



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Energy Procedia 86 (2016) 272 – 281



### The 8th Trondheim Conference on CO<sub>2</sub> Capture, Transport and Storage

## Thermodynamic Assessment of Cooled and Chilled Ammonia-Based CO<sub>2</sub> Capture in Air-Blown IGCC Plants

Davide Bonalumi<sup>a</sup>\*, Alessio Ciavatta<sup>a</sup>, Antonio Giuffrida<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Politecnico di Milano, Dipartimento di Energia, Via Lambruschini 4, Milano, 20158, Italy

#### Abstract

The energy impact of different post-combustion  $CO_2$  capture plants integrated in an advanced air-blown IGCC is simulated in this paper. Ammonia scrubbing is considered as the  $CO_2$  capture technology and chilled and cooled modes are investigated with reference to operation temperatures at the absorber equal to 7°C and 20°C respectively. Ammonia slip is controlled by means of an absorption-desorption cycle just before a final acid wash, where use of the H<sub>2</sub>S removed from the coal-derived gas at the desulphurization unit of the IGCC is made.

Focusing on three levels of CO<sub>2</sub> capture, from 80% to 90%, it is possible to appreciate that the cooled mode is promising as far as a reduction of the energy cost related to CO<sub>2</sub> capture is concerned. As a matter of fact, the energy saving, possible when adopting an air cooling system instead of a chilling plant, is significant with the specific primary energy consumption for 90% of CO<sub>2</sub> avoided which decreases from 2.79  $MJ/kg_{CO2}$  to 2.54  $MJ/kg_{CO2}$ , when switching from the chilled to the cooled mode, with a difference equal to about 0.7 percentage point in IGCC efficiency.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). Peer-review under responsibility of the Programme Chair of the 8th Trondheim Conference on CO, Capture, Transport and Storage

Keywords: Air-Blown IGCC; Chilled ammonia; Cooled ammonia; Carbon capture; SPECCA

#### 1. Introduction

The use of coal for power generation assumes an increasing role in the global energy scenario since security of supply and cost effectiveness of fuel and electricity make coal-fired power plants one of the most viable solutions for

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +39-022399-3817; fax: +39-02399-3863. *E-mail address:* davide.bonalumi@polimi.it

power generation. However, the use of coal increases emissions of pollutants and of CO<sub>2</sub>. In this framework, Carbon Capture and Storage (CCS) technologies, applied to coal combustion and gasification plants, can assume a significant role in controlling global warming emissions.

The performance of coal-fired power generation plants equipped with CCS systems has been thoroughly studied by the authors. In particular, ultra-super-critical pulverized coal combustion [1-4] and integrated gasification combined cycle [5-8] technologies currently represent the most promising solutions for a CO<sub>2</sub>-free power generation from coal.

Although pre-combustion  $CO_2$  capture is a more investigated solution for IGCC power plants [7,8], this paper focuses on post-combustion  $CO_2$  capture and considers the option of the Chilled Ammonia Process (CAP) proposed by Alstom [9], which seems to be a feasible and mature technology in the short term, along with the flue gas scrubbing with MEA. Nevertheless, the CCS energy cost is not negligible and results in lower power plant performance [10]. The CAP technology is suggested also for  $CO_2$  capture in iron and steel industry [11] and applied with membranes [12].

Here, starting from preliminary investigations [6] focusing on possible reductions of such a cost, a more accurate analysis of a  $CO_2$  capture process based on (i) a chilled and on (ii) a cooled aqueous ammonia scrubbing is presented and discussed. In particular, an air-blown IGCC is considered, based on its higher energy conversion efficiency [13]. A proper integration of the IGCC and the  $CO_2$  capture process, with reference to the possibility of using the H<sub>2</sub>S removed from the coal-derived gas at the desulphurization unit of the IGCC in the  $CO_2$  capture plant, allows for a better control of the ammonia slip.

Nomenclature and acronyms			
AGR	Acid gas removal	LHV	Lower heating value
CAP	Chilled ammonia process	LP, IP, HP	Low, intermediate, high pressure
CCS	Carbon capture and storage	LT, HT	Low, high temperature
СТ	Combustion turbine	MEA	Monoethanolamine
ER	CO <sub>2</sub> emission rate (kg <sub>CO2</sub> /kWh)	SPECCA	Specific primary energy consumption
HR	Heat rate (kJ/MWh)		for CO <sub>2</sub> avoided
HRSG	Heat recovery steam generator	TIT	Turbine inlet temperature
IGCC	Integrated gasification combined	η	Efficiency
	cycle		

#### 2. CO<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O system for CO<sub>2</sub> capture by ammonia scrubbing

Understanding the phase behaviour and the thermodynamics of the  $CO_2$ -NH<sub>3</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O system is important for engineers and researchers interested in post-combustion ammonia-based  $CO_2$  capture. Such a ternary system forms an electrolyte solution, whose thermodynamic properties must be studied with an appropriate model. In particular, the Extended UNIQUAC thermodynamic model for gas solubility in salt solutions, developed by Thomsen and Rasmussen [14], has been used in this paper. An exhaustive validation of the thermodynamic model was preliminarily carried out and the model results compared with experimental data available in literature. Some representative trends about this validation are reported in [15].

Focusing on the chilled ammonia process, a slurry consisting of a liquid in equilibrium with solid ammonium bicarbonate (NH<sub>4</sub>HCO<sub>3</sub>) is produced in an absorber. The slurry releases  $CO_2$  at a relatively high pressure, when heated in a desorber, therefore the liquid is cooled and delivered to the absorber for a new cycle. Besides, the CAP layout is equipped with a water wash at the top of both the absorber and the regenerator, because of ammonia slip (NH<sub>3</sub> volatility results in NH<sub>3</sub> vaporization to the flue gas [16]), which is more significant for higher CO<sub>2</sub> absorption temperature. The issue of the NH<sub>3</sub> escape can be solved with other options, as shown in [17] and proven in [18, 19].

In the following, an interesting solution to control ammonia slip is suggested, as an integration of the CCS plant with the desulphurization unit of the IGCC.

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1508929

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1508929

Daneshyari.com