

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/13858947)

## Chemical Engineering Journal

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/cej](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/cej)

### Continuous process of biogas purification and co-production of nano calcium carbonate in multistage membrane reactors



Chemical Engineering Journal

Xi Liu<sup>a,b,1</sup>, Jun Zhou<sup>a,b,1</sup>, Yabing Zhang<sup>a,b</sup>, Xiaoning Liu<sup>a</sup>, Yilu Chen<sup>a,b</sup>, Xiaoyu Yong<sup>a,b</sup>, Shuya Wang<sup>a,b</sup>, Tao Zheng <sup>a,b,</sup>\*, Haoran Yuan <sup>c,\*</sup>

a College of Biotechnology and Pharmaceutical Engineering, Nanjing Tech University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 211816, PR China

**b Bioenergy Research Institute, Nanjing Tech University, Nanjing, Jiangsu 211816, PR China** 

<sup>c</sup> Guangzhou Institute of Energy Conversion, Key Laboratory of Renewable Energy, Chinese Academy of Science, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510640, PR China

#### highlights

• Capture  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and synthesis nano CaCO<sub>3</sub> at the same time.

- Two-stage cross flow membrane reactors with continuous operation mode were made.

• Produce gas with  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  content lower than 3% and CaCO<sub>3</sub> with 72.8 nm average particle size.

- The apparatus could run smoothly for at least 5 h.

#### article info

Article history: Received 22 December 2014 Received in revised form 18 February 2015 Accepted 24 February 2015 Available online 2 March 2015

Keywords: Biogas purification Nano calcium carbonate Membrane reactor Continuous process

#### A B S T R A C T

 $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture is one of the key technologies in biogas production. Using lime slurry to purify biogas and coproduction of nano calcium carbonate is an economical approach. In this work, multistage cross flow membrane reactors with continuous process were designed. Factors that influence  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  absorption efficiency such as Ca(OH)2 slurry concentration, flow rate, additives, gas flow rate, membrane length and the connecting pipe length were studied to select the proper parameters. Results show that high slurry flow rate, low gas flow rate, long membrane and connecting pipe and the dosage of additives may enhance  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  absorption, while  $Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>$  concentration has little impact on it. The hydrophobicity modification on the ceramic membrane using a silane coupling agent was also carried out. The change from hydrophilicity to hydrophobicity gave the reactor a higher mass transfer efficiency. Using the parameters carefully chosen based on the work above, the two-stage membrane reactors assembled successfully purified the gas (with end gas  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  content lower than 3%) and produced nano calcium carbonate with 72.8 nm average particle size. Extension of the experiment showed that this apparatus could run smoothly for at least 5 h. This work may provide a new method in biogas purification and nano calcium carbonate synthesis.

- 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

Biogas is a promising renewable resource [\[1\]](#page--1-0) which can help alleviate environment pollution and relieve the energy crisis. Its market demand is rising globally. The purification of biogas is one of the key technologies in its application because its purity weighs heavily against its quality  $[2]$ . The removal of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in biogas can raise its caloric value to compressed natural gas (CNG) standard [\[3\]](#page--1-0), which is crucial in biogas promotion.

Recent technologies used for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture are primarily water scrubbing  $[4]$ , chemical absorption  $[5]$ , pressure swing adsorption [\[6\]](#page--1-0), and membrane separation [\[7\].](#page--1-0) Each has its merit, but researchers are still looking for new methods with a more economical approach and which can utilize  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  properly.

As a high performance filler and coating, nano calcium carbonate has been widely used in many industrial fields  $[8]$ . The main production method is precipitation, using the chemical reaction

<sup>⇑</sup> Corresponding authors at: College of Biotechnology and Pharmaceutical Engineering, Nanjing Tech University, P.O. Box 77, No. 30, South Puzhu Road, Nanjing, Jiangsu 211816, PR China. Tel./fax: +86 25 58139929 (T. Zheng). Guangzhou Institute of Energy Conversion, Key Laboratory of Renewable Energy, Chinese Academy of Science, No. 2, Nenguan Rd, Wushan, Tianhe District, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510640, PR China. Tel.: +86 20 87013241 (HR. Yuan).

E-mail addresses: [zhengtao@njtech.edu.cn](mailto:zhengtao@njtech.edu.cn) (T. Zheng), [yuanhr@ms.giec.ac.cn](mailto:yuanhr@ms.giec.ac.cn) (H. Yuan).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Both authors contributed equally to this paper.

in which  $CO_2$  reacts with  $Ca(OH)_2$  forming  $CaCO_3$  and  $H_2O$ . Thus  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  could be utilized [\[9\]](#page--1-0)

Some researchers have attempted to produce nano calcium carbonate with membrane reactors. Wang et al. [\[10\]](#page--1-0) used a micro-dispersion minireactor, which has a microfiltration membrane as dispersion media, to synthesize calcium carbonate nanoparticles with sizes ranging from 34.3 to 110 nm. The mass transfer efficiency was significantly enhanced compared with the membrane-free reactor. This technique had been applied to industry [\[11\]](#page--1-0), Jia et al.  $[12-16]$  published a series of works in the field of synthesizing nano calcium carbonate via a hollow fiber membrane reactor, and described the mass transfer mechanics at length. However these works do not focus on the capture efficiency of CO<sub>2</sub>.

Our previous work [\[17\]](#page--1-0) developed a membrane reactor that could capture  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and synthesis nano calcium carbonate at the same time. However, the operational mode of this apparatus is batch operation. The  $Ca(OH)_{2}$  slurry circulates in the reactor until reacted completely. The method is labor intensive and unfit for large scale production. This work aims to switch the apparatus to a continuously operating one by redesigning the process and enhancing the performance.

#### 2. Material and methods

#### 2.1. Apparatus and reagents

The membrane reactor (Fig. 1) is made up of a membrane tube, shell, gas and liquid inlet, outlet and connective devices. The membrane tube (Jiangsu Jiuwu Hi-tech Co., Ltd.) is made of  $\alpha$ -alumina/ zirconia with a 1.16 m length (in some experiments a 0.58 m membrane is used) and 30 mm diameter. It has 19 lumens with 4 mm diameter and the average pore size of the membrane is 500 nm. In the experiments,  $Ca(OH)_2$  slurry flowed through the lumens of the membrane and contacted with the gas permeated through the micro pores of the membrane. Slurry and gas then flowed out together from the outlet, and separated in the gas–liquid separator. The schematic diagram of the two-phase flow is showed in Fig. 2.

The  $Ca(OH)_{2}$  slurry was transported by peristaltic pump (BT300-2 J, Baoding Longer pump Co. Ltd.). Its volume flow rate increased proportionally with the rotating speed, thus calculate the flow rate by the rotating speed is possible. The  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  content in the gas was determined by an infrared gas analyzer (HP-FX02, Nanjing Hope instrument Co., Ltd). The XRD pattern of the calcium carbonate was analyzed by Riguka Smartlab TM 9KW using Cu  $K_{\alpha}$ radiation (40 kV, 100 mA). The particle morphology was observed by transmission electron microscope (JOEL JEM-2100). The experiments used mixed gas containing approximately 50%





Fig. 2. Schematic diagram of the two-phase flow.

 $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and 50% N<sub>2</sub> (volume fraction). The reagents used, including  $Ca(OH)_2$ , EDTA, ZnSO<sub>4</sub> and (NaPO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>6</sub>, were analytical grade.

#### 2.2. Process design

The studies focusing on  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  capture usually consume excessive absorbent, while those focusing on calcium carbonate synthesis usually consume excessive  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . From the carbonation equation:

$$
Ca(OH)2 + CO2 = CaCO3 + H2O
$$
 (1)

we can see clearly that to capture  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and synthesize calcium carbonate at the same time, the molar ratio of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>$ must be 1:1. In other words, neither of the two reactants should be excessive. This calls for a reactor with high mass transfer efficiency as well as rational design.

To meet the demand above, multistage cross flow membrane reactors were developed and the schematic diagram of the process is showed in [Fig. 3.](#page--1-0)

In the first stage reactor,  $Ca(OH)_2$  slurry flows in the membrane lumens and reacts with the gas flowing out from the second stage; slurry and gas are then separated in the gas–liquid separator. The purified gas flows out as a product, and the incompletely reacted  $Ca(OH)_2$  slurry flows into the second stage. There, it reacts with the new, high  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  content gas and separates. The slurry then flows out as a product. The incompletely reacted gas flows into the first stage for once more purification. In our conception, the first stage reactor should capture  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  higher than 95%, and the second reactor should consume  $Ca(OH)_2$  completely.

Our consideration for the two stage cross-flow process is that both  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  content and  $Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>$  dosage are driving force of the reaction. To capture  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  thoroughly, excessive Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> is needed; to consume Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub> completely, it calls for ample  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . This contradiction could be resolved by separating one reactor into two. In the first stage reactor,  $Ca(OH)_2$  slurry with high concentration contacts with the gas with relatively low  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  content, the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  could be completely captured; in the second stage reactor, the raw gas containing relatively high  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  content could consume the  $Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>$ slurry flowed from the first stage completely.

If two stages are not sufficient to achieve the goals, reactors with three or more stages are advisable. The flow pattern in these extra stages would also be cross flow.

#### 2.3. Surface modification of the membrane

To achieve higher mass transfer efficiency, surface modification Fig. 1. Membrane reactor. **only as a struck of the membrane was carried out to transform the hydrophilic**  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

Download English Version:

# <https://daneshyari.com/en/article/146360>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/146360>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)