



Modeling and simulation of an industrial three phase trickle bed reactor responsible for the hydrogenation of 1,3-butadiene: A case study



T. Tohidian^a, O. Dehghani^a, M.R. Rahimpour^{a,b,*}

^a Department of Chemical Engineering, School of Chemical and Petroleum Engineering, Shiraz University, Shiraz 71345, Iran

^b Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, University of California, 1 Shields Avenue, Davis, CA 95616, United States

HIGHLIGHTS

- Modeling of an industrial trickle bed reactor responsible for the hydrogenation of 1,3-butadiene into n-butane.
- Developing a more detailed reaction network.
- Investigation of the effect of inlet temperature on the behavior of the reactor.
- Studying the performance of the reactor under different operating conditions of the flowing feed stream.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 8 October 2014

Received in revised form 3 March 2015

Accepted 7 March 2015

Available online 7 April 2015

Keywords:

Trickle bed reactor

1,3-Butadiene hydrogenation

Kinetic modeling

Differential evolution

ABSTRACT

In this research, an industrial trickle bed reactor responsible for the hydrogenation of 1,3-butadiene into n-butane has been chosen as a case study. In this regards, a suitable reaction network has been applied as the base kinetic structure and then it has been developed further to a more detailed reaction scheme capable of predicting available plant data. As the next step, attempts have been made to establish an accurate and simple to use mathematical modeling with the ultimate goal of predicting the plant outputs. The results ascertained the success of the proposed modeling in terms of total relative error of about 0.1. Moreover, the behavior of different parameters including temperature and molar flow rates along the length of the reactor has been studied. Additionally, the effect of inlet temperature on the behavior of the understudied trickle bed reactor has been seriously investigated. Finally, the performance of the three phase catalytic reactor has been studied under different operating conditions of the flowing feed stream.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Catalytic trickle bed reactors (TBRs) are among multiphase systems wherein a fixed bed of catalyst particles is in concurrent contact with a gas–liquid stream flowing downward the reactor [1–5]. Their application areas encompass a broad spectrum of industries from chemical, petrochemical, and petroleum to waste water treatment, biochemical, pharmaceutical, and electrochemical industries [3–8]. They are advantageously applied for heterogeneously

catalyzed reactions including hydrogenation, oxidation, alkylation, and chlorination [1,9–14]. TBRs have superiority over other three-phase catalytic reactors in various aspects. Since it is impossible to enumerate here all advantages of such reactors, only some outstanding features are listed below [3,4,10,11,15]:

- Simplicity of operation due to no moving parts.
- Lower catalyst attrition due to their simple construction.
- Larger reactor size.
- Lower liquid holdup that further results in lower homogeneous side-reactions.
- Lower pressure drop.
- High conversion due to plug flow pattern of the liquid phase.
- Lower energy dissipation rate.

In this study, advantages of TBRs are applied in order to purify a C₄ cut stream existed in an olefin complex. Generally, C₄ cut refining cannot be carried out practically by physical separation

Abbreviations: BA, n-butane; BD, 1,3-butadiene; cBE, cis 2-butene; CR, crossover constant; DE, differential evolution; F, scaling factor; HP, high pressure; H₂G, gas phase hydrogen; IB, isobutene; IBA, isobutane; LPG, liquefied petroleum gas; NP, number of populations; SOFC, solid oxide fuel cell; tBE, trans 2-butene; TBR, trickle bed reactor; TLE, transfer line exchanger; 1BE, 1-butene.

* Corresponding author at: Department of Chemical Engineering, School of Chemical and Petroleum Engineering, Shiraz University, Shiraz 71345, Iran. Tel.: +98 711 2303071; fax: +98 711 6287294.

E-mail address: rahimpour@shirazu.ac.ir (M.R. Rahimpour).

Nomenclature

A_c	cross sectional area of the reactor (m^2)	T_0	the standard temperature (298.15 K)
C	molar concentration (mol m^3)	u	superficial velocity
C_{pi}°	standard heat capacity of i th component at the standard temperature ($\text{J mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$)	V_{H_2}	molar gas volume of H_2 at standard conditions ($\text{m}^3 \text{mol}^{-1}$)
d_p	particle diameter (m)	Z	differential length of the reactor (m)
d_r	reactor diameter (m)		
D_{l,H_2}	hydrogen diffusivity in liquid phase ($\text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$)		
E	activation energy (J mol^{-1})	<i>Greek letter</i>	
F	molar flow rate (mol s^{-1})	ΔG°	Gibbs energy change when each species are at the standard state pressure
G_i°	Gibbs energy of i th component at the standard state pressure	ΔH_{r_j}	heat of j th reaction (J mol^{-1})
G_{oi}°	standard Gibbs energy of formation of i th component at the standard temperature (J mol^{-1})	ΔH_v	heat of vaporization (J mol^{-1})
h	Henry's constant ($\text{pa m}^3 \text{mol}^{-1}$)	ε_i	liquid holdup
H_{oi}°	standard enthalpy of formation of i th component at the standard temperature (J mol^{-1})	ν_i	stoichiometric number of i th component
k	frequency factor (refer to Table 8 for units)	ρ_B	density of catalytic bed (kg cat m^3)
$(ka)_{gl}$	gas–liquid volumetric mass transfer coefficient (s^{-1})	μ	viscosity ($\text{kg m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$)
$(ka)_{ls}$	liquid–solid volumetric mass transfer coefficient (s^{-1})	λ_{H_2}	solubility of hydrogen in hydrocarbon mixtures ($\text{m}^3 \text{kg}^{-1} \text{pa}^{-1}$)
K_{eq}	equilibrium constant	ρ_l	liquid phase density at operating conditions (kg m^{-3})
k_{ref}	frequency factor at reference temperature (refer to Table 8 for units)	ρ_{20}	liquid phase density at 20 °C (kg m^{-3})
M	molecular weight (gr.mol^{-1})	φ	association parameter
P_r	reduced pressure	ν	molar volume at normal boiling point ($\text{m}^3 \text{mol}^{-1}$)
R	universal gas constant ($\text{J mol}^{-1} \text{K}^{-1}$)		
Re	Reynolds number	<i>Subscript and superscript</i>	
r_j	rate of reaction ($\text{mol s}^{-1} \text{kg cat}^{-1}$)	i	counter of components
Sc	schmidt number	lg	ideal gas
S_p	shape factor	J	counter of reactions
T	temperature (K)	G	gas
T_r	reduced temperature	L	liquid
T_{ref}	reference temperature (K)	S	solid
		T	total

processes such as distillation owing to small relative volatility of all species in the mixture. Industrially, unsaturated compounds of C_4 cut including mainly 1,3-butadiene (BD), 1-butene (1BE), cis 2-butene (cBE), trans 2-butene (tBE), and isobutane (IBA) can be purified by selective hydrogenation in TBRs to form more valuable saturated components such as n-butane (BA) and isobutane (IBA) [16–19]. Butane compounds (including both BA and IBA) with various ratios of propane are known as liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) that widely used for internal combustion engines as an energy-economy-ecology friendly fuel [20–22]. LPG with no ozone depletion potential can also be applied as an alternative to conventional chlorofluorocarbon refrigerants [20]. Moreover, BA can be applied in solid oxide fuel cell (SOFC) systems to produce low cost-low emission electricity [23].

1.1. A literature review on reactor modeling

In 2003, Bressa et al. conducted an experimental study to evaluate a kinetic expression for the catalytic hydrogenation of unsaturated traces in C_3 – C_4 streams. Finally, they applied the results for 1-dimensional mathematical modeling of an adiabatic three-phase fixed-bed catalytic unit operated in up-flow mode with the hypotheses of complete wetting and no axial dispersion. The results introduced the temperature rise as a detrimental factor for the reactor performance. However, the simulation analysis attributed the higher performance of the reactor to both H_2 input load enhancement and the operating pressure rise [24]. In the same year, the research group of Dietz et al. developed a steady state model of a trickle bed reactor for the consecutive

hydrogenation of 1,5,9-cyclododecatriene. They proposed a non-isothermal heterogeneous model taking into account the partial wetting of the catalyst, as well as the resistances to heat and mass transfer at the gas–liquid, liquid–solid and solid–gas interfaces [25].

Shortly after, Lange and colleagues conducted an experimental and theoretical study of the hydrogenation of alpha-methylstyrene in a laboratory-scale trickle-bed reactor under forced periodic operation. In this regards, they made use of an extended axial dispersion model incorporating the variation of partially wetted catalyst surface. The results showed higher time-average conversion of alpha-methylstyrene in the forced operation mode in comparison to the steady state operating condition. The authors attributed the result to the variation in liquid hold-up and wetting of the catalyst particles [1].

In 2008, Liu and coworkers selected the hydrogenation reaction of dicyclopentadiene in a trickle-bed reactor as a case study. They investigated the effect of five operation strategies including ON-OFF and PEAK-BASE modulations of the liquid flow rate or concentrations respectively, and a novel hybrid modulation of both liquid flow rate and concentration. Finally, it was revealed that the modulation of liquid flow rate improve the hydrogenation reaction [26].

In 2012, Iliuta and Iliuta performed a two-dimensional, non-isothermal, unsteady-state mathematical modeling that considered momentum, mass, and enthalpy transport processes in the case of sulfur removal from gasoil by applying a monolith three-phase reactor. They compared the reactor performance with that of a conventional trickle bed reactor operated at the same liquid

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/146291>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/146291>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)