



Hematite doped magnetic TiO₂ nanocomposites with improved photocatalytic activity



Dan Liū, Ziheng Li^{*}, Wenquan Wang, Guoqiang Wang, Dan Liū

College of Physics, Jilin University, No. 2699 Qianjin Street, Changchun 130012, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 11 June 2015

Received in revised form

10 September 2015

Accepted 15 September 2015

Available online 16 September 2015

Keywords:

Nanocomposites

Anatase TiO₂

Photocatalytic

α -Fe₂O₃

Self-built electric field

ABSTRACT

A facile approach for the fabrication of magnetic α -Fe₂O₃@TiO₂ nanocomposites has been demonstrated. The obtained composites which were doped by proper amount of hematite exhibited better photocatalytic activity than the samples without α -Fe₂O₃ doping according to the photocatalytic degradation of methyl orange. Moreover, the photocatalytic degradation activity of these nanocomposites is better than P25 at the same photocatalytic experiment conditions. However, when the doping amount of hematite is high, the nanocomposites exhibit poor photocatalytic activity. Because of the self-built electric field formed in the heterojunction of α -Fe₂O₃ and TiO₂, it can be confirmed that of the doping hematite makes a contribution to the ultraviolet–vis light absorption and provides more electrons for the catalysis process. And the combination of two kinds of semiconductor is the key to improve the utilization efficiency of light. Furthermore, that all the samples can be transferred easily by a magnet shows potential application for wastewater treatment.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Photocatalytic degradation of environmental hazardous substances has attracted much attention for decades. Among various photocatalysts, TiO₂ has been intensively investigated due to its high chemical and thermal stability, nontoxicity, cost effectiveness, and long-term stability against photo corrosion and chemical corrosion [1]. One critical drawback of TiO₂ is that its band energy gap, which is of the magnitude 3.0–3.2 eV, is too large to allow efficient absorption of most sunlight. TiO₂ is active only under the near ultraviolet (UV) irradiation and no visible light response [2]. A proficient way to extend the absorbance of TiO₂ to light is to form a composite of nano-semiconductors, such as α -Fe₂O₃ and TiO₂ [3,4]. Due to the stability under ambient conditions with low cost, the high resistance to corrosion and the environment-friendly features, the hematite (α -Fe₂O₃) can be used as an efficient semiconductor. Hematite has a band gap of 2.2 eV, so it can be considered to be a sensitizer for TiO₂ [5–10]. When the composite is irradiated by light, the electrons in the valence band of α -Fe₂O₃ are excited to the conduction band, leaving holes in the valence band. As a consequence, the electrons

in the conduction band of α -Fe₂O₃ are injected to the conduction band of TiO₂ driven by the built-in field in the α -Fe₂O₃–TiO₂ heterojunction. Much effort has been made to prepare α -Fe₂O₃–TiO₂ composites by other researchers [11–18]. However, considering that most photocatalytic processes are conducted in solutions, separating them from a large volume of solution becomes difficult. This is another drawback of the practical use of TiO₂. At this point, magnetic separation provides a convenient approach to introduce magnetic components into TiO₂ particles-based catalysts [19]. Therefore, the obtained magnetic α -Fe₂O₃@TiO₂ particles are both highly photoactive and effective for separation. Recently, Xia et al. synthesized α -Fe₂O₃@TiO₂ nanocomposites with core–shell structure via the hydrothermal reaction route. Using the α -Fe₂O₃ spindle as a hard template displays improved photocatalytic degradation activity for Rhodamine B dye. The as-prepared particles were etched with HCl solution before photocatalytic experiments [20]. Amarjargal et al. prepared a nanosheet-based α -Fe₂O₃ hierarchical structure decorated with TiO₂ nanospheres via post annealing treatment. The samples presented enhancement of photocatalytic activity [21]. It can be seen that this issue has got enough attention by other researchers. On the basis of the above consideration, the obtained composites which were doped by proper amount of hematite (samples A–C) exhibited better photocatalytic activity than P25 at the same photocatalytic experiment conditions. And

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail address: liziheng@jlu.edu.cn (Z. Li).

the approach in this paper for the preparation of α -Fe₂O₃@TiO₂ nanocomposites has more advantages in cost, conditions and is more environmental friendly.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Materials and chemicals

Carbamide ((NH₂)₂CO, AR), iron nitrate nonahydrate (Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O, AR), ammonium hydroxide (NH₃·H₂O, 25 wt.%, AR) and citric acid solution (C₆H₈O₇, AR) were purchased from Beijing Chemical Works; Titanium sulfate (Ti(SO₄)₂, CP) and ferric chloride (FeCl₃, CP) were purchased from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd; Methyl orange (C₁₄H₁₄N₃NaO₃S, MO) and ferrous chloride (FeCl₂·4H₂O, AR) were purchased from Shantou Xilong Chemical Factory, Guangdong; Polypropylene acid (PAA, AR) and absolute ethanol (CH₃CH₂OH, AR) were purchased from Tianjin Tiantai Fine Chemicals Co., Ltd. All the materials were used as received.

2.2. Preparation of magnetic Fe₃O₄ and α -Fe₂O₃

The coprecipitation method was chosen to prepare magnetic Fe₃O₄ particles. Typically, 1215 mg of FeCl₃ and 745 mg of FeCl₂·4H₂O were dissolved in 200 mL of distilled water with vigorous stirring. The color of the solution immediately turned black after 10 mL of ammonium hydroxide was added rapidly into the mixture solution. Then 5 mL of citric acid solution (2 mg/mL) was added rapidly into the solution. The temperature of the mixture solution was increased and kept at 80 °C for 30 min, and finally, the solution was naturally cooled. The whole process was under nitrogen atmosphere. Then the obtained black Fe₃O₄ powders were separated by a magnet and washed with distilled water and ethanol, followed by drying in oven at 60 °C. Synthesis of the α -Fe₂O₃ particles was as follows. PAA colloidal aggregates were prepared firstly. Typically, 360 mg of PAA was dissolved in 12 mL of ammonia hydroxide and mixed with 180 mL of absolute ethanol at room temperature. Then 2018 mg of iron nitrate was added into the PAA colloidal solution with vigorous stirring. After 4 h, composites were collected by suction filtration and dried at 60 °C. Composites were heated at 400 °C for 3 h subsequently in order to remove the polymeric templates and obtain α -Fe₂O₃ particles.

2.3. Preparation of magnetic α -Fe₂O₃@TiO₂ composites

Magnetic α -Fe₂O₃@TiO₂ composites were synthesized by the following method. Firstly, Ti(SO₄)₂ was dispersed in 120 mL of distilled water with ultrasonic stirring. Secondly, (NH₂)₂CO was added into the solution, followed by the addition of 80 mL of distilled H₂O. The concentration of the titanium source was 20 mmol/L. The relative molar ratio (Mr) of (NH₂)₂CO to Ti(SO₄)₂ was always 16. Thirdly, 100 mg of Fe₃O₄ was added into the mixed solution under stirring in the air. After 4 h, different amounts of α -Fe₂O₃ particles were added into the mixed solution. At last, the

mixture solution was maintained at 90 °C for 2 h under stirring in the air. Afterwards, the products were collected by a permanent magnet and washed with distilled water and ethanol. Samples with different amount of α -Fe₂O₃ were named as samples A–E, namely, 1%, 5%, 10%, 15%, and 0% respectively. The percentage was the mass percentage which was the mass of α -Fe₂O₃ relative to the total quality of Fe₃O₄ and TiO₂. Preparation conditions of the samples are listed in Table 1.

2.4. Photocatalytic experiments

The photocatalytic activity of the sample was investigated by monitoring the degradation of methyl orange (MO) in the liquid phase. In a typical procedure, 100 mg of samples was dispersed in an MO aqueous solution (150 mL, 10 mg/L). Then the photocatalytic reaction was induced by a 500 W mercury lamp. The luminous range of the lamp includes the UV light region and the visible light region. At given irradiation time intervals (10 min), a series of aqueous solution (2 mL) were sampled. Then the UV–vis absorption spectrum of the solution was measured to evaluate the concentration of MO. The whole experiment time was 90 min. The final degradation rates were obtained afterwards (in Table 1). The calculation method of the final degradation rate was with reference to other researchers' work [22]. Specifically speaking, first, align the absorption spectra according to baseline. Second, measure the intensity of the characteristic peak at given time points (every 10 min). Finally, calculate the final degradation rate of methyl orange by the samples according to the formula. The formula is $C = (1 - B/A) \times 100\%$, where A represents the initial intensity of the characteristic peak. B is the intensity of the characteristic peak at subsequent time points. C represents the final degradation rate of methyl orange by samples at time points in correspondence with B.

2.5. Characterization apparatus and methods

The phases of the specimens were identified by X-ray diffraction (XRD) using Cu K α radiation (Rigaku-D-Max vA; $\lambda = 0.15418$ nm) within an angular range of 10°–90° with an increment of 0.02° on the 2 θ scale at room temperature. Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) images were taken on JEM-2200FS transmission electron microscope. The valence states of Fe and Ti in the samples were obtained by X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS). XPS was performed on thermo ESCALAB 250 with an Al K α (1486.6 eV) radiation source. Fiber optic spectrometer (AvaSpec–2048, Netherlands) was used for testing the UV–vis absorption spectrum. The magnetic properties were measured by vibrating sample magnetometer (VSM), which was calibrated by a pure nickel sphere under a maximum applied field of 20 kOe.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. XRD analysis of α -Fe₂O₃ and Fe₃O₄

Fig. 1 (a) presents the XRD patterns of Fe₃O₄, with diffraction

Table 1
Preparation conditions, the Ms and the final photocatalytic degradation rates of the samples.

Samples	Ti(SO ₄) ₂ /mg	(NH ₂) ₂ CO/g	Fe ₃ O ₄ /mg	H ₂ O/mL	α -Fe ₂ O ₃ (%)	Ms (emu/g)	Final photocatalytic degradation rate (%)
A	600	2.4	100	200	1	23.78	99.75
B	600	2.4	100	200	5	23.98	98.91
C	600	2.4	100	200	10	35.02	91.86
D	600	2.4	100	200	15	33.79	34.28
E	600	2.4	100	200	0	43.66	68.56
P25	—	—	—	—	—	—	53.79

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1607970>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1607970>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)