Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/00223697)

Journal of Physics and Chemistry of Solids

Ternary LiBH₄–MgH₂–NaAlH₄ hydride confined into nanoporous carbon host for reversible hydrogen storage

Praphatsorn Plerdsranoy, Rapee Utke^{*}

School of Chemistry, Institute of Science, Suranaree University of Technology, Nakhon Ratchasima 30000, Thailand

article info

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 30 July 2015 Received in revised form 10 October 2015 Accepted 23 November 2015 Available online 2 December 2015

Keywords: Ternary hydride Nanoconfinement Borohydride Aluminium hydride Temperature programmed desorption (TPD)

Ternary hydride of LiBH₄–MgH₂–NaAlH₄ confined into carbo n aerogel scaffold (CAS) via melt infiltration for reversible hydrogen storage is proposed. Nanoconfinement of hydrides into CAS is obtained together with surface occupation of some phases, such as Al and/or LiH. Regarding nanoconfinement, not only multiple-step decomposition of LiBH4–MgH2–NaAlH4 hydride reduces to about single step, but also reduction of dehydrogenation temperature is significantly observed, for example, ΔT up to 70 °C regarding last dehydrogenation step. Moreover, decomposition of NaBH₄ in nanoconfined sample can be done at 360 °C (dehydrogenation temperature in this study), which is 115 and 180 °C lower than that of NaBH₄ in milled LiBH₄–MgH₂–NaAlH₄ and bulk NaBH₄, respectively. The reaction of LiBH₄+NaAlH₄ \rightarrow LiAlH₄+NaBH₄ takes place during nanoconfinement and the decomposition of $LiAlH₄$ is observed, resulting deficient hydrogen content liberated. However, hydrogen content released (1st cycle) and reproduced (2nd–4th cycles) from this ternary hydride enhances up to 11% and 22% of full hydrogen storage capacity due to nanoconfinement. After rehydrogenation (T=360 °C and $P(H_2)$ =50 bar H₂ for 12 h), NaBH₄, MgH₂, and Li₃AlH₆ are reversible, whereas Li₃AlH₆ and NaBH₄ in milled sample cannot be recovered due to deficient hydrogen pressure (T=360 °C and $P(H_2)$ =80 bar) and probably evaporation of molten sodium during dehydrogenation, respectively. The latter results in inferior hydrogen content reproduced from milled sample to nanoconfined sample.

& 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Lithium borohydride (LiBH₄) is significantly of interest as hydrogen storage material due to its high storage capacity up to 18.5 wt% $H₂$, however, several drawbacks of unfavorable thermodynamics, sluggish kinetics, release of toxic dibrane (B_2H_6) gas during dehydrogenation, and severe temperature and pressure condition for reversibility (T=600 °C, $P(H_2)$ =150 bar) obstruct its practical uses as onboard hydrogen storages [\[1](#page--1-0)–[4\].](#page--1-0) One of the most promising strategies to solve the latter problems is compositing $LiBH₄$ with other metal hydrides, such as MgH₂, called reactive hydride composite (RHC). RHC of 2LiBH₄-MgH₂ provided not only reduction of enthalpy by 25 kJ/mol H_2 due to the formation of MgB2 upon dehydrogenation, but also milder condition for reversibility (T=350 °C, $P(H_2)$ =50 bar) [\[5](#page--1-0)–[7\].](#page--1-0) To further improve the performance of this RHC, several catalysts and additives, e.g., $SiO₂$, VCl₃, titanium isopropoxide (Ti-iso), Sc₂O₃, MgB₂, MoCl₃, NbF₅ [\[7](#page--1-0)-[11\]](#page--1-0), were doped by ball milling technique. For instance, 2LiBH4–MgH2 doped with Ti-iso performed about ten times faster dehydrogenation kinetics (T=400 °C and $P(H_2)=5$ bar) as compared with the sample without Ti-iso and it could preserved 8– 8.5 wt% H_2 after three hydrogen release and uptake cycles [\[7,12\]](#page--1-0). It was found that comparable crystal structures of $MgB₂$ and TiB₂ formed upon dehydrogenation enhanced coherent interfaces for heterogeneous nucleation of $MgB₂$ phase, resulting in kinetic improvement. Besides, by doping with $Mod₃$ onset temperature and activation energy for dehydrogenation of $2LiBH₄$ -MgH₂ composite reduced by 50 °C and 10.2 kJ/mol, respectively $[9]$.

The other group of additive doped in $2LiBH₄-MgH₂$ composite is metallic aluminum (Al) and complex hydrides of light metal cations and aluminum hydride (e.g., LiAlH₄ and NaAlH₄). For example, at 400 °C under 1 mbar H_2 , 4LiBH₄–MgH₂–Al released up to 9 wt% H₂ within 42 min, while that of 2LiBH₄–MgH₂ was ~6 wt% H₂. The formation of MgAlB₄ observed during dehydrogenation instead of amorphous boron led to improvement of de/rehydrogenation performance of LiBH4. However, complete rehydrogenation (T=400 °C and $P(H_2)$ =40 bar) could not be obtained, especially Mg to MgH2 because some Mg alloyed with Al to form Mg₂Al₃, extremely stable at 400 °C [\[13\].](#page--1-0) Regarding LiAlH₄ and NaAlH₄, they acted not only as Al source for $2LiBH₄$ -MgH₂ composite, but also as hydrogen storage materials due to their high storage capacities of 10.5 and 7.5 wt%, respectively [\[14,15\].](#page--1-0) Ternary

^{*} Corresponding author. E-mail address: rapee.g@sut.ac.th (R. Utke).

hydride of LiAlH₄–MgH₂–LiBH₄ liberated hydrogen up to 9 wt% in three steps at 120–450 °C, corresponding to the decomposition of LiAlH₄, MgH₂, and LiBH₄, respectively [\[14\].](#page--1-0) By doping with TiF₃ $(LiAlH₄-MgH₂-LiBH₄-0.05TiF₃)$, onset and end temperatures for dehydrogenation decreased to about 50 and 400 °C, respectively, together with reduction of dehydrogenation enthalpy by ∼20 kJ/ mol H₂ as compared with bulk LiBH₄ [\[14\]](#page--1-0). For NaAlH₄–MgH₂–LiBH₄ composite, the reaction of $NaAlH_4+LiBH_4\rightarrow LiAlH_4+NaBH_4$ proceeded during ball milling and three-step dehydrogenation of LiAlH₄, MgH₂, and NaBH₄ was observed at 150–500 °C with 9.5 wt% H₂ [\[15\].](#page--1-0) During dehydrogenation of both LiAlH₄–MgH₂–LiBH₄ and NaAlH4–MgH2–LiBH4 composites, formation of Li–Mg, Mg–Al, and Mg–Al–B alloys favored thermodynamics by altering de-/rehydrogenation pathway. However, less than 50% of $H₂$ content was reproduced from both ternary hydrides, for example, only 4– 4.5 wt% H₂ was recovered in the 2nd and 3rd cycles at 350–400 \degree C under 40–50 bar H_2 [\[14,15\]](#page--1-0). This could be due to the fact that stable Mg2Al3 alloy formed upon dehydrogenation required high hydrogen pressure of >100 bar (at 350 °C) to proceed the reaction $Mg_2Al_3 + 2H_2 \rightarrow 2MgH_2 + 3Al$ [\[15](#page--1-0)–[17\].](#page--1-0)

In this work, we would like to propose another strategy to improve de/rehydrogenation performance of LiBH₄–MgH₂ composite via synergetic effect of additive (NaAlH4) and nanoconfinement. Not only increase of surface area and grain boundaries, resulting in faster de/rehydrogeantion rate can be obtained from nanoconfinement of hydrides into porous carbon hosts, but also particle growth and agglomeration during cycling was constrained [\[18,19\]](#page--1-0). Nanocofined $2LiBH₄$ –MgH₂ in carbon aerogel scaffold (CAS) revealed ten times faster desorption kinetics than milled material as well as suppression of B_2H_6 during dehydrogenation [\[19](#page--1-0), [20\]](#page--1-0). For the present work, ternary hydride of NaAlH₄–MgH₂–LiBH₄ under 1:1:1 molar ratio is melt infiltrated into CAS at melting point of LiBH4. Nanoconfinement of hydride materials is studied by N_2 adsorption– desorption and powder X-ray diffraction (PXD). De/rehydrogenation performance is determined by temperature programmed desorption (TPD) and Sievert-type apparatus. To determine the mechanisms during hydrogen exchange reaction, PXD, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), and solid-state ⁷Li, ¹¹B, and ²³Na magic angle spinning (MAS) nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) are carried out.

2. Experiments

2.1. Sample preparation

Carbon aerogel scaffold (CAS) was synthesized according to the previous procedures [\[19,21\]](#page--1-0). The mixture of 41.4200 g of resorcinol (99%, Sigma-Aldrich), 56.60 mL of deionized water, 57.00 mL of formaldehyde in water (37 wt% stabilized by 10–15 wt% methanol, QRëC), and 0.0780 g of anhydrous $Na₂CO₃$ (99.999%, Aldrich) was continuous stirred till homogeneity. The mixture sealed in a polyethylene bottle was aged at room temperature for 24 h, at 50 °C for 24 h, and at 90 °C for 72 h, and cooled to room temperature to obtain a polymer aerogel. The aerogel was cut into small pieces, soaked in an acetone bath three times within 2 days, and dried at room temperature for several days in the fume hood. The dried aerogel was carbonized in a tubular furnace at constant temperature of 800 °C (2.6 °C/min) for 6 h under N₂ flow. The furnace was turned off and the sample was cooled down naturally to room temperature. The gel obtained was further treated at 500 °C under vacuum for 6 h to obtain a carbon aerogel scaffold, denoted as CAS.

MgH2 (95%, Acros) was packed in a sealed vial (8004 Tungsten carbide vial set, SPEX SamplePrep, USA) under an argon (Ar) atmosphere in a glove box and milled by using a SPEX SamplePrep 8000D DUAL Mixer/Mill[®]. Ball-to-powder weight ratio and milling time were 15:1 and 5 h, respectively. Milled $MgH₂$ powder was physically mixed with LiBH₄ (\geq 90%, hydrogen storage grade, Across) and NaAlH₄ (\geq 93%, hydrogen storage grade, Sigma-Aldrich) under a molar ratio of 1:1:1 in the mortar. The mixture of LiBH₄–MgH₂–NaAlH₄ was ground with CAS under a weight ratio of 1:1 (CAS:hydride composite) to obtain CAS–LiBH₄–MgH₂–NaAlH₄. Nanoconfinement was carried out by heating the powder sample of CAS–LiBH₄–MgH₂–NaAlH₄ to 310 °C (5 °C/min) under 100 bar $H₂$, dwelling at 310 °C for 45 min, and cooling to room temperature to achieve nanoconfined sample of LiBH₄–MgH₂–NaAlH₄ in CAS, denoted as nano Li–Mg–Na@CAS. The mixture of LiBH₄, MgH₂, and NaAlH₄ under the molar ratio of 1:1:1 was milled for 1 h by using ball-to-powder weight ratio of 30:1 to obtain ternary powder sample, denoted as milled Li-Mg-Na.

2.2. Characterizations

Texture parameters of CAS and nano Li–Mg–Na@CAS based on specific surface area, pore size, and pore volume were characterized by N_2 adsorption–desorption measurements at 77 K using a BELsorp-mini II, Japan Prior to the measurements, a known amount of sample was degassed at $350 \degree C$ (CAS) and at room temperature (nano Li–Mg–Na@CAS) under vacuum for 24 h. All samples were studied with full adsorption and desorption isotherm in the pressure range of 0–1 p/p_0 at liquid nitrogen temperature with nitrogen gas as an adsorbent. The measurement was programed to continuously change the pressure ratio to 1 for adsorption, and to 0 for desorption. Data was analyzed by the t-plot method [\[22,23\]](#page--1-0), the Brunner Emmet Teller (BET) method [\[24\]](#page--1-0), and the Barret Joyner Halenda (BJH) method [\[25\].](#page--1-0) The highest point of the isotherm measurements (where $p/p_0 \sim 1$) was used to calculate the total volume of the sample.

Dehydrogenation profiles of milled and nanoconfined samples were characterized by temperature programmed desorption (TPD) technique using a Chemisorption Analyzer, BelCatB, Bel-Japan. The powder sample of ∼50.0 mg was packed in the sample holder under Ar atmosphere in the glove box. The measurements were carried out by heating the powder sample from room temperature to 500 °C (5 °C/min) under Ar flow of 30 ml/min, dwelling at 500 °C for 20 min, and cooling to room temperature. The signal of hydrogen release was detected by a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). For quantitative analyses, calibration was done by flowing 5% H_2/Ar (50 ml/min) to the TCD and using Ar (30 ml/min) as a carrier gas. The correlation between hydrogen content and peak area of TPD signal produced a constant named conversion factor (CF, counts/mmol). In this work, average value of CF was obtained from 10 pulses of 5% H_2/Ar flow. The CF was used to calculate the content of hydrogen released from each sample based on the peak area of its TPD signal.

Dehydrogenation kinetics was studied by using a laboratory scale setup of a Sievert-type apparatus [\[26\]](#page--1-0). The powder sample of \sim 60–80 mg was loaded in a stainless steel sample holder (316SS, Swagelok) under Ar atmosphere in the glove box and transferred to the apparatus. Two K-type thermocouples (-250 to 1300 °C, SL heater) were attached to the sample holder and the furnace to measure the temperature of the system. Pressure transducers (C206, Cole Parmer) in the pressure range of 0–500 psig and 0– 3000 psig were used to measure the pressure changes due to hydrogen desorption and absorption, respectively. Thermocouples and pressure transducers were connected to an AI 210I module convertor data logger (Wisco), measuring and transferring (every 1 s) the pressure and temperature changes of the sample to the computer for further evaluation. Dehydrogenation was done by heating the sample from room temperature to 360 \degree C (3.6 \degree C/min) under vacuum. For rehydrogenation, the dehydrogenated powder

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1515400>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1515400>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)