Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/09258388)

Journal of Alloys and Compounds

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jallcom

S. Hassam^{a,∗}, D. Boa^b, Y. Fouque^a, K.P. Kotchi^b, J. Rogez^a

^a *Institut Matériaux Microélectronique Nanosciences de Provence (IM2NP), UMR 6242 CNRS, Université Paul Cézanne, Provence et Sud Toulon-Var, Avenue Escadrille Normandie-Niémien, 13397 Marseille Cedex 20, France* ^b *Laboratoire de Thermodynamique et de Physico-Chimie du Milieu, Université d'Abobo- Adjamé, UFR – SFA, 02 BP 801 Abidjan 02, Côte d'Ivoire*

article info

Article history: Received 10 June 2008 Received in revised form 20 August 2008 Accepted 2 September 2008 Available online 25 October 2008

Keywords: Enthalpy of mixing Lead–antimony system Phase diagram DLLRC DSC Optimization

1. Introduction

The Pb – Sb system is a simple eutectic formed by two solid phases, fcc Pb and rhombohedral Sb, and a liquid phase. The liquidus has been determined by several scientists and their data are in good agreement [\[1–3\]. T](#page--1-0)he solidus and solvus in the Pb-rich region are also well established [\[4,5\].](#page--1-0) Experimental data of Pb – Sb system were already critically evaluated by Ashtakala et al. [\[6\]. T](#page--1-0)he calculated phase diagram is in good agreement with the selected experimental data. The calculated eutectic composition and temperature are 17.5 at.% Sb and 524.85 K, respectively. The maximum solubilities of Sb in Pb and of Pb in Sb are respectively 5.8 and 1.9 at.%. Taskinen and Teppo [\[7\]](#page--1-0) and Lee et al.[\[8\]](#page--1-0) carried out the thermodynamic modelling and the calculation of the phase diagram using the Calphad method [\[9\].](#page--1-0) Based on their own experimental data and the literature, Othani et al. [\[10\]](#page--1-0) have published another thermodynamic description of the Pb – Sb system.

Thermodynamic activities in liquid alloys have been determined by the e.m.f. method [\[3,11–16\].](#page--1-0) These measurements agree well within the experimental uncertainty and are reviewed by Hultgren et al. [\[17\]. T](#page--1-0)he activity values are deviating only slightly from Raoult's law.

ABSTRACT

The enthalpies of mixing of lead – antimony alloys were determined at 973 K in the range $0.2 < X_{Sb} < 0.6$ by direct liquid–liquid reaction calorimetry (DLLRC). The results are compared with data of the literature. The mixing enthalpies in the Pb – Sb liquid phase are weakly negative over the entire investigated concentration range with a minimum at $\Delta_{\text{mix}}H_m = -70$ J mol⁻¹ at $X_{Sb} = 0.4$. In addition, the Pb – Sb phase diagram on the Pb-rich side was revised using differential scanning calorimetry (DSC). The coordinates of the eutectic point have been ensured. An optimization of the binary Pb – Sb system was finally performed. The calculated phase diagram and thermodynamic functions agree well with experimental data.

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

AND COMPOUNDS

The enthalpies of formation of the fcc Pb solid solution were measured by Diller et al. [\[18\]](#page--1-0) at 525 K by solution calorimetry.

The enthalpy of mixing in liquid phase has been measured calorimetrically by Kawakami at 1073 K [\[19\], W](#page--1-0)ittig and Gehring at 973 K [\[20\], Y](#page--1-0)azawa et al. at 945 K [\[21\], B](#page--1-0)adawi at 950 K [\[22\]](#page--1-0) and Badawi et al. at 907 K [\[23\]. T](#page--1-0)he results are largely scattered close to zero. The recent calorimetric results of Azzaoui et al. at 892 K [\[24\],](#page--1-0) desagree with those of Wittig and Gehring [\[20\]](#page--1-0) and Yazawa et al. [\[21\]. T](#page--1-0)he mixing enthalpies evaluated by Moser et al. [\[3\]](#page--1-0) diverge notably from the previous authors. In order to attempt to solve these disagreements, new calorimetric measurements have been carried out at 973 K using a liquid–liquid direct reaction device. The binary phase diagram was also reinvestigated in the range $0.07 \leq X_{\rm Sh} \leq 0.40$ by differential scanning calorimetry. The eutectic composition and temperature were determined. Combining the new results with experimental data available in the literature the binary system has been reoptimized with the Thermo-Calc code [\[25\].](#page--1-0)

2. Experimental procedures

2.1. Enthalpy of mixing by high-temperature calorimetry

The enthalpies of mixing of the Pb – Sb liquid alloys were determined at 973 K using a Tian-Calvet high-temperature microcalorimeter described elsewhere [\[26–28\]. T](#page--1-0)he purities of elements purchased from Alfa Aesar Gmbh & Co. KG are respectively Pb(5N) and Sb(6N). All experiments were performed in high-purity argon (impurities < 5.5 vpm).

[∗] Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* j.rogez@univ-cezanne.fr (S. Hassam).

^{0925-8388/\$ –} see front matter © 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:[10.1016/j.jallcom.2008.09.002](dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2008.09.002)

\sim . .	

Experimental integral enthalpy of mixing in Pb – Sb liquid at 973 K.

Fig. 1. Cross section of the direct liquid–liquid reaction device.

Most of calorimetric investigations use Direct Drop Reaction method [\[29\]](#page--1-0) where a solid metal at room temperature T_0 is dropped into a liquid one placed inside the calorimetric cell at experimental temperature T_C . In that method the heat of mixing at T_c is obtained by subtracting from the global measured heat effect first, the T_0 to *T*_C heat content and second, the heat of fusion of the solute metal. These quantities represent generally a too large part to the measured effect and mask the tiny "mixing effect", typically less than 1 kJ/mol. Therefore, the Direct Drop Reaction method is not suitable.

Table 2

Experimental results of DSC measurements.

Fig. 2. Integral molar enthalpies of mixing of Pb – Sb liquid. Comparison with literature.

Indeed, in the case of Pb – Sb binary system the liquid–liquid mixing enthalpies present a near to zero value. In order to improve the final accuracy of measurements and at most ensure the sign of interaction, we have carried out direct liquid–liquid reaction (DLLR) method which consists in dropping the liquid metal B previously stabilized at the experimental T_c temperature into the liquid metal A considered as the solvent and maintained also at the same temperature. This method has already be used in various setups [\[30–33\].](#page--1-0)

The high-temperature liquid–liquid mixing device is described in Fig. 1. The C_1 graphite crucible holds 2-8 g of antimony liquid metal; a C_2 silica crucible containing about 10 g of lead liquid metal is positioned just above the C_1 crucible. The C_2 crucible is so manufactured that the bottom is made thin enough to be broken with a single weak knock given by the falling down alumina rod R_3 and transmitted by both the alumina rods R_1 and R_2 . The R_3 rod initially at ambiant temperature moves on a few centimeters in the higher part of the guard tube far from the isothermal thermopile zone. Only the thin R_1 rod moves in a reproducible manner in the measurement area of the thermopile and induces a part of the heat effect to be measured in the blank experiment. Liquid lead is then released and mixes with liquid antimony forming rapidly a homogeneous phase. Indeed at 973 K, added to the high temperature natural convection, the mixing phenomenon is greatly helped by density difference between lead (10.205 g/cm^3) and antimony (6.448 g/cm^3).

At 973 K the vapour pressure of Sb is about 10^{-4} atm [\[17\]. T](#page--1-0)he mass loss in antimony do not exceed 0.1% during the experiment.

The measured heat effect corresponds to the liquid–liquid mixing enthalpy at the calorimeter temperature added to the break off heat effect which represents about 10 J. In order to evaluate correctly the mixing part of the measured enthalpy, for each run, blank experiments were achieved. It consists in mixing liquid Pb in C_2 crucible into liquid Pb in C_1 crucible, with the same thermal conditions as the previous Pb – Sb mixing experiment.

The calorimeter is calibrated by additions of α alumina pieces purchased from N.I.S.T [\[34\]](#page--1-0) in the empty graphite crucible.

The relation used to calculate the molar mixing enthalpies is

$$
\Delta_{\text{mix}} H_{\text{m}} \text{ (J mol}^{-1}) = \left(KS_2 - KS_1 \frac{n\text{Pb(Sb)}}{n\text{Pb(Pb)}} \right) \frac{1}{n_{\text{t}}} \tag{1}
$$

K represents the calibration constant, *S*² is the area of thermogram corresponding to the dropping of liquid lead into liquid antimony, S_1 is the area of thermogram corresponding to the dropping of liquid lead into liquid lead, *n*Pb(Sb) is the number of moles of lead used in the Pb – Sb mixing, *n*Pb(Pb) is the number of moles of lead used in the Pb – Pb mixing, and n_t is the total number of moles of alloy.

Taking into account principally the reproducibility of the blank effect, the uncertainty in the final value of the enthalpy of mixing shown in Table 1, is estimated to $he + 8%$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1622520>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1622520>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com/)