

Composition effects on the whiteness and physical-mechanical properties of traditional sanitary-ware glaze

K. Boudeghdegh^{a,*}, V. Diella^b, A. Bernasconi^c, A. Roula^d, Y. Amirouche^a

^a LEAM, University of Jijel—B.P 98 Ouled Aissa, 18000 Jijel, Algeria

^b National Research Council, IDPA, Section of Milan—Via Botticelli 23, I-20133 Milan, Italy

^c European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, 71 Avenue des Martyrs, 38000 Grenoble, France

^d LIME, University of Jijel—B.P 98 Ouled Aissa, 18000 Jijel, Algeria

Received 9 January 2015; received in revised form 28 April 2015; accepted 3 May 2015

Available online 19 May 2015

Abstract

Whiteness and physical-mechanical characteristics of sanitary glazes are usually controlled and improved by changing chemical composition of the raw materials. In this study, ten glazes of industrial interest, with different composition, were prepared by spraying, using the traditional ceramic substrate, and then thermally treated in industrial tunnel kiln at temperature of 1250 °C. The obtained glazes were characterized by X-ray diffraction in order to reveal their mineralogical composition, also confirmed by FTIR spectra, and were observed by backscattered electron images to study their microstructure and to derive the thermal expansion coefficients. Whiteness characteristics were obtained by a Micro color colorimeter data station. The results showed a difference of 10% between calculated and experimentally derived thermal expansion coefficients and the improvement of whiteness (up to 85.7%) and flexural strength (47.61 MPa) at a maximal content of zircon (14.5 wt%) and a low content of ZnO (2.5 wt%).

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Physical-mechanical properties; Whiteness; Glaze; Ceramic sanitary-ware

1. Introduction

Ceramic glazes are thin glassy layer on ceramic products surface which play both decorative and functional basic roles. Those roles are mutually combined, and, from a commercial point of view, they mainly depend on surface properties of the glazes.^{1–4} The whiteness of the ceramic bodies (granted by the deposited glaze) is the main esthetical factor that determines the quality of any product. It must meet the requirements for domestic sanitary ware (Wh. > 80%) and be attractive, at lower prices, for users. ZrO₂, ZnO, TiO₂, and SnO₂ are used as opacifying agents. ZrSiO₄ was proved to enhance opacity, especially in the presence of ZnO with a SiO₂/Al₂O₃ molar ratio equal to 10.^{1,2} The coverage capacity and wetting characteristics of a glaze are controlled by its surface tension, contact angle, viscosity and opacity.⁵ The properties of glaze depend on a variety

of aspects: the effects of raw materials and oxide compositions on one hand and the firing conditions on the other hand.^{6,7} The final formulation of the enamel depends on both physical as well as esthetical properties. Among the first, important properties are the maturation time, the thermal expansion coefficient values and the reactivity with the ceramic support. In particular, the thermal expansion coefficient value of the enamel must be close to the body's one (in most cases around $7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ }^\circ\text{C}^{-1}$) to avoid strains on the tile⁸ and several investigators have proposed methods for calculating these coefficients.^{9,10} Whiteness, depending on the amount of coloring oxides in the raw materials, is used to compare ceramic pieces and evaluates their quality. For a white ceramic, the amount of (Fe₂O₃, TiO₂) should not exceed 0.3–0.4 wt%. Experiments showed that the zircon gives a large increase in whiteness and shine, extends the softening range up to 150 °C, and hence correspondingly increases the firing range of the glaze.¹¹ The zircon amounts should be not less than 10%.¹² From a phase composition point of view, glaze is generally constituted by a dominant amorphous phase in which other crystalline phases (such as zircon, diopside, wollastonite,

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +213 662 154111; fax: +213 34501189.
E-mail address: kameltan@yahoo.com (K. Boudeghdegh).

and quartz) are dispersed providing opacity and giving a thermal shrinkage fitting with the ceramic bulk.^{13,14} The assessment of the quality of a glaze mainly relies on its fusibility behavior and rheological properties, on its color, opacity and on its thermal expansion.¹⁵

In the present study, we investigated the effect of varying the chemical composition (amount of raw materials and accordingly the oxides contents) on the glaze properties (whiteness, flexural strength, etc.) in order to improve the quality of the obtained sanitary ware glaze. We prepared ten different glazes: (i) three samples varying the zircon and ZnO quantities, (ii) four samples varying the dolomite grain size distribution, (iii) two samples using talc in substitution of dolomite and one sample as reference. The glazes were characterized using X-ray powder diffraction image processing of backscattered electron images, electron microprobe analysis and the results used to calculate the thermal expansion coefficients. We measured whiteness, flexural strength, porosity and chemical durability, and calculated fusibility, surface tension and thermal expansion coefficients, these last were compared with the values resulted from experimental data.

2. Experimental procedure

2.1. Preparation of glazes

All experiments were prepared in the laboratories of the Société Céramique Sanitaire El-Milia, (Jijel, Algeria). The raw materials used for preparation of the glazes were kaolin, sodium feldspar, quartz, calcite, zircon, dolomite, and zinc oxide, all of industrial grade, and the overall composition in weight % is reported in Table 1, together with a reference sample G_{ref} . The raw materials were milled in humid conditions up to 1% residue using 63 microns sieve.^{16,17} and the experimental glazes were prepared by grinding the necessary weight of quartz and dolomite or (talc) in the rotary jar and mixing it with 3/4 of the 40 wt% of water for 2–3 h with few drops of sodium silicate (to avoid flocculation) and adding the remaining water and raw materials afterwards.

The oxide compositions of the studied glazes (in molar fraction: Table 2) are calculated according to the Seger formula¹⁸ where SiO_2 is the major oxide ranging from 1.147 to 4.236,

Table 2
Starting molar composition in oxides of the glazes on study.

| Sample | Basic oxides | Neutral oxides | Acid oxides |
|--------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------|
| G1 | 0.128 Na ₂ O | | |
| | 0.007 K ₂ O | 0.199 Al ₂ O ₃ | 2.203 SiO ₂ |
| | 0.602 CaO | 0.003 Fe ₂ O ₃ | 0.002 TiO ₂ |
| | 0.164 MgO | | 0.164 ZrO ₂ |
| | 0.099 ZnO | | |
| G2 | 0.130 Na ₂ O | | |
| | 0.007 K ₂ O | 0.201 Al ₂ O ₃ | 2.242 SiO ₂ |
| | 0.610 CaO | 0.003 Fe ₂ O ₃ | 0.002 TiO ₂ |
| | 0.166 MgO | | 0.172 ZrO ₂ |
| | 0.086 ZnO | | |
| G3 | 0.132 Na ₂ O | | |
| | 0.007 K ₂ O | 0.204 Al ₂ O ₃ | 2.281 SiO ₂ |
| | 0.619 CaO | 0.003 Fe ₂ O ₃ | 0.002 TiO ₂ |
| | 0.168 MgO | | 0.181 ZrO ₂ |
| | 0.073 ZnO | | |
| G4 | 0.157 Na ₂ O | | |
| | 0.009 K ₂ O | 0.269 Al ₂ O ₃ | 2.862 SiO ₂ |
| | 0.527 CaO | 0.006 Fe ₂ O ₃ | 0.002 TiO ₂ |
| | 0.168 MgO | | 0.193 ZrO ₂ |
| | 0.139 ZnO | | |
| G5, G6, G7, and G8 | 0.127 Na ₂ O | | |
| | 0.007 K ₂ O | 0.196 Al ₂ O ₃ | 2.166 SiO ₂ |
| | 0.593 CaO | 0.003 Fe ₂ O ₃ | 0.001 TiO ₂ |
| | 0.161 MgO | | 0.156 ZrO ₂ |
| | 0.112 ZnO | | |
| G9 | 0.239 Na ₂ O | | |
| | 0.013 K ₂ O | 0.409 Al ₂ O ₃ | 4.236 SiO ₂ |
| | 0.379 CaO | 0.010 Fe ₂ O ₃ | 0.003 TiO ₂ |
| | 0.322 MgO | | 0.263 ZrO ₂ |
| | 0.047 ZnO | | |
| G_{ref} | 0.127 Na ₂ O | | |
| | 0.009 K ₂ O | 0.222 Al ₂ O ₃ | 2.148 SiO ₂ |
| | 0.603 CaO | 0.003 Fe ₂ O ₃ | 0.002 TiO ₂ |
| | 0.179 MgO | | 0.105 ZrO ₂ |
| | 0.082 ZnO | | |

while Al₂O₃ content varies in the range from 0.145 to 0.409. Finally, for each composition, raw mixture was sprayed upon a traditional ceramic slip (6–9 wt% Kaolin Remblend (RMB), 33–34 wt% sodium feldspar, 24–26 wt% quartz), and then thermally treated at temperature of 1250 °C under industrial conditions.

Table 1
Raw materials (wt%) used for glazes preparation.

| Sample | Kaolin RMB ^a | Sodium feldspar | Quartz | Calcite | Zircon | Dolomite | Dolomite grain size (μm) | Talc | ZnO |
|-----------|-------------------------|-----------------|--------|---------|--------|----------|--------------------------|------|-----|
| G1 | 6 | 34 | 26 | 10 | 13.5 | 7 | 63 | 0 | 3.5 |
| G2 | 6 | 34 | 26 | 10 | 14 | 7 | 63 | 0 | 3 |
| G3 | 6 | 34 | 26 | 10 | 14.5 | 7 | 63 | 0 | 2.5 |
| G4 | 6 | 34 | 26 | 10 | 13 | 0 | 63 | 7 | 4 |
| G5 | 6 | 34 | 26 | 10 | 13 | 7 | 63 | 0 | 4 |
| G6 | 6 | 34 | 26 | 10 | 13 | 7 | 65 | 0 | 4 |
| G7 | 6 | 34 | 26 | 10 | 13 | 7 | 45 | 0 | 4 |
| G8 | 6 | 34 | 26 | 10 | 13 | 7 | <45 | 0 | 4 |
| G9 | 6 | 38 | 27 | 5 | 13 | 0 | 63 | 10 | 1 |
| G_{ref} | 6–9 | 33–34 | 24–26 | 8–10 | 7–9 | 7–8 | 63 | 0 | 2–3 |

^a Kaolin Remblend.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1473599>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1473599>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)