#### [Solid State Sciences 53 \(2016\) 71](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.solidstatesciences.2016.01.006)-[77](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.solidstatesciences.2016.01.006)

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Solid State Sciences

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/ssscie](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/ssscie)

# Facile synthesis of cobalt oxide/reduced graphene oxide composites for electrochemical capacitor and sensor applications



Thi Toan Nguyen <sup>a</sup>, Van Hoa Nguyen <sup>a, b</sup>, Ranjith Kumar Deivasigamani <sup>a</sup>, Dian Kharismadewi <sup>a</sup>, Yoshio Iwai <sup>c</sup>, Jae-Jin Shim <sup>a, \*</sup>

a School of Chemical Engineering, Yeungnam University, Gyeongsan, Gyeongbuk 38541, Republic of Korea

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemistry, Nha Trang University, 2 Nguyen Dinh Chieu, Nha Trang, Vietnam

<sup>c</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, Kyushu University, 744 Motooka, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan

#### article info

Article history: Received 14 August 2015 Received in revised form 26 October 2015 Accepted 19 January 2016 Available online 20 January 2016

Keywords:  $Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ Graphene Nanocomposite Supercapacitor Electrochemical sensor

ABSTRACT

Reduced graphene oxide sheets decorated with cobalt oxide nanoparticles ( $Co_3O_4$ /rGO) were produced using a hydrothermal method without surfactants. Both the reduction of GO and the formation of  $Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ nanoparticles occurred simultaneously under this condition. At the same current density of 0.5 A  $g^{-1}$ , the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/rGO nanocomposites exhibited much a higher specific capacitance (545 F  $g^{-1}$ ) than that of bare Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (100 F g<sup>-1</sup>). On the other hand, for the detection of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, the peak current of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/rGO was 4 times higher than that of  $Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ . Moreover, the resulting composite displayed a low detection limit of 0.62 µM and a high sensitivity of 28,500 µA mM<sup>-1</sup>cm<sup>-2</sup> for the H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> sensor. These results suggest that the Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/rGO nanocomposite is a promising material for both supercapacitor and non-enzymatic H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> sensor applications.

© 2016 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Transition metal oxides, such as  $SnO<sub>2</sub>$ , ZnO, MnO<sub>2</sub>, Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, and Mn3O4, have attracted considerable attention for electrochemical capacitor and sensor applications. Among them,  $Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  is a potential candidate for these purposes  $[1-3]$  $[1-3]$  $[1-3]$  owing to its high theoretical specific capacitance of  $3580 \text{ F g}^{-1}$  [\[4,5\]](#page--1-0), excellent electrochemical redox activity and good reversibility  $[6]$ . Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, which exhibits catalase – like activity for the decomposition of  $H_2O_2$  [\[7\],](#page--1-0) can be applied to the detection of  $H_2O_2$  in aqueous medium. On the other hand, the practical applications of supercapacitors and sensors based on  $Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  have been hindered by its relatively poor electrical conductivity  $\lceil 8 \rceil$  and unstable solid electrolyte interface  $\lceil 9 \rceil$ . Moreover, for non-enzymatic sensors, it cannot stand alone for electrode attachment [\[10\]](#page--1-0).

Several methods have been proposed to improve the electrical conductivity of  $Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ -based materials. One of them is to anchor  $Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  onto graphene sheets to form hybrid nanostructures. Graphene has been studied extensively as an ideal matrix for the

Corresponding author. E-mail address: [jjshim@yu.ac.kr](mailto:jjshim@yu.ac.kr) (J.-J. Shim).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.solidstatesciences.2016.01.006>

1293-2558/© 2016 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

#### growth of metal nanoparticles owing to its high conductivity, electrochemical stability, high surface area, great flexibility, excellent mechanical properties, and rich chemistry  $[11-13]$  $[11-13]$ . Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/ graphene nanocomposites efficiently enhance the conductivity by a synergetic effect which leads to high electrocatalytic performance [\[4,8,14\]](#page--1-0).

In this study, Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/rGO nanocomposites were synthesized using a facile and environment friendly route. Ammonia was used as the reducing agent instead of toxic, explosive and expensive compounds, such as  $N_2H_4$  [\[15\]](#page--1-0) or NaBH<sub>4</sub> [\[16\]](#page--1-0). Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles were coated uniformly over the surface of the rGO sheets without the use of surfactants, such as DDA  $[14]$ , PVP, CTAB  $[17]$ , or framework template [\[18\]](#page--1-0).

### 2. Experimental

#### 2.1. Materials

Graphite powders (99.9995%), cobalt (II) nitrate hexahydrate ( $\geq$ 98%), ammonium hydroxide (25–28%), hydrogen peroxide (30%), and potassium hydroxide (85%) were purchased from Alfa Aesar, and used without further purification. Deionized (DI) water was used in the entire experimental procedure. Phosphate buffered



solutions (PBS) were prepared from monosodium phosphate and disodium hydrogen phosphate.

#### 2.2. Synthesis of  $Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/rGO$  nanocomposites

First, GO was synthesized from graphite powder using the improved Hummers method by a 9:1 mixture of concentrated H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>/H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> [\[19\].](#page--1-0) GO was then freeze dried at  $-60^{\circ}$ C for 12 h. The  $Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/rGO$  composite was prepared by mixing 0.01 g of GO and 0.10 g of  $Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ .6H<sub>2</sub>O in 20.0 ml of DI water, followed by sonication for 30 min. After dropping 0.5 ml of NH4OH, the light green suspension mixture was transferred to a 40 ml Teflon stain less autoclave, and placed in a laboratory oven for 48 h at  $100^{\circ}$ C. The black product was collected by centrifugation, washed successively with DI and ethanol then dried in a vacuum oven at  $40^{\circ}$ C for 6 h. Finally, calcination was carried out at  $200^{\circ}$ C for 4 h in air to convert all the remaining cobalt hydroxide to  $Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$ . Bare  $Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  and rGO were also synthesized using the same method without the addition of GO and  $Co(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ .6H<sub>2</sub>O, respectively.

#### 2.3. Characterization

The samples were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD; PANalytical, X'Pert-PRO MPD), Fourier transform infrared (FT-IR; Excalibur Series FTS 3000, BioRad) spectroscopy, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS; AXIS Nova), thermogravimetric analysis (TGA; SDT Q600, TA instrument), scanning electron microscopy (SEM; Hitachi, S-4200) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM; Philips, CM -200).

Cycle voltammetry (CV), electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) and other electrochemical measurements were performed using Autolab PGSTAT302N (Metrohm, Netherlands) with a standard three-electrode cell containing a platinum foil counter electrode, a saturated calomel reference electrode and an active material/glassy carbon (or nickel foam) as the working electrode.

For the sensor test, glassy carbon (GC,  $4.5 \times 1.0 \text{ cm} \times \text{ cm}$ ) was cleaned sequentially with acetone and DI water, and dried at  $50^{\circ}$ C in an oven. In the preparation of  $Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/rGO/GC$  or  $Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/GC$  electrodes, 3 mg of the active material was dispersed in 1 ml ethanol, then dropped onto the surface of GC  $(1 \text{ cm}^2)$ . The resulting electrodes were dried at  $50^{\circ}$ C prior to use.

For the supercapacitor test, the working electrodes were fabricated by mixing the active material (3 mg, 80wt.%) with 15 wt.% acetylene black and 5 wt. % Nafion binder and pressed onto nickel foam current collectors (1.0 cm  $\times$  1.0 cm). The measurements were taken in a 6 M KOH aqueous electrolyte at room temperature. The specific capacitance  $(C_s)$  of the electrode was calculated using the following equation:  $C_s = It/m\Delta V$ , where  $C_s$ , I, t, m, and  $\Delta V$  are the specific capacitance (F  $g^{-1}$ ), discharging current (A), discharging time (s), mass of active materials (g), and discharging potential range (V), respectively.

#### 3. Result and discussion

#### 3.1. Characterization of composite

The formation of  $Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  was confirmed by XRD, as shown in Fig. 1a. After the calcination process, XRD peaks indexed to the (101) and (102) plane of  $Co(OH)_2$  at 37.72 and 51.36 2 $\theta$  could not be observed (according the standard JCPDS of  $Co(OH)_2$  no: 003-0913). All the peaks at 18.58°, 30.90°, 36.49°, 38.18°, 44.47°, 55.29°, 59.01°, 64.90 $^{\circ}$ , and 77.13 $^{\circ}$  2 $\theta$  were in good agreement with the literature data for  $Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>$  (JCPDS no. 001-1152). The strong peak of GO corresponding to an interlayer spacing of 0.77 nm at  $11.5^{\circ}$  2 $\theta$  for the



Fig. 1. (a) XRD patterns of GO, Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/rGO before and after the calcination process; (b) FTIR spectra of GO and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/rGO; (c) TGA curves of Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>/rGO before calcination.

Download English Version:

# <https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1504094>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1504094>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)