



Nanostructured titanium-based materials for medical implants: Modeling and development



Leon Mishnaevsky Jr.^{a,*}, Evgeny Levashov^b, Ruslan Z. Valiev^c, Javier Segurado^d, Ilchat Sabirov^d, Nariman Enikeev^c, Sergey Prokoshkin^b, Andrey V. Solov'yov^e, Andrey Korotitskiy^b, Elazar Gutmanas^f, Irene Gotman^f, Eugen Rabkin^f, Sergey Psakh'e^{g,h}, Luděk Dluhošⁱ, Marc Seefeldt^j, Alexey Smolin^{g,h}

^a Technical University of Denmark, Department of Wind Energy, Risø Campus, Frederiksborgvej 399, DK-4000 Roskilde, Denmark

^b National University of Science and Technology "MISIS", Moscow 119049, Russia

^c Institute of Physics of Advanced Materials, Ufa State Aviation Technical University (IPAM USATU), Ufa 450000, Russia

^d IMDEA Materials Institute, Calle Eric Kandel 2, Getafe, 28906 Madrid, Spain

^e FIAS, Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Ruth-Moufang-Strasse 1, 60438 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

^f Technion, Department of Materials Engineering, Technion City, Haifa 32000, Israel

^g Institute of Strength Physics and Materials Science of the Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences (ISPMS SB RAS), Tomsk 634050, Russia

^h Tomsk State University (TSU), Tomsk 634050, Russia

ⁱ Timplant Ltd., Sjednocení 77/1, CZ72525 Ostrava, Czech Republic

^j KU Leuven, Departement MTM, Kasteelpark Arenberg 44, B-3001 Heverlee, Leuven, Belgium

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Available online 22 May 2014

Guiding Editor: Franky So

Keywords:

Ultrafine grained titanium

Medical implants

Computational modeling

Severe plastic deformation

Thermomechanical processing

Nitinol

ABSTRACT

Nanostructuring of titanium-based implantable devices can provide them with superior mechanical properties and enhanced biocompatibility. An overview of advanced fabrication technologies of nanostructured, high strength, biocompatible Ti and shape memory Ni–Ti alloy for medical implants is given. Computational methods of nanostructure properties simulation and various approaches to the computational, “virtual” testing and numerical optimization of these materials are discussed. Applications of atomistic methods, continuum micromechanics and crystal plasticity as well as analytical models to the analysis of the reserves of the improvement of materials for medical implants are demonstrated. Examples of successful development of a nanomaterial-based medical implants are presented.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Titanium as a material of choice for medical implants	2
3. Nanostructuring of titanium and Ti alloys: concept and technologies	3
3.1. Nanostructuring of titanium and Ti alloys	3
3.2. Severe plastic deformation: processing routes and microstructure evolution. Multiscale computational modeling	4
3.3. Novel thermomechanical ECAP processing route for fabrication of nano-Ti with very homogeneous structure and superior properties	5
3.4. Thermomechanical treatment of UFG Ti–Ni alloys	5

Abbreviations: ABAQUS, commercial finite element software; ARB, accumulative roll bonding; CP, crystal plasticity; DFT, density functional theory; ECAP, equal channel angular pressing; ECAP-C, equal channel angular pressing – conform; FE, finite elements; GB, grain boundary; HE, hydrostatic extrusion; HPT, high pressure torsion; GGA, generalized gradient approximation; LDA, local density approximation; MCA, movable cellular automata; MD, molecular dynamics; MLPs, martensite lattice parameters; MTL_S^{MAX}, maximum martensitic transformation; MUBINAF, multicomponent bioactive nanostructured films; NEGB, non-equilibrium grain boundary; PDA, post-deformation annealing; RSEM-RVE, representative volume element (micromechanics of materials); PIRAC, powder immersion reaction assisted coating; RRS^{PC}, lattice strain resource recoverable strain; SEM, scanning electron microscopy; SPM, scanning probe microscopy; SPD, severe plastic deformation; TEM, transmission electron microscopy; UFG, ultra fine grained; UMAT,VUMAT, ABAQUS user subroutines; VPSC, visco-plastic self-consistent model; TJR, total joint replacements; XRD, X ray diffraction.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: lemi@dtu.dk (L. Mishnaevsky Jr.).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mser.2014.04.002>

0927-796X/© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

3.5.	Comparison of cold sintering and ECAP processing routes of nanostructuring Ti-based materials	7
4.	Superior mechanical properties of UFG titanium-based materials: computational modeling	7
4.1.	Specific mechanisms of deformation and strength of nanostructured Ti-based materials	7
4.2.	Atomistic modeling of structure evolution, deformation and properties of ultrafine grained titanium	8
4.3.	Micromechanics of ultrafine grained and nanocrystalline titanium and alloys	8
4.3.1.	Composite model of nanocrystalline materials and non-equilibrium grain boundaries	8
4.3.2.	Crystal plasticity model of UFG Ti	9
4.3.3.	Grain boundary sliding: analytical modeling	10
4.4.	Phase transitions in nanostructured nitinol	10
4.4.1.	Martensite lattice parameters and recovery strain in UFG Ti–Ni and Ti–Nb-based alloys: phase transformation theory analysis	10
4.4.2.	Thermomechanical modeling of martensitic transformation in nanostructured and UFG nitinol: effect of grain sizes and their distributions	11
5.	Biocompatibility and coatings for nanometal based implants	12
5.1.	Biocompatibility of nanocrystalline Ti-based metals	12
5.1.1.	Biocompatibility and corrosion behavior of Ti-based nanomaterials	12
5.1.2.	Molecular dynamics modeling of dissolution and ion diffusion from the surface and GBs of Ti–Ni into body fluid	12
5.1.3.	Experimental study of corrosion and ion release of nanostructured NiTi in physiological solution	13
5.2.	Bioactive coatings and their effect on the mechanism of deformation	13
5.2.1.	Role of bioactive coatings in Ti-based implants	13
5.2.2.	Deformation and strength of bioactive coatings	14
5.2.3.	Multilevel modeling of strength and failure of nanostructured bioactive coatings on Ti-based biomaterials	14
5.3.	Wear resistant TiN based PIRAC coatings on nanostructured Ti-based alloys	15
6.	Potential for application: nanotitanium based implants with small radius	15
7.	Summary and conclusions	17
	Acknowledgements	17
	References	17

1. Introduction

Due to rapid changes in the age structure of the world's population, an increasing number of people need their failed tissues to be replaced by artificial implantable devices. Metallic materials (primarily titanium and cobalt chrome alloys) are widely used for surgical prostheses, such as joint replacements, mechanical heart valves and dental implants. Although conventional materials technology has resulted in clear improvements in implant performance and longevity, rejection or implant failures still happen. The increase in average life expectancy, as well as rapid advances in modern surgery require new generations of clinically relevant biomaterials, with enhanced biological and mechanical performance. Advances in titanium manufacturing technologies are expected to play an important role in the development of the next generation of medical implants.

As forecast by a US Industry Study [1], titanium and titanium alloys will provide the best growth opportunities among biocompatible metals in the years to come and will extend applications in joint replacement systems, dental implants, fusion cages, stents, mechanical heart valves, etc.

Nanostructuring by different processing techniques is one of the promising directions in the development of Ti-based biomaterials with advanced properties. Computational modeling and numerical testing can partially replace the expensive, time- and labor-consuming mechanical and biological experiments and bring nanostructured Ti-based materials closer to clinical realization.

2. Titanium as a material of choice for medical implants

For many decades, metallic biomaterials have been used extensively for surgical implants due to the good formability and high strength and resistance to fracture that this class of materials can provide. The important disadvantage of metals, however, is their tendency to corrode in physiological conditions, and a large number of metals and alloys were found unsuitable for implantation as being too reactive in the body. Therefore, the list of metals currently used in implantable devices is limited to three main systems: iron-chromium-nickel alloys (austenitic stainless steels), cobalt-chromium-based alloys, and titanium and its alloys [2,3].

The advantages and drawbacks of metals used for implant fabrication are presented in Table 1. From the point of view of corrosion resistance, Ti is superior to other surgical metals, due to the formation of a very stable passive layer of TiO₂ on its surface. Ti is intrinsically biocompatible and often exhibits direct bone apposition. Another favorable property of Ti is the low elastic modulus (twofold lower compared to stainless steel and Co–Cr), which results in less stress shielding and associated bone resorption around Ti orthopedic and dental implants. Furthermore, titanium is more light-weight than other surgical metals and produces fewer artifacts on computer tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging [4–7].

The static and fatigue strengths of titanium, however, are too low for commercially pure titanium (cp-Ti) implants to be used in load-bearing situations. The addition of alloying elements, such as aluminum and vanadium, allows for a significant improvement of the mechanical properties of titanium. Currently, Ti–6Al–4V is the most widely used surgical Ti alloy. Despite the excellent passivity and corrosion resistance of Ti–6Al–4V, elevated concentrations of metal ions were detected in the tissues around the implants, as well as in serum, urine, and remote tissue locations [8]. This slow passive dissolution and accumulation of Al and V ions has long aroused concerns regarding the long-term safety of Ti–6Al–4V alloy implants. Aluminum is an element involved in severe neurological, e.g. Alzheimer's disease, and metabolic bone diseases, e.g. osteomalacia, whereas vanadium ions were shown to be potentially cytotoxic [9,10]. Moreover, accelerated release of Al and V ions is expected to occur in tribocorrosion situations, due to the simultaneous action of corrosion and wear [11]. Given their inadequate wear resistance, Ti alloys are not used in conditions of sliding contact, e.g. in articulating components of total joint replacements. In many clinical situations, however, such as femoral stem/ball contact of modular implants, stem/bone interface of cementless implants or dental implant/bone interface, enhanced release of Al and V ions from Ti–6Al–4V can take place due to fretting (tribocorrosion involving micromotions). Therefore, much effort is being directed toward the development of V- and Al-free Ti alloys. The research on titanium alloys composed solely of non-toxic elements has been under way for several years [12].

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1532351>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1532351>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)