



Optimization of the performance of double-façades with integrated photovoltaic panels and motorized blinds

Rémi Charron, Andreas K. Athienitis *

Department of Building, Civil and Environmental Engineering, Concordia University, 1455 Maisonneuve W., Montreal, Que., Canada H3G 1M8

Received 27 May 2004; received in revised form 26 January 2005; accepted 4 May 2005
Available online 24 June 2005

Communicated by: Associate Editor Claudio Estrada-Gasca

Abstract

Double-façades with integrated photovoltaic panels may be employed to generate electricity, thermal energy and for daylighting. A theoretical study of double-façades with integrated photovoltaics (PV) and motorized blinds is presented, which investigates the effect of various design parameters in order to maximize the conversion of solar radiation to useful energy. Two configurations of the façade with a lower section with integrated PV and an upper Vision (viewing) section with motorized blinds, are examined. A one-dimensional finite-difference thermal model is developed, with an algorithm that iteratively determines which convective heat transfer coefficient correlation to use for each surface inside the cavity using expressions that consider system characteristics and temperature distribution. When PV modules are installed in the middle of the cavity, air flows on both sides, increasing PV section overall (thermal-electric) efficiency by about 25%, but lowers electricity generation by 21%. Integrating 0.015 m long, 0.002 m wide fins to the PV back plate leads to a similar increase in efficiency without compromising electricity generation. Placing the blind in the middle of the cavity increases the Vision section efficiency by 5%. Using this approach to optimize performance can lead to combined thermal-electric efficiencies of over 60%.

© 2005 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Building-integrated photovoltaics; Motorized blinds; Ventilated façade

1. Introduction

Double-façades with integrated photovoltaic (PV) panels may be used to generate electricity, thermal energy, and for daylighting. Many researchers (e.g.

Faggembauu et al., 2003a,b) have examined various configurations of double façades and have developed thermo-fluid models to investigate performance. However, a limited amount of work has been done to develop systematic optimization procedures to improve their overall performance and cost effectiveness.

The performance of a double-façade depends on geometric, thermo-physical, optical and aerodynamic properties of the various components, making it difficult to outline general design guidelines (Hensen et al., 2002). Since design parameters have differing impacts, the

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 514 848 8791; fax: +1 514 848 7965.

E-mail address: athiena@alcor.concordia.ca (A.K. Athienitis).

Nomenclature

A	overall area of the double façade	Nu	Nusselt number
BIPV/T	building-integrated photovoltaic-thermal double façade	Pr	Prandtl number
A_p	fin profile area	Q_{air}	heat absorbed in the air
A_{PV}	overall area of the PV section	Q_{room}	heat transferred to room by façade
C	specific heat of air (J/kg K)	q_{fin}	heat transferred from fins
D, D_h	hydraulic diameter	q_{max}	maximum theoretical q_{fin}
E_{pv}	electricity generated by PV	Ra	Rayleigh number
E_{trans}	solar radiation transmitted through BIPV/T façade	Re	Reynolds number
E_{fan}	electricity consumed by fan	$S_{\text{pv-heat}}$	irradiation absorbed as heat in PV
f	friction coefficient	tod	time of day (h)
G	incident solar irradiation (W/m^2)	t	fin thickness
Gr	Grashoff number	T_i	temperature of surface i
h_i	heat transfer coefficient in i	\bar{T}_{ma}	mean air temperature
h_c	convective heat transfer coefficient	U_i	thermal conductance of i
H	overall height of the double façade	V_0	external air velocity
H_{pv}	height of the PV section in the double façade	X	vertical boundary layer thickness
In_i	radiation directed outside from i	X_T	thermal boundary layer thickness
Ip_i	radiation directed to room from i	w	width of double façade
k_i	thermal conductivity of i (W/K)		
L	gap width	<i>Greek</i>	
L_c	corrected fin length	ρ_{air}	air density (kg/m^3)
L_{fin}	fin length	ε_i	emissivity of surface i
L2b	inner cavity width in configuration 2	τ_i	transmissivity of surface i
L2f	outer cavity width in configuration 2	ρ_i	reflectivity of surface i
M	mass flow rate of air (kg/s)	σ	Stefan–Boltzman constant ($5.67 \cdot 10^{-8} \text{ W}/\text{m}^2 \text{ K}^4$)
		η_{pv}	PV module efficiency

overall performance may be improved by determining interactions between key parameters.

One critical parameter is the channel width due to its intrinsic relationships with heat transfer coefficients, friction losses and flow velocity. Using a simple steady-state control volume model, Balocco (2002) found that the maximum flow rate that could be achieved by stack effect occurred at a cavity width of 20–30 cm for a ventilated façade. As fan-driven flow is assumed in this investigation, optimal width will be different. The impact of width and other critical parameters will be examined further.

The system considered in this paper is far more complex since in optimizing the façade one must consider electricity generated, useful heat recovered and daylighting. If cooling load is reduced (e.g. by cooling the blind) this factor needs to be also considered.

2. Model description

2.1. System configuration

The façade considered in this investigation consists of a PV and a Vision section as depicted in Fig. 1. An alter-

nate setup, referred to as Configuration 2, is shown in Fig. 2. Configuration 2 has the PV and roller blind in the middle of the cavity, allowing air to flow on both sides. Double-façades with integrated PV configured as above are also referred to as BIPV/T façades. Configuration 2 will result in more heat being extracted from the PV since air is flowing on both sides. However, adding fins to the PV is obviously another approach to cool it effectively.

2.2. Mathematical formulation

As the façade is divided into two sections, the mathematical formulation of the problem considers the two sections separately. The two are linked such that the output air temperature calculated for the PV section is used as an input value to the Vision section. A nodal model is used to determine an expression for the temperature of each component by doing an energy balance at each solid node. For the simple case of the PV section depicted in Fig. 1, the corresponding energy balance equations (1)–(3) are obtained.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1552366>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1552366>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)