



#### Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

## **ScienceDirect**

Solar Energy 122 (2015) 113-125



www.elsevier.com/locate/solener

# Numerical optimization of catalyst configurations in a solar parabolic trough receiver—reactor with non-uniform heat flux

Zhang-Jing Zheng<sup>a</sup>, Yan He<sup>b</sup>, Ya-Ling He<sup>a,\*</sup>, Kun Wang<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Key Laboratory of Thermo-Fluid Science and Engineering of Ministry of Education, School of Energy and Power Engineering, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi 710049, China

<sup>b</sup> School of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, Qingdao University of Science and Technology, Qingdao, Shandong 266061, China

Received 3 May 2015; received in revised form 8 August 2015; accepted 13 August 2015 Available online 12 September 2015

Communicated by: Associate Editor Michael Epstein

#### **Abstract**

A three-dimensional numerical model of a solar parabolic trough receiver—reactor (SPTRR) with methanol steam reforming reaction was developed. Based on this model, the characteristics of hydrodynamics, heat transfer and chemical reaction of SPTRR were studied. Also, the effects of non-uniform heat flux boundary condition, catalyst layout and mass flow rate on the performances of SPTRR were analyzed. The results showed that SPTRRs partially filled with catalyst have better comprehensive performance than those fully filled with catalyst, and the SPTRR with horizontal cylindrical segment shaped catalyst in the lower part of receiver tube is recommended to substitute the fully catalyst-filled SPTRR. The chemical energy conversion per unit pump power of the proposed partially catalyst-filled SPTRR is one order of magnitude larger than that of the fully catalyst-filled SPTRR, and the mean outer surface temperature and maximum outer surface temperature difference of the proposed partially catalyst-filled SPTRR can be as low as that of the fully catalyst-filled SPTRR, when the mass of the catalyst in the partially catalyst-filled SPTRR is 0.4 times as much as that in the fully catalyst-filled SPTRR.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Solar energy utilization; Solar parabolic trough receiver-reactor (SPTRR); Non-uniform heat flux boundary condition; Methanol steam reforming reaction

### 1. Introduction

Solar thermochemical reactions are one of the primary ways for large-scale utilization of solar energy, and mainly include solar hydrogen, solar chemical heat pump, solar thermal reduction of metal oxides, etc. (Steinfeld, 2005; Wu et al., 2014). The solar thermochemical processes are always combined with solar concentration systems to achieve a high reaction conversion, because the solar

concentration systems can provide high-temperature process heat for the endothermic chemical reactions. Currently, there are four main types of solar concentration systems: parabolic trough, linear Fresnel, tower and dish (Zheng et al., 2014). Among the four types of solar concentration systems, the parabolic trough system generally operates at a relatively moderate solar concentration ratio and the working temperature is usually below 500 °C (Agrafiotis et al., 2014). Therefore, the solar parabolic trough technology can be employed for methanol steam reforming reaction whose appropriate temperature ranges from 150 to 300 °C (Hong et al., 2009).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

E-mail address: yalinghe@mail.xjtu.edu.cn (Y.-L. He).

#### Nomenclature flow cross-sectional area (m<sup>2</sup>) rates of creation and destruction of species i in $A_{\rm c}$ $R_{i,r}$ specific heat of the mixture (J kg<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>) the reaction $r \pmod{m^{-3} s^{-1}}$ $c_{\rm p}$ specific heat of the mixture (J kg<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>) $C_{\rm si}$ , $C_{\rm sia}$ catalyst surface concentration of site types i or surface area of fresh catalyst (m<sup>2</sup> kg<sup>-1</sup>) $ia (i = 1, 2), (mol m^{-2})$ $Sc_{t}$ effective turbulent Schmidt number diameter of the catalyst particle (m) $d_{\rm p}$ temperature (K) Ddiameter (m) velocity vector (m s<sup>-1</sup>) inner/outer diameter of SPTRR (m) x, y, z velocity components (m s $^{-1}$ ) $D_{\rm i}/D_{\rm o}$ u, v, wDmass diffusion coefficient (m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) dimensionless axial velocity effective mass diffusion coefficient due to turbu- $D_{t}$ x, y, z Cartesian coordinates (m) lence friction factor Greek symbols heat transfer coefficient (W $m^{-2} K^{-1}$ ) inertial loss coefficient (m<sup>-1</sup>) h β h' height of catalyst (m) Kronecker's delta $\delta_{ij}$ turbulent energy dissipation rate (m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-3</sup>) enthalpy of formation of species i (J mol<sup>-1</sup>) $h_{o,i}$ 3 dimensionless height of catalyst Hporosity $\varepsilon_{\rm p}$ direct normal irradiance (W m<sup>-2</sup>) methanol conversion $I_b$ $\eta_{c}$ rate constant for reaction i (m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>) $k_i$ chemical energy conversion per unit pump $\eta_{sc}$ permeability (m<sup>2</sup>) thermal conductivity (W m<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>) turbulence kinetic energy (m<sup>2</sup> s<sup>-2</sup>) λ $K_i$ equilibrium constant of reaction i or adsorption kinetic viscosity (Pa s) μ coefficient for surface species i turbulent viscosity (Pa s) $\mu_{t}$ Lcircle angle (deg) length (m) $\theta$ $m_i$ mass fraction of species i ρ density (kg m<sup>-</sup> mole fraction of species i $M_i$ molecular weight of species i (kg mol<sup>-1</sup>) $M_{\mathrm{w},i}$ subscripts molar flow rate (mol $s^{-1}$ ) catalyst $n_i$ cat $N_{\mathbf{R}}$ number of reactions effective eff NuNusselt number f fluid pressure (Pa) p in inner $P_{\rm w}$ pump power (W) m mean heat transfer rate per unit area (W m<sup>-2</sup>) maximum max qmass flow rate $(kg s^{-1})$ out outlet $q_{\rm m}$ total heat transfer rate (W) Q ref reference rate of reaction $i \pmod{m^{-3} s^{-1}}$ solid $r_i$ Re Reynolds number wall W universal gas constant (J mol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>) R

The receiver–reactor provides a direct and efficient approach to convert sunlight into chemical energy, because the heat converted from solar radiation can enter the reactor directly and the heat transfer irreversibility can be decreased. However, the concentrated radiation results in non-uniform heat flux distribution on the outer surface of receiver–reactor (Cheng et al., 2013, 2014). The non-uniform temperature distribution caused by the non-uniform heat flux distribution increases the thermal stress and the possibility of degradation of the selective absorbing coating (Boerema et al., 2013; Qiu et al., 2015). Also, the local high temperature can lead to strong local chemical reaction, which raises the control difficulty of receiver–reactor since chemical reaction is sensitive to the temperature change.

Many studies have focused on the performance analysis and improvements of solar parabolic trough receiver (SPTR) without chemical reaction under non-uniform heat flux boundary condition. These studies can be classified into two groups. One is that new models or methods were proposed to accurately predict the performances of SPTR under non-uniform heat flux boundary condition. Eck and Steinmann (2005) developed a three-dimensional model of SPTR with non-uniform solar heat distribution by finite element method (FEM). The circumferential non-uniform temperature distribution of receiver tube was studied to find out the hotspot. Cheng et al. (2012a) and He et al. (2011) studied the SPTR by combining the Monte Carlo ray trace method (MCRTM) and the finite volume method (FVM). The MCRTM was employed to precisely describe the non-uniform solar heat distribution. Wu et al. (2014a, 2014b) also numerically studied the SPTR by combining MCRT and FVM/FEM. The structural

### Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1549568

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1549568

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>