

HOSTED BY



ELSEVIER

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

Journal of Radiation Research and Applied Sciences

journal homepage: <http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jrras>

CrossMark

Estimation of adult patient doses for selected X-ray diagnostic examinations

Kofi Ofori*, Samuel W. Gordon, Emmanuel Akrobortu, Adriana A. Ampene, Emmanuel O. Darko

Radiation Protection Institute, Ghana Atomic Energy Commission, Ghana

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 24 July 2014

Accepted 9 August 2014

Available online 27 August 2014

Keywords:

Entrance skin dose

Effective dose

X-rays

ABSTRACT

The *caldose_x* 5.0 software has been used to assess the entrance skin doses (ESD) and effective doses (ED) of adult patients undergoing x-ray examinations of the thorax/chest (PA/RLAT), pelvis (AP), cervical spine (AP/LAT), thoracic spine (AP) and lumbar spine (AP) in three public hospitals each equipped with constant potential generators (no ripple), an x-ray emission angle of 17° and a total filtration of 2.5 mm Al. In all, 320 patients were surveyed with an average of over 100 patients per a hospital. The patients' data and exposure parameters captured into the software included age, sex, examination type, projection posture, tube potential and current-time product. The mean ESD and ED of seven different examinations were calculated using the software and compared with published works and internationally established diagnostic reference levels. The mean ESD calculated were 0.27 mGy, 0.43 mGy, 1.31 mGy, 1.05 mGy, 0.45 mGy, 2.10 mGy, 3.25 mGy and the mean effective doses were 0.02 mSv, 0.01 mSv, 0.09 mSv, 0.05 mSv, 0.03 mSv, 0.13 mSv, 0.41 mSv for thorax (PA), thorax/chest (RLAT), Pelvis (AP), cervical spine (AP), cervical spine (LAT), thoracic spine (AP) and lumbar spine (AP) respectively.

Copyright © 2014, The Egyptian Society of Radiation Sciences and Applications. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Diagnostic x-ray radiology is a common diagnostic practice and there has been a substantial increase in the number of examinations recently (Bushong, 2001). In spite of the increasing hazard of diagnostic x-rays to human beings, studies aimed at achieving low patient doses with sufficient image quality have continued to be of interest in research (ICRP, 1991; UNSCEAR, 2000). All exposures to ionizing radiation needs to be justified and optimized in terms of the benefit and risks (ICRP, 1991).

Entrance skin dose (ESD) is an important parameter in assessing the dose received by a patient in a single radiographic exposure. The European Union has identified this physical quantity as one to be monitored as a diagnostic reference level in the hopes of optimizing patient dose (Bushong, 2001; ICRP, 1991).

Patient doses in diagnostic x-ray examinations can be best estimated in terms of entrance surface dose (ESD) per radiograph or dose area product (DAP) for the complete examination (European Commission, 1996). On the other hand, the effective dose is the best quantity for estimating radiation risks to the patients. The major benefit of using the effective

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: kofiofori@hotmail.co.uk (K. Ofori).

Peer review under responsibility of The Egyptian Society of Radiation Sciences and Applications.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jrras.2014.08.003>

1687-8507/Copyright © 2014, The Egyptian Society of Radiation Sciences and Applications. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Table 1 – Summary of patients' characteristics and technical parameters selected for the various examinations in the five Government hospitals considered for the study.

| Examination | Projection | Male patients | Female patients | Total patients | Age Range/mean | kVp Range/mean | mAs Range/mean | FDD (cm) Range/mean |
|----------------|------------|---------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| Thorax | PA | 45 | 36 | 81 | 43 (25–73) | 80.5 (65–90) | 25.5 (12.5–30) | 105.5 (90–110) |
| Thorax | RLAT | 38 | 31 | 69 | 48 (25–72) | 81.7 (65–90) | 27.8 (16–40) | 165.5 (120–180) |
| Pelvis | AP | 28 | 19 | 47 | 58 (25–75) | 77.8 (60–85) | 28.0 (12.5–44) | 105.8 (100–112) |
| Cervical spine | AP | 11 | 10 | 21 | 45 (25–76) | (74.5) 60–80 | 24.2 (13.5–40) | 104.5 (100–110) |
| Cervical spine | LAT | 10 | 9 | 19 | 38.4 (25–76) | 75.4 (60–80) | 23.2 (16–45) | 105.2 (100–110) |
| Thoracic spine | AP | 9 | 6 | 15 | 57.5 (25–79) | 72.2 (70–85) | 40.3 (30–60) | 135.8 (120–160) |
| Lumber spine | AP | 24 | 18 | 42 | 60.2 (25–78) | (85.9) 70–95 | 42.5 (20–50) | 138.6 (100–165) |

dose is that this parameter accounts for the absorbed doses and relative radiosensitivities of the irradiated organs in the patients and, therefore, better quantifies the patient risk (ICRP, 1991).

The aim of this study was to use the *caldose_x* software to estimate the entrance skin doses (ESD) and effective doses (ED) of adult patients during routine x-ray examinations of the thorax (PA/PA), pelvis (AP), cervical spine (AP/LAT), thoracic spine (AP) and lumbar spine (AP/LAT) in three (3) public hospitals.

2. Materials and methods

In all, 328 adult patients were considered for the study. The study was carried out in three public hospitals, each using conventional x-ray units equipped with constant potential generators (no ripple), an x-ray emission angle of 17° and a total filtration of 2.5 mm Al. Before measurements, x-ray generators and equipment were tested for generator type, timer accuracy, HVL, kVp accuracy, output consistency, beam alignment and collimation using multi-function meter with serial number 800391-2674 and model RMI 240 A (for timer and kVp accuracy, generator type), Radcheck plus ionization chamber, Nuclear Associates Div. of Victoreen, Inc., USA with serial number 0000107690 and model 06-526 (for output consistency and HVL determination) and Radiation Measurement Inc., Middleton, WI 53562 US Patent D259,406 with serial numbers 161B-5242 and 162A-4271 (for perpendicularity, alignment and collimation test) as part of the quality control test.

Measurements were concentrated on seven most frequently used examinations of thorax (PA/PA), pelvis (AP), cervical spine (AP/LAT), thoracic spine (AP) and lumbar spine (AP/PO).

The entrance skin and effective doses were calculated using a software called *caldose_x* 5.0. The software enables the calculation of the incident air kerma (INAK) based on the output curve of an x-ray tube and of the entrance surface air kerma (ESAK) by multiplying the INAK with a backscatter factor, as well as organ and tissue absorbed doses and

effective doses for posture-specific female and a male adult phantoms, using conversion coefficients (CCs) normalized to the INAK, the ESAK or the air kerma area product (AKAP) for examinations frequently performed in x-ray diagnosis (Kramer, Khoury, & Vieira, 2008). The software determines the risks of cancer incidence and cancer mortality for the examination selected by the user. The CCs have been calculated for the MASH and the FASH phantoms. The MASH and FASH have organ and tissue masses based on anatomical reference data given by ICRP89 (ICRP, 2002). MASH and FASH were modeled in standing as well as in supine posture and were used in the Monte Carlo calculations posture-specifically according to the protocol of the type of x-ray examination. It covers 24 examinations with 2.5 mm Al standard filtration for standing and/or supine posture. *Caldose_x* 5.0 examinations are based on focus-to-detector distance (FDD) which can be selected by the user within a given interval.

The software requires the user to manually input the patient's age, sex, select type of examination, posture projections, tube potential, field position and the mAs. Other patients' information recorded were the heights and weights.

In this study the x-ray output curve in mGy/mAs was obtained with Radcheck plus ionization chamber, Nuclear Associates Div. of Victoreen, Inc., USA with serial number 0000107690 and model 06-526. The outputs of the X-ray machines (mGy/mAs) were determined based on the AAPM Task Group no. 61 Protocol (Ma et al., 2001). Once the tube potential, the tube current, the exposure time and the focus to skin distance (*d*) are known, ESD can be expressed as (Davies, McCallum, White, Brown, & Helem, 1997):

$$ESD = O \times \left(\frac{V}{80}\right)^2 \times \left(\frac{100}{d}\right)^2 CTf \quad (1)$$

where *O* is the tube output determined units of mGy/mAs, *V* is the tube voltage in kV, *d* is the focus to skin distance in cm, *C* is the current in mA, *T* is the exposure time in s, and *f* is the backscatter factor. The tube calibration is performed at 80 kV, 1 m distance and 10 mAs.

Once the entrance skin dose is determined, the effective dose is calculated using the equation:

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1570420>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1570420>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)