Corrosion Science 92 (2015) 155-161

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Corrosion Science

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/corsci

Mechanical integrity of corrosion product films on rotating cylinder specimens

L.D. Paolinelli^{a,*}, G.E. Carr^b

^a Institute for Corrosion and Multiphase Technology, Ohio University, Athens, OH 45701, USA ^b INTEMA, CONICET, National University of Mar del Plata, J.B. Justo 4302, 7600 Mar del Plata, Argentina

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 7 July 2014 Accepted 27 November 2014 Available online 5 December 2014

Keywords: B. Modelling studies C. Effects of strain C. Interfaces

ABSTRACT

Rotating cylinder (RC) technique is widely used for flow corrosion testing. However, this test configuration has limitations. Particularly, integrity of corrosion products films formed on the RC surface can be affected. The objective of this work is to show how intense the stresses in corrosion product films can be at high rotation speeds. In such cases, failure of the films is possible due to inertial forces rather than other factors, such as flow, leading to erroneous results. Consequently, theoretical calculation of stresses in films has been performed and a case of corrosion product film failure assessed using a fracture mechanics approach.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The rotating cylinder (RC) technique has been widely used in corrosion laboratory testing to determine the effect of flow velocity on corrosion rates [1-8]. The obtained information can be used to predict the corrosion behavior of the internal walls of pipes in industrial applications. This is usually achieved by using characteristic flow-related parameters such as the mass transfer coefficient, for which formulations for RC configuration are available elsewhere [5,6]. However, this test configuration is not exempt from complications. Particularly, the rotation-induced inertial forces acting on the whole volume of the RC can play an important role on the integrity of solid corrosion products or deposits that may form on the RC surface.

The objective of this paper is to show that the stresses in RC corrosion product films can be significant, particularly when high rotation speeds are employed. Under these conditions unnatural failure of corrosion product films may be possible due to inertial forces rather than other well-known factors under study, such as flow, which leads to erroneous conclusions.

Regarding this matter, a theoretical approach to the calculation of the stress field of corrosion product films is introduced. Moreover, an experimental case of film failure is assessed using available fracture mechanics knowledge. The results indicate that

 Corresponding author at: Institute for Corrosion and Multiphase Technology, Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, Ohio University, 342W.
 State Street, Athens, OH 45701, USA. Tel.: +1 740 593 0283; fax: +1 740 593 9949. *E-mail address:* paolinel@ohio.edu (L.D. Paolinelli).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.corsci.2014.11.042 0010-938X/© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. under certain experimental conditions the use of the RC technique can provoke spontaneous fracture of the corrosion product film, which is undesired in the corrosion test.

1.1. Stresses in corrosion product films attached on the cylindrical external surface of a RC

Uniform corrosion product layers attached on a metallic surface can be modeled as thin films. If a uniform isotropic elastic film of thickness h is completely adhered on the cylindrical external surface of a RC (Fig. 1), it can be presumed that the attached surface of the film will share the same displacement field as the substrate surface (attachment boundary condition). This is expressed as the equality of the strains of the film and the strains of the substrate surface in the tangential (t) and the axial (z) directions:

$$\varepsilon_{tf} = \varepsilon_{ts}$$
 (1)

$$\varepsilon_{zf} = \varepsilon_{zs}$$
 (2)

The subscripts *f* and *s* indicate whether the strain corresponds to the film or the substrate surface, respectively.

As the film is considered to be very thin, its radial stress component can be neglected ($\sigma_{rf} \sim 0$). Moreover, the constraint that the adhered film could cause on the substrate is also ignored; this means that if the substrate is loaded in some way it will respond as if nothing is on its surface.

If the cylinder length is larger than its diameter and the cylinder is unloaded in the axial direction, the axial strain of the substrate





Nomenclature list

| а | half of the length of a preexisting characteristic defect in | v_{s} | Poisson's ratio of the substrate |
|-------------------|--|---------------------|---|
| | the corrosion product film | Р | porosity of the film material |
| $\alpha_{\rm f}$ | thermal expansion coefficient of the film | R_1 | inner radius of the RC |
| α _s | thermal expansion coefficient of the substrate | R_2 | outer radius of the RC |
| α) | Dundurs parameters | Re | Reynolds number |
| β∫ | Dundurs parameters | $ ho_{ m s}$ | density of the RC material |
| ΔT | temperature variation | $ ho_{ m fl}$ | density of the fluid around the RC |
| E_{f} | Young's modulus of the film | $\sigma_{ m ij}$ | stress tensor |
| E _o | Young's modulus of the pore-free material of the film | $\sigma_{ m rf}$ | radial normal stress component in the film |
| Ē, | Young's modulus of the substrate | $\sigma_{ m rs}$ | radial normal stress component in the substrate |
| 8 _{tf} | tangential strain in the film | $\sigma_{ m rr}$ | radial normal stress component in the corrosion prod- |
| E _{ts} | tangential strain in the substrate | | uct film of FeCO ₃ |
| E _{7f} | axial strain in the film | $\sigma_{ m rt}$ | shear stress component in the corrosion product film of |
| E75 | axial strain in the substrate | | $FeCO_3$ (acting in tangential direction) |
| f | friction factor | $\sigma_{ m tf}$ | tangential normal stress component in the film |
| $f(a l,v_f)$ | dimensionless function of a/l and v_f estimated by means | $\sigma_{ m ts}$ | tangential normal stress component in the substrate |
| 5 () , , , | of numerical methods | $\sigma_{ m tt}$ | tangential normal stress component in the corrosion |
| $g(\alpha,\beta)$ | dimensionless function dependent on the Dundurs | | product film of FeCO ₃ |
| 0(,,) | parameters | $\sigma_{ m zf}$ | axial normal stress component in the film |
| h | film thickness | $\sigma_{ m zs}$ | axial normal stress component in the substrate |
| Kc | critical stress intensity factor | $\sigma_{ m zz}$ | axial normal stress component in the corrosion product |
| Kic | critical mode I stress intensity factor | | film of FeCO ₃ |
| K | mode I stress intensity factor | $\sigma_{ m t}$ | thermal stress in the film |
| K | bulk modulus of the pore-free material of the film | $	au_w$ | wall shear stress |
| 1 | reference length | U | velocity at the surface of the RC |
| Dr | Poisson's ratio of the film | μ_{fl} | dynamic viscosity of the fluid around the RC |
| U _o | Poisson's ratio of the pore-free material of the film | ω | rotation speed |
| 0 | | | |

can be ignored (ε_{zs} = 0 and $\sigma_{zs} \neq$ 0). The radial stress component of the surface substrate (σ_{rs}) is considered as zero.

Using Hooke's law (strain-stress relationship) to relate the strains in the film and in the substrate with their respective stresses, the Eqs. (1) and (2) can be written as:

$$\frac{\sigma_{\rm ts} - \upsilon_{\rm s} \sigma_{\rm zs}}{E_{\rm s}} = \frac{\sigma_{\rm tf} - \upsilon_{\rm f} \sigma_{\rm zf}}{E_{\rm f}} \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{\sigma_{zs} - \upsilon_s \sigma_{ts}}{E_s} = \frac{\sigma_{zf} - \upsilon_f \sigma_{tf}}{E_f} = 0$$
(4)

where $E_{\rm f}$ and $E_{\rm s}$ are Young's moduli of the film and the substrate, respectively; v_f and v_s are Poisson's ratios of the film and the substrate, respectively; $\sigma_{\rm rf},\,\sigma_{\rm tf}$ and $\sigma_{\rm zf}$ are the radial, tangential and axial normal stresses in the film, respectively; σ_{rs} , σ_{ts} and σ_{zs} are the radial, tangential and axial normal stresses in the substrate surface, respectively.

Combining Eqs. (3) and (4), the tangential and the axial stresses in the film can be expressed as a function of the surface tangential stress of the substrate:

$$\sigma_{\rm tf} = \sigma_{\rm ts} \frac{(1 - v_{\rm s}^2)E_{\rm f}}{(1 - v_{\rm f}^2)E_{\rm s}} \tag{5}$$



Fig. 1. Schematic of a portion of a uniform thin film attached on the RC surface.

| 41) | dynamic viscosity of the fluid around the RC rotation speed | |
|---------|---|--|
| _ | $_{-} v_{\rm f}(1-v_{\rm c}^2)E_{\rm f}$ | |

$$\sigma_{zf} = \sigma_{ts} \frac{\sigma_t (1 - \upsilon_s) E_f}{(1 - \upsilon_f^2) E_s}$$
(6)

From Eqs. (5) and (6) the stresses in the film have a linear dependence on the tangential stress of the substrate surface (σ_{ts}). The main factor that controls the stress transferred from the substrate to the film is the quotient between Young's moduli of the film and the substrate materials (E_f/E_s) .

1.1.1. Rotation-induced stresses

Rotating solid bodies develop internal stresses in order to equilibrate the volume forces produced by the resulting accelerations. In the case of a RC apparatus, the working piece or electrode can be modeled as a rotating hollow cylinder made of an isotropic elastic material.

If the RC rotates at constant speed (ω) around its symmetry axis (named here z), the developed internal stresses will be: radial stress (σ_r), tangential stress (σ_t), and axial stress (σ_r). These stresses are present through the section of the RC and vary with the radius [9].

Consequently, If a thin film is adhered or deposited on the cylindrical external surface of a stationary RC and subsequently the RC is rotated at a constant speed (ω), it will experience normal stresses in the tangential and the axial directions due to the strains in the substrate. According to Eqs. (5) and (6), the tangential stress at the RC surface will be needed to calculate the stresses in the adhered film. From integrating differential equations (Hooke's law and force balance) and applying boundary conditions $(\sigma_{rs}(R_1) = \sigma_{rs}(R_2) = 0;$ surfaces are unloaded in the radial direction at R_1 and R_2 , internal and external radii of the hollow cylinder, respectively), the tangential stress at the RC surface results [9]:

$$\sigma_{\rm ts} = \frac{\rho_{\rm s}\omega^2}{4(1-\upsilon_{\rm s})} [(3-2\upsilon_{\rm s})R_1^2 + (1-2\upsilon_{\rm s})R_2^2] \tag{7}$$

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1468683

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1468683

Daneshyari.com