ST SEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Surface & Coatings Technology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/surfcoat



Deposition and characterization of Si_xC_{1-x}/Al_2O_3 coatings by magnetron sputtering for nuclear fusion applications

Binbin Song, Ping Wu*, Sen Chen, Murad Ali Khaskheli, Liang Liang

Department of Physics, School of Applied Science, University of Science and Technology Beijing, 30 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing 100083, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 1 November 2010 Accepted in revised form 22 March 2011 Available onlline 9 April 2011

Keywords: Si_xC_{1-x}/Al_2O_3 coating Growth mode Structure Hardness Adhesion

ABSTRACT

Al $_2O_3$ coatings were fabricated on CLAM steel substrates at 300 °C by RF magnetron sputtering, and Si_xC_{1-x} coatings with different Si concentrations were then fabricated on the Al $_2O_3$ coatings by pulse magnetron sputtering. The coating composition, topography, structure and mechanical properties were investigated by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), atomic force microscopy (AFM), field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM), X-ray diffractometry (XRD), Raman spectroscopy, nano-indentation techniques and scratch tests. The results show that Si_xC_{1-x} coatings had Si contents in the range 39–62 at.%. Al $_2O_3$ coatings exhibited an island growth mode, while Si_xC_{1-x} coatings showed a step flow growth mode. Compact and crack-free Si_xC_{1-x}/Al_2O_3 Coatings were prepared. α -Al $_2O_3$, α -Cr $_2O_3$ and spinel Fe $_2$ Cr $_2O_4$ were all detected in the Al $_2O_3$ coating by Raman spectroscopy. The hardness and elastic modulus of the Al $_2O_3$ coating were 15.5 \pm 1.0 and 194.8 \pm 7.2 Gpa, respectively. The hardness and elastic modulus of Si_xC_{1-x} layer were 28.6 \pm 0.8 Gpa and 219.2 \pm 2.6 Gpa, respectively, for Si content of \approx 49 at.%. The adhesion of C-rich and stoichiometric Si_xC_{1-x}/Al_2O_3 coatings were superior to those of Si-rich coatings.

© 2011 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER) project, the dual-function lithium-lead blanket module (DFLL-TBM) is an attractive design due to its tritium and neutron-breeding capabilities, and also the excellent heat transfer properties of lithiumlead [1]. However, tritium can diffuse through metals and this cannot be completely suppressed by careful choice of a specific metal or alloy. Thus, controlling tritium migration in a facility such as ITER demands particular properties for the choice of blanket structural materials [2,3]. The interaction of radioactive tritium with the fusion reactors structural material is also of great safety concern. An intolerably large pumping power for the metallic coolant in the magnetic field will also be required, because of the MHD (magneto-hydro-dynamic) pressure drop. The pressure reduction will depend on the electric current between the coolant and pipe wall [4,5]. To solve these problems, it is proposed to fabricate an electrically insulating ceramic coating with a large permeation reduction factor (PRF), to segregate the structural walls from the liquid materials.

 α -Al₂O₃ has a large PRF and high electrical resistivity, and is a promising candidate for the diffusion barrier coating [6,7]. During most of physical vapor deposition (PVD) or chemical vapor deposition (CVD) processes, α -Al₂O₃ coatings can be prepared with substrate temperatures in excess of 720 °C. Such temperatures are too high for

substrates such as steel and glass [3,6,8], and thermal stress in the coatings fabricated at over 720 °C can reduce coating performance [9,10]. However, according to Cloud et al. [11], α -Al₂O₃ films on steel substrate were first to be prepared at 480 °C by AC inverted cylindrical magnetron sputtering. Besides, a template layer with similar lattice constant to α -Al₂O₃ may also be helpful for assisting the low temperature sputtering of α -Al₂O₃. α -Cr₂O₃ (a₀ = 0.495 nm) is relatively easy to deposit at low substrate temperatures, and has a lattice mismatch of $\approx 4.0\%$ with α -Al₂O₃ (a₀ = 0.476 nm). Jin et al. [12] and Andersson et al. [13] both reported the use of an α -Cr₂O₃ template layer for the low temperature growth of α -Al₂O₃ films. and the crystallization temperatures decreased to 400 and 280 °C, respectively. Pint and More [14] reported that Al₂O₃ could be transformed to LiAlO2 in Pb-17 at.% Li (a low melting lithium-lead alloy) at 800 °C. The traditional strategy of protecting high temperature alloys by fabricating an Al₂O₃ coating is not always effective. Other metal oxides can also be dissolved in Pb-17 at.% Li. SiC can be stable in eutectic Pb-17 at.% Li up to 800 °C [15-17], which suggests that SiC layers could be used to segregate Pb-17 at.% Li from structural materials. The thermal expansion coefficients of SiC and CLAM (China low activation martensitic) steel are 5.3×10^{-6} and 12.0×10^{-6} /K, respectively. Conversely, the thermal expansion coefficients of SiC and Al_2O_3 (8.8×10⁻⁶/K) are much more closely aligned. The adhesion of Al₂O₃ on steel is reasonable, thus if Al₂O₃ coatings can be prepared between SiC and the blanket material, SiC coatings should exhibit better performance.

In the current study, Si_xC_{1-x}/Al_2O_3 coatings with various Si concentrations were prepared by sputtering using a RF magnetron target

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 10 62332636; fax: +86 10 62332587. *E-mail address*: pingwu@sas.ustb.edu.cn (P. Wu).

and a pulsed magnetron target. Topographies, structures and mechanical properties of the Al_2O_3 monolayer and Si_xC_{1-x}/Al_2O_3 coatings were investigated.

2. Experimental

A magnetron sputtering system was used to deposit Si_xC_{1-x}/Al_2O_3 coatings on CLAM steel substrates at 300 °C. The sputtering system consisted of two targets. The combined target, formed by silicon stripes (fan-shaped, 99.99 wt.%) radially fixed onto the carbon base target (99.99 wt.%), was powered by a RF power supply with a frequency of 13.56 MHz. The Al (99.99 wt.%) target was powered by a 4 KHz pulsed power supply. The deposition chamber was evacuated to a base vacuum of 1.0×10^{-4} Pa. CLAM steel substrates were polished and then ultrasonically cleaned in acetone, de-ionized water and alcohol, before being fixed on a table. The table was rotated over the two magnetron sputtering sources for the Si_xC_{1-x}/Al₂O₃ coatings. Al₂O₃ layers were prepared from the Al target, while 90 sccm Ar (99.9995%) and 8 sccm O₂ (99.999%) were introduced into the chamber at a pressure of 0.45 Pa. Si_xC_{1-x} layers were prepared from the combined target, while 90 sccm Ar (99.995%) was introduced into the chamber at a pressure of 0.5 Pa. Samples A, B, C, D and E were prepared as Si_xC_{1-x}/Al_2O_3 coatings from Al and combined targets with P_{Si} (percentage of total target area covered by silicon stripes) of 17, 22, 28, 38 and 44%, respectively.

X-ray photoelectron (XPS) measurements were carried out using a PHI Quantera SXM spectrometer under monochromatic Al Klpharadiation (1486.6 eV). Atomic force microscopy (AFM, CPSM500) and field emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM, S-4800) were used to characterize the surface and the cross section topographies of the coatings. X-ray diffraction, grazing incident X-ray diffraction (XRD & GIXRD, D/MAX-RB II) and Raman spectroscopy ($\lambda = 514.5$ nm, Renishaw Ramanscope, InVia) were used to study the structure of the coatings. Nano-indentation testing was performed at room temperature using a MTS nano indenter dynamic contact module (DCM) system. A Berkovich diamond indenter (three-faced indenter tip) with a half-tip angle of 65.35° was continuously driven into the flat surface of the specimens, with a maximum applied load 19 mN. Scratch tests on the coatings were preformed with a Universal Micro-Tribometer (UMT) using a diamond microblade scratch tool with an edge radius of 200 µm and an acoustic sensor.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Compositions

XPS was carried out on Al₂O₃ and Al₂O₃/Si_xC_{1-x} coatings, after 5 min of Ar⁺ bombardment etching (etching rate \approx 2.2 nm/min) to remove any surface contamination. XPS results indicated that the atomic ratio of Al to O was approximately 2:3. A near-stoichiometric Al₂O₃ coating was fabricated. Fig. 1 shows the Si 2p and C 1 s spectral regions recorded for samples A, B, C, D and E. Fig. 1a shows that there was only one symmetric peak for the Si 2p region of sample A ($P_{Si} = 17\%$), at 100.4 eV (corresponding to the Si-C bond). With increasing P_{Si} , the Si 2p peak became asymmetric, and Fig. 1b shows a nearly symmetric C 1 s peak for sample E ($P_{Si} = 44\%$). As P_{Si} decreased, the C 1 s peak became asymmetric. The Si 2p peak could be accurately modeled with two Gaussian components: the major component at 100.4 eV was attributed to stoichiometric SiC, and the Si-Si bond was present at 99.3 eV [18]. The C1s peak was also well fitted by two components at 283.3 and 284.4 eV [19], which were attributed to stoichiometric Si-C and C-C bonds, respectively. Fig. 1 shows that SiC and C were both present in sample A. SiC, Si and C were all detected for samples B, C and D. With increasing P_{Si} , the Si-Si bond peak area for the Si 2p peak increased, and the C-C bond peak area for the C1s peak decreased. This suggested that with increasing P_{Si} , Si concentration increased while C concentration decreased. For sample E, the signal of C-Si bond was stronger than that of the C-C bond. The C-C bond was almost negligible, while the Si-Si bond signal was extremely strong.

Fig. 2 shows the Si and C concentrations calculated from Si 2p and C 1 s XPS peaks. The Si concentration was found to increase with P_{Si} at a decreasing rate. The $\mathrm{Si_xC_{1-x}}$ coatings' chemical composition can be easily controlled by changing the sputtered area ratio of Si to C. When $P_{Si}=44\%$, the Si concentration was $\approx 62\%$, which was ≈ 1.6 times larger than that of C in $\mathrm{Si_xC_{1-x}}$. This may have been attributed to a higher sputtering yield of Si than C, owing to Si having a lower cohesive energy and a higher energy transfer coefficient [20]. During the deposition of $\mathrm{Si_xC_{1-x}}$ coatings, self-bias voltages were also found to increase from 450 to 480 V, as P_{Si} increased from 17 to 44%. Therefore, $\mathrm{Ar^+}$ energy was expected to change simultaneously with self-bias voltage. According to Sigmund's linear collision cascade theory [21], the change of self-bias voltages should be a reason why the Si concentration increased at a decreasing rate.

3.2. Morphologies

Fig. 3 shows the morphologies of Al_2O_3 coating and Si_xC_{1-x}/Al_2O_3 coating with silicon concentration of \approx 39 at.%. Steps, which were due to their originally rugged condition, were apparent on the substrates. Coating growth was affected by the substrate surface. Fig. 3a shows that there were many coalesced islands on the coating surfaces. Al₂O₃ coating RMS roughness reached 21.3 nm, Fig. 3b shows that step flows with width \approx 200 nm were present on the surface of Si_xC_{1-x} coating with silicon concentration of \approx 39 at.%. Besides, the surface morphologies of Si_xC_{1-x}/Al_2O_3 coatings with silicon concentrations ≈ 49 , \approx 55, \approx 60 and \approx 62 at.% were also observed by AFM, and they show small difference compared to that with silicon concentration of \approx 39 at.%. The surfaces of Si_xC_{1-x} with various silicon concentrations were smoother than that of Al₂O₃, with a roughness decreasing to 1.8-4.2 nm. A smooth coating is necessary for optimal mechanical and electrical properties in DFLL-TBM. The results above indicate that a Si_xC_{1-x} coating can help decrease coating roughness. Theoretical and experimental studies have shown that step flow growth is related to growth kinetics, and that step flow is the preferred mode for preparing smooth films [22]. Al_2O_3 and Si_xC_{1-x} were fabricated by different methods and their respective adatoms are quite different. Si_xC_{1-x} coatings are easily prepared with a step flow growth mode using RF magnetron sputtering.

Fig. 4 shows the cross section morphologies of Al_2O_3 coating and Si_xC_{1-x}/Al_2O_3 coatings with silicon concentrations of 39–62 at.%. As shown in Fig. 4a, the thickness of Al_2O_3 was about 1 μ m. The Al_2O_3 coating was uniform and compact. In Fig. 4b–f, two layers have been seen: Si_xC_{1-x} layer (with the thickness from 1.1 to 1.8 μ m) and Al_2O_3 layer (about 1 μ m). Though the composition of Si_xC_{1-x} layers was various, no crack was observed at the Si_xC_{1-x}/Al_2O_3 and the $Al_2O_3/Substrate$ interfaces, indicating that the adhesion is expected at the Si_xC_{1-x}/Al_2O_3 and the $Al_2O_3/Substrate$ interfaces.

3.3. Microstructures

XRD was used to investigate the Al_2O_3 coating structure. Fig. 5 shows the XRD patterns of the Al_2O_3 coatings on CLAM steel substrate. As shown in Fig. 5a, the Al_2O_3 coating's XRD pattern via θ – 2θ scanning had small difference compared to that of CLAM steel substrate. A weak peak was observed at 74.4°, which should be induced by α - Al_2O_3 , In Fig. 5b, the GIXRD pattern with grazing incidence 5° had an obvious diffraction at 57.5°, attributed to the (116) α - Al_2O_3 plane. XRD has limited sensitivity to microcrystalline or poorly crystalline coating structures. Metallic substrates with fcc, hcp and bcc structures possess only one atom per unique unit cell, and therefore have no Ramanactive vibrations [23]. Raman scattering is therefore another approach for studying the structure of ceramic coatings on metallic substrates.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1659277

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1659277

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>