critical parameters that influence the axial strength of FRP confined columns [1]. These include the area and material properties of the transverse FRP reinforcement, arrangement of reinforcement, type of column section (rectangular, circular), the aspect ratio of rectangular section, and the radius of the section corner prepared for FRP application. Although most of these parameters are identical to those that influence the stress–strain response of steel confined concrete, because steel behaves in elasto-plastic manner while FRP is a linear elastic material, the axial strength and stress–strain behavior for

concrete confined with FRP composites are substantially different as compared to concrete confined with steel ties.

Most of the available studies on the axial strength characteristics of FRP confined columns have concentrated on circular columns, while relatively very few addressed rectangular columns [2,3]. Similar to the behavior of steel confined concrete [4], lateral confinement of rectangular sections using FRP, particularly those with large aspect ratio, is not as effective as circular sections [5]. Unlike circular columns where the full column section is confined, rectangular columns need sizable axial strain before the flat sides are able to mobilize the FRP confinement pressure. According to ACI Committee 440 [1], confining square or rectangular columns with FRP jackets can provide marginal increase in the axial load capacity, but because of the many unknowns associated with this type of application, it is not possible with the current state of knowledge to provide recommendations on the use of FRP for strengthening rectangular columns. Furthermore, because of the substantial number of parameters involved, very

* Tel.: +961 3 627180; fax: +961 1 744462.

E-mail address: mharajli@aub.edu.lb

Nomenclature

A_{frp}	area of transverse FRP reinforcement	n_f	number of transverse FRP layers
A_{fa}	area of longitudinal FRP reinforcement	P	applied axial load
A_g	gross area of section	r	corner radius
A_{cc}	area of concrete core	s'	clear spacing between transverse hoops or spirals
A_e	area of effectively confined concrete	t_f	thickness of one FRP layer
A_s	area of column longitudinal reinforcement	w	clear distance between adjacent longitudinal bars
b	section width	w_{xi}, w_{yi}	the i th clear distance between adjacent longitudinal bars along the horizontal x - and y -dimensions respectively
D	diameter of circular section	x, y	concrete core dimensions to center line of peripheral hoop
d_s	diameter of spiral or hoop	ϵ_c	concrete strain
E_c	modulus of elasticity of concrete	ϵ_{cc}	concrete strain for confined concrete
E_f	modulus of elasticity of transverse FRP	ϵ_{co}	concrete strain at the intersection point between the 1st and 2nd stage of the stress–strain curve
E_{fa}	modulus of elasticity of longitudinal FRP	ϵ_{cu}	limiting concrete strain
E_{lf}	lateral modulus of elasticity of FRP	ϵ_{fu}	fracture strain of the FRP
E_{ls}	lateral modulus of elasticity of steel	ϵ_ℓ	lateral concrete strain
E_s	modulus of elasticity of steel	$\epsilon_{\ell o}$	lateral concrete strain at intersection point between the 1st and 2nd stage of the stress–strain curve
f_c	concrete stress	ϵ_o	strain at maximum stress for unconfined concrete
f'_c	compressive strength of unconfined concrete	ϵ_{yt}	yield strain of transverse hoops
f_{cc}	stress in confined concrete	ρ_{cc}	steel ratio relative to the concrete core section
f'_{cc}	compression strength of confined concrete	ρ_f	volumetric ratio of FRP reinforcement
f_{co}	stress at the intersection point between the 1st and 2nd stage of the stress–strain curve	ρ_s	ratio of column longitudinal reinforcement
f_{cu}	stress corresponding to a limiting strain ϵ_{cu}	ρ_{st}	volumetric ratio of hoop reinforcement
f_ℓ	effective lateral confining pressure		
f'_ℓ	hydrostatic confining pressure		
f_s	steel stress		
f_y	yield stress of longitudinal column reinforcement		
f_{yt}	yield stress of transverse steel ties or hoops		
h	section depth		
k_1	confinement effectiveness coefficient		
k_e, k_v	confinement effectiveness parameters		

few studies have attempted to generate the stress–strain response of concrete confined with FRP composites taking into account rectangular sections. In evaluating the axial–flexural capacity of concrete columns confined with FRP straps, Saadatmanesh et al. [6] adopted the stress–strain model of Mander et al. [4] which was developed for concrete confined with ordinary steel. However, as pointed out by Mirmiran and Shahawy [7], given the significantly different mechanical properties of the steel and FRP, extending confinement models developed originally for steel to cover FRP confined columns may not be appropriate. A stress–strain model for FRP confined concrete was developed by Toutanji [8] but it is applicable mainly for circular columns.

In this study, a comprehensive and yet simple mathematical model is developed to produce the stress–strain response of FRP confined concrete column sections. In addition to its great importance in predicting the effect of FRP confinement on the axial load capacity of columns, the generation of such a stress–strain relationship is essential for conducting analytical studies of the response of

FRP confined concrete under different types of load applications, including axial and flexural loads [9].

2. Confinement models

Most of the available models for evaluating the compression strength and ductility of confined concrete are based on the confinement model derived experimentally by Richart et al. [10,11] using concrete specimens confined with active hydrostatic fluid pressure

$$f'_{cc} = f'_c + k_1 f'_\ell \quad (1a)$$

$$\epsilon_{cc} = \epsilon_o \left(1 + k_2 \frac{f'_\ell}{f'_c} \right) \quad (1b)$$

where f'_{cc} , ϵ_{cc} are the compressive strength and corresponding strain of confined concrete; f'_c , ϵ_o are the compressive strength and corresponding strain for unconfined concrete; f'_ℓ is the lateral hydrostatic pressure; $k_1 = 4.1$, and $k_2 = 5k_1$.

Among the most widely used models to describe the axial strength of reinforced concrete columns confined with

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1455726>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1455726>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)