

## Prediction of hybrid ventilation performance using two simulation tools

M. El Mankibi <sup>a,\*</sup>, F. Cron <sup>b</sup>, P. Michel <sup>a</sup>, C. Inard <sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> LASH, ENTPE, Rue Maurice Audin, 69518 Vaulx en Velin, France

<sup>b</sup> LEPTAB, University of La Rochelle, Avenue Michel Crépeau, 17042 La Rochelle Cedex 1, France

Received 22 October 2004; received in revised form 3 June 2005; accepted 29 August 2005

Available online 3 October 2005

Communicated by: Associate Editor Matheos Santamouris

---

### Abstract

This paper describes the results of a collaboration between ENTPE-LASH and LEPTAB within the framework of the IEA Annex 35 “Hybrid Ventilation in New and Retrofitted Buildings”. The aim of the work is to carry out a cross-simulation study and to identify optimal control strategies for ventilation systems in order to provide a comfortable thermal indoor environment and a good indoor air quality with energy efficiency. Two models were developed by ENTPE-LASH and LEPTAB in order to carry out hybrid system simulations taking into account air flows, heat transfers and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations, and numerical results are compared in this study. The models were first adjusted to an experimental cell, HYB-CELL, created in the same project. The simulations were carried out using a fictive classroom. The test room was assumed to be in Copenhagen and to be equipped with a natural ventilation system (two inlet grilles and an exhaust chimney) or with mechanical ventilation systems (fans with or without heat recovery). This work also reveals what are the differences in results between the two tools and outlines some conclusions on relative performance of the specific control strategies chosen in this study.

© 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Hybrid ventilation; Control strategies; Comfort; Energy efficiency

---

### 1. Introduction

Nowadays, there is a growing interest in taking into account the ventilation at the early design stage of a building. Designers are interested in ventilation features as well as in indoor air quality, thermal comfort and energy consumption (Heiselberg,

2000). One way to reach these targets is to combine both natural and mechanical ventilation advantages into a hybrid system. In addition, reliable design tools are needed to generate guidelines on control strategies. Examples of existing hybrid ventilation and control strategy systems in office and educational buildings (Aggerholm, 2002) show that control strategies have to be carefully designed to take into account the building design, the ventilation system, the occupant behaviors and their indoor climate expectations.

---

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: +33 04 72 04 77 46; fax: +33 04 72 04 70 41.

E-mail address: [elmannkibi@entpe.fr](mailto:elmannkibi@entpe.fr) (M. El Mankibi).

**Nomenclature**

$t$	time (s)	$F_{\text{Env}}$	view factor between façade and the environment (–)
$\Delta t$	step time (s)	$\Delta x_i$	sub-layers thickness (m)
$V$	zone volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	$W$	opening width (m)
$\beta$	slop (°)	$z$	height (m)
$S_i$	area of surface $i$ (m <sup>2</sup> )	$Z_b$	bottom of the opening (defined with reference to the floor) (m)
$T_{\text{int}}^t$	indoor air temperature at time $t$ (°C)	$Z_s$	top of the opening (defined with reference to the floor) (m)
$T_{\text{ext}}^t$	outdoor air temperature at time $t$ (°C) or (K)	$Z_{\text{NL}}$	neutral level (m)
$T_{z,i}^t$	indoor air temperature at time $t$ for zone $i$ (°C)	$P_{o,i}$	reference pressure on the ground for the zone $i$ (Pa)
$T_{s,i}^t$	surface $i$ surface temperature at time $t$ (°C) or (K)	$P_{z,i}$	pressure at height $z$ for the zone $i$ (Pa)
$T_i^t$	node $i$ temperature at time $t$ (°C)	$\Delta P_{z,i}$	pressure difference across an exterior opening at a height $z$ (Pa)
$T_v$	sky vault temperature (K)	$C_p$	pressure coefficient (–)
$T_{\text{rm}}^t$	mean radiative temperature at time $t$ (°C)	$C_d$	discharge coefficient (m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> Pa <sup>-2</sup> )
$T_{\text{air-vent}}^t$	ventilation temperature at time $t$ (°C)	$v$	wind speed at the height of the building (m <sup>2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )
$h_{\text{int}}$	internal convection coefficient (W m <sup>-2</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )	$qm_{\text{as}ji}$	pure air mass flow rate from the zone $j$ to the zone $i$ (kg <sub>as</sub> s <sup>-1</sup> )
$h_{\text{ext}}$	external convection coefficient (W m <sup>-2</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )	$qm_{\text{es}ji}$	CO <sub>2</sub> mass flow rate from the zone $j$ to the zone $i$ (kg <sub>es</sub> s <sup>-1</sup> )
$\rho_{\text{as}}$	air sec density (kg m <sup>-3</sup> )	$S_{\text{es}}$	pollutant source (kg <sub>es</sub> s <sup>-1</sup> )
$\rho_{\text{es}}$	pollutant density (kg m <sup>-3</sup> )	$\phi_{\text{Conv}}^i$	convective heat for surface $i$ (W m <sup>-2</sup> )
$c_{p_{\text{as}}}$	specific heat of air sec at constant pressure (J kg <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )	$\phi_{\text{SW}}^i$	short-wave radiative heat for surface $i$ (W m <sup>-2</sup> )
$c_{p_{\text{es}}}$	specific heat of pollutant at constant pressure (J kg <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )	$\phi_{\text{LW}}^i$	long-wave radiative heat for surface $i$ (W m <sup>-2</sup> )
$c_i$	specific heat of material in layer $i$ (J kg <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )	$Q_{\text{ventil}}$	ventilation flow (kg s <sup>-1</sup> )
$\lambda_i$	thermal conductivity of material in layer $i$ (W m <sup>-1</sup> K <sup>-1</sup> )	$Q_{\text{Below}}$	volumetric flow below $Z_{\text{NL}}$ (m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )
$\alpha_i$	absorbance of material in layer $i$ (–)	$Q_{\text{Above}}$	volumetric above $Z_{\text{NL}}$ (m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )
$\varepsilon_i$	emissivity (–)	$Q_{ik}$	volumetric flow from zone $i$ to zone $k$ (m <sup>3</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )
$cc$	nebulousity indicia (–)	$\Phi_{\text{heating}}$	heating power (W)
$\sigma_0$	Stefan–Boltzman constant (W m <sup>-2</sup> K <sup>-4</sup> )	$\Phi_{\text{gains}}$	gains power (W)
$F_{ij}$	view factor from $i$ to $j$ (–)	$\Phi_{\text{rad}}$	radiative gain power (W)
$F_{\text{vault}}$	view factor between façade and the sky vault (–)		

This paper describes the work done by both EN-TPE/LASH and LEPTAB laboratories within the framework of IEA Annex 35. The main work objective is to identify optimal ventilation systems within a simple school building in Denmark. Two mechanical ventilation systems were compared with a hybrid ventilation system during three seasons.

Analytical solutions are available to study natural driving forces (Li and Delsante, 2001) as well as numerical tools to perform a ventilation perfor-

mance analysis (Frascatoro and Perino, 2002). Even if a hybrid-ventilated school has already been simulated with one of these tools (Jeong and Haghighat, 2002), some concerns remain about

- the difficulties encountered to simulate the problem,
- the robustness of the tools,
- the possibility to generate general guidelines on control strategies to be developed.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1551926>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1551926>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)