

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



materials letters

Materials Letters 59 (2005) 2751 – 2754

www.elsevier.com/locate/matlet

## Investigations of the local structure and the  $g$  factors for the tetragonal  $Er<sup>3+</sup> center in KMgF<sub>3</sub>$

Shao-Yi Wu<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Hui-Ning Dong<sup>b,c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Applied Physics, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 610054, P. R. China<br><sup>b</sup>International Centre for Materials Physics, Chinase Academy of Sciences, Shanyara 110016, P. P. Chi

<sup>b</sup>International Centre for Materials Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenyang 110016, P. R. China

c College of Electronic Engineering, Chongqing University of Posts and Telecommunications, Chongqing 400065, P. R. China

Received 17 January 2005; accepted 31 March 2005 Available online 13 May 2005

#### Abstract

The local structure and the electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) g factors for the tetragonal  $Er^{3+}$  center in KMgF<sub>3</sub> are theoretically studied by using the perturbation formulas of the g factors for a  $4f<sup>11</sup>$  ion in tetragonal symmetry. In these formulas, the contributions to the g factors from the second-order perturbation terms and the admixtures of various states are taken into account. Based on the studies, the impurity  $Er^{3+}$  is expected to occupy the octahedral Mg<sup>2+</sup> site, associated with an oxygen ion substituting for one of the nearest  $F^{-}$  (i.e., O<sub>F</sub>) in the C<sub>4</sub> axis, due to charge compensation. Because of the electrostatic attraction of the compensator O<sub>F</sub>, the  $Er^{3+}$  ion is found to take an axial displacement  $\Delta Z \approx 0.07$  Å) towards the compensator along the C<sub>4</sub> axis. The calculated g factors based on the above displacement  $\Delta Z$  show reasonable agreement with the observed values.

 $© 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.$ 

Keywords: Defects; Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR); Crystal fields;  $Er^{3+}$ ; KMgF<sub>3</sub>

#### 1. Introduction

 $KMgF<sub>3</sub>$  has been widely investigated due to the properties of dielectric characteristics, luminescence, green upconversion, laser action with proper dopants, and applications in fast position-sensitive registration systems  $[1-5]$ . Recently, this material doped with rare-earth ions (such as  $Er^{3+}$ ,  $Ce^{3+}$ ) has attracted extensive attentions because of thermoluminescence (TL), photoluminescence (PL), photoluminescence excitation (PLE), solid state laser and phosphors properties  $[6-11]$ . In general, these properties are relevant to local structures of doped rare-earth impurity ions. Since electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) is a useful technique to study local structures of paramagnetic impurity centers in crystals, experiments have been carried out on  $Er^{3+}$ -doped KMgF<sub>3</sub> by means

of the EPR technique. Abraham et. al. [\[12\]](#page--1-0) found a tetragonal  $Er<sup>3+</sup>$  center (as well as another two trigonal centers) in KMgF<sub>3</sub> and its g factors  $g_{\ell}$  and  $g_{\perp}$  were also measured by EPR experiment. This tetragonal  $Er^{3+}$  center was attributed to the impurity  $Er^{3+}$  occupying the octahedral  $Mg^{2+}$  site, associated with one substitutional  $Q^{2-}$  ion at the original nearest F<sup>-</sup> site (labeled as  $O_F$ ) in the  $C_4$  axis due to charge compensation [\[12\].](#page--1-0)

Until now, however, no theoretical studies have been made on the above g factors, and the local structure of this tetragonal  $Er<sup>3+</sup>$  center has not been determined, either. In order to verify theoretically the local structure of the tetragonal  $Er^{3+}$  center in KMgF<sub>3</sub>, which may be useful to understand the properties of this material, and to make satisfactory interpretation to its g factors, we investigate in this paper the local structure and the g factors for the tetragonal  $Er^{3+}$  center in  $KMgF_3$  from the perturbation formulas of the g factors for a  $4f<sup>11</sup>$  ion in tetragonal symmetry. In these formulas, the contributions to the g factors arising from the second-order perturbation terms and the admixtures of various states are taken into account.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Department of Applied Physics, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu 610054, P. R. China.

E-mail address: wushaoyi@netease.com (S.-Y. Wu).

<sup>0167-577</sup>X/\$ - see front matter  $\odot$  2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.matlet.2005.03.052

### 2. Calculations

In general, rare earth ions (e.g.,  $Ce^{3+}$ ,  $Pr^{3+}$ ,  $Eu^{2+}$ ) preferentially substitute for  $K^+$  in KMgF<sub>3</sub> [7,13–16], because they have similarly large radii ( $\approx 1.034$ , 1.013 and 1.09 Å [\[17\]\)](#page--1-0) comparable with that  $(\approx 1.33 \text{ Å}$  [\[17\]](#page--1-0) ) of the replaced  $K^+$ . For  $Er^{3+}$ , however, the ionic radius  $(\approx 0.881 \text{ Å} [17] )$  $(\approx 0.881 \text{ Å} [17] )$  $(\approx 0.881 \text{ Å} [17] )$  is much smaller and close to that  $(\approx 0.66 \text{ Å } [17] )$  $(\approx 0.66 \text{ Å } [17] )$  $(\approx 0.66 \text{ Å } [17] )$  of Mg<sup>2+</sup>. Thus, Er<sup>3+</sup> can occupy both the dodecahedral  $K^+$  and the octahedral  $Mg^{2+}$  sites and result in three different impurity centers, as pointed out in Ref. [\[12\].](#page--1-0) For the studied tetragonal center,  $Er<sup>3+</sup>$  may locate on the octahedral  $Mg^{2+}$  site due to their similar size and charge, with the extra positive charge compensated by one nearestneighbouring substitutional  $O_F$  in the  $C_4$  axis [\[12\].](#page--1-0) As a result, the tetragonal  $(C_{4V})$   $Er^{3+}$  center, i.e.,  $[ErF_5O]^{4-}$ cluster, is formed. Because of the negative effective charge of the compensator  $O_F$  in the  $C_4$  axis, the impurity  $Er^{3+}$  may shift towards the compensator by an amount  $\Delta Z$  along the  $C_4$  axis due to the electrostatic attraction. Therefore, the local structure of the impurity center can be approximately described by the compensator  $O_F$  and the displacement  $\Delta Z$ of the impurity  $Er^{3+}$  (see Fig. 1).

For an  $Er^{3+}(4f^{11})$  ion in tetragonal (C<sub>4V</sub>) crystal-fields, the ground state  ${}^{4}I_{15/2}$  would be split into eight Kramers doublets [\[18,19\].](#page--1-0) The lowest doublet is  $\Gamma_6$  or  $\Gamma_7$ , corresponding to the average value  $\bar{g}$  [= $(g_{\frac{\pi}{2}} 2g_{\perp})/3$ ] of about 6 or 6.8, respectively [\[18,19\].](#page--1-0) From the observed  $\bar{g}$  $(\approx 6.469$  [\[12\]](#page--1-0)) for the tetragonal  $Er^{3+}$  center in KMgF<sub>3</sub>, the lowest Kramers doublet should be  $\Gamma_7$ . In the treatments of the previous works [\[18,19\],](#page--1-0) merely the first-order perturbation contributions to the g factors were taken into account. In this work, however, we also include the contributions to the g factors arising from the second-order perturbation terms, which originate from the admixtures between the lowest  $\Gamma_7$  and the 14 irreducible representations  $\Gamma_{\rm x}$  due to the tetragonal splitting of the ground  ${}^{4}I_{15/2}$  and the first excited  ${}^{4}I_{13/2}$  states via the crystal-field  $\hat{H}_{CF}$  and the orbital



Fig. 1. Local structure of the tetragonal  $Er^{3+}$  center in KMgF<sub>3</sub>. The impurity  $Er<sup>3+</sup> occupying the octahedral Mg<sup>2+</sup> site undergoes the axial displacement$  $\Delta Z$  towards the compensator O<sub>F</sub> along the C<sub>4</sub> axis due to electrostatic attraction.

angular momentum  $\hat{J}$  interactions. Therefore, the perturbation formulas of the g factors for a  $4f<sup>11</sup>$  ion in tetragonal symmetry can be expressed as [\[20\]](#page--1-0)

$$
g_{//} = g_{//}^{(1)} + g_{//}^{(2)}, g_{//}^{(1)} = 2g_{J} < \Gamma \gamma |\hat{J}_{z}| \Gamma \gamma > , g_{//}^{(2)}
$$
  
= 
$$
2 \sum_{x} \frac{<\Gamma \gamma |\hat{H}_{CF}| \Gamma_{x} \gamma_{x}> <\Gamma_{x} \gamma_{x} |\hat{J}_{z}| \Gamma \gamma >}{E(\Gamma_{x}) - E(\Gamma)},
$$
 (1)

$$
g_{\perp} = g_{\perp}^{(1)} + g_{\perp}^{(2)}, g_{\perp}^{(1)} = g_{J} < \Gamma \gamma |\hat{\mathbf{J}}_{+}| \Gamma \gamma' > , g_{\perp}^{(2)} = 0. \tag{2}
$$

Here  $\Gamma \gamma^{(\gamma)}$  (y and y' stand for the two components of the  $\Gamma$ irreducible representation) is the basic function of the lowest doublet.  $g_J$  are the Lande factors for various  $^{2S+1}L_J$ configurations, which were given in Refs. [\[18,19\]](#page--1-0) (note: the nondiagonal elements  $g_J$ ' may occur in the expansions of Eqs. (1) and (2) due to the interactions between different  $^{2S+1}L_J$  configurations). The basic function  $\Gamma\gamma^{(\gamma)}$  contains the admixtures of various states, i.e., the admixture between the ground  ${}^{4}I_{15/2}$  and the excited  ${}^{4}I_{13/2}$  states via  $\hat{H}_{CF}$  interaction, the admixture among  ${}^2K_{15/2}$ ,  ${}^2L_{15/2}$ , and  ${}^4I_{15/2}$  and that among  ${}^{2}K$   ${}^{2}I$  and  ${}^{4}I$  wie spin, orbit coupling interaction  $K_{13/2}$ ,  ${}^{2}I_{13/2}$  and  ${}^{4}I_{13/2}$  via spin–orbit coupling interaction. Therefore, the formula of  $\Gamma \gamma^{\gamma} \gamma$  may be written as [\[20\]](#page--1-0)

$$
|\Gamma \gamma^{(\gamma')} \rangle = \sum_{M_{J1}} C \Big( {}^4I_{15/2} ; \Gamma \gamma^{(\gamma')} M_{J1} \Big) N_{15/2}
$$
  
 
$$
\times \Big( |{}^4I_{15/2} M_{J1} \rangle + \lambda_K |{}^2K_{15/2} M_{J1} \rangle
$$
  
 
$$
+ \lambda_L |{}^2L_{15/2} M_{J1} \rangle \Big) + \sum_{M_{J2}} C \tag{3}
$$
  
 
$$
\times \Big( {}^4I_{13/2} ; \Gamma \gamma^{(\gamma')} M_{J2} \Big) N_{13/2} \Big( |{}^4I_{13/2} M_{J2} \rangle
$$
  
 
$$
+ \lambda_K' |{}^2K_{13/2} M_{J2} \rangle + \lambda_I |{}^2I_{13/2} M_{J2} \rangle \Big),
$$

where  $M_{J1}$  and  $M_{J2}$  are in the ranges of  $-15/2$  to 15/2 and  $-13/2$  to 13/2, respectively. The coefficients C  $({}^4I_{15/2}; T\gamma^{(\gamma')}M_{J1})$  or  $C({}^4I_{13/2}; T\gamma^{(\gamma')}M_{J2})$  can be determined by diagonalizing the  $30 \times 30$  energy matrix including  $^{4}I_{15/2}$ and  ${}^{4}I_{13/2}$  states.  $\lambda_i$  and  $N_i$  are the mixing coefficients and the normalization factors. They can be determined from the spin – orbit coupling matrix elements and perturbation method.

The crystal-field interaction  $\hat{H}_{CF}$  in the above formulas can be written in terms of the Stevens equivalent operators in tetragonal  $(C_{4V})$  symmetry [\[18,19\]:](#page--1-0)

$$
H_{CF} = B_2^0 O_2^0 + B_4^0 O_4^0 + B_6^0 O_6^0 + B_4^4 O_4^4 + B_6^4 O_6^4 \tag{4}
$$

The crystal-field parameters  $B_k^q$  ( $k=2, 4, 6; |q| \le k$ ) are determined from the superposition model (SPM) [\[21\]](#page--1-0) and the local geometrical relationship of the studied impurity center, i.e.,

$$
B_{k}^{q} = \sum_{j=1} \overline{A}_{k} (L_{j}) K_{k}^{q} (\theta_{j}, \phi_{j}) (R_{0}/R_{j})^{t_{k}}.
$$
 (5)

The coordination factors  $K_k^q$  ( $\theta_j$ , $\phi_j$ )can be calculated from the local structural data of the studied system Download English Version:

# <https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1653839>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1653839>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)