



# Atomic layer deposition of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ and $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2$ barrier coatings to reduce the water vapour permeability of polyetheretherketone

Tamkin Ahmadzada<sup>a,\*</sup>, David R. McKenzie<sup>b,1</sup>, Natalie L. James<sup>b,1</sup>, Yongbai Yin<sup>b</sup>, Qing Li<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Aerospace, Mechanical and Mechatronic Engineering, University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

<sup>b</sup> School of Physics, University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 10 May 2014

Received in revised form 23 August 2015

Accepted 24 August 2015

Available online 29 August 2015

### Keywords:

Polyetheretherketone

Water vapour permeability

Atomic layer deposition

Thin film coating

## ABSTRACT

We demonstrate significantly enhanced barrier properties of polyetheretherketone (PEEK) against water vapour penetration by depositing  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  or  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2$  nanofilms grown by atomic layer deposition (ALD). Nanoindentation analysis revealed good adhesion strength of a bilayer  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2$  coating to PEEK, while the single layer  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$  coating displayed flaking and delamination. We identified three critical design parameters for achieving the optimum barrier properties of ALD  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2$  coatings on PEEK. These are a minimum total thickness dependent on the required water vapour transmission rate, the use of an  $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3/\text{TiO}_2$  bilayer coating and the application of the coating to both sides of the PEEK film. Using these design parameters, we achieved a reduction in moisture permeability of PEEK of over two orders of magnitude while maintaining good adhesion strength of the polymer–thin film system.

© 2015 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

There is growing interest in the use of polymers to replace metals and ceramics. The low cost, relative ease of fabrication (via injection moulding and extrusion) as well as the physical and chemical stability of polymers are the main drivers for this interest. In the food packaging industry, polymers play a vital role in extending the shelf life of food [1], while the manufacture of organic light emitting diodes on flexible plastic substrates offers limitless possibilities for residential lighting panels [2]. Polymers are revolutionizing the aerospace industry by delivering enhanced safety, reduced manufacturing costs and lower density structural materials that result in improved fuel efficiency [3,4].

A key area in which the applications of polymers are evolving is in the encapsulation of implantable electronic medical devices. For such devices, the electrical conductivity of metals is a disadvantage, due to the requirement for electrical insulation of the conductive feed through wires from the enclosure [5]. While polymers are electrically insulating, their poor barrier properties are a limiting factor in these applications [6]. Polymers allow moisture to permeate through the material, resulting in corrosion and the ultimate failure of the device. The outstanding challenge to the use of polymers for this purpose is the development of an impermeable barrier against moisture.

The polymer chosen for this study is polyetheretherketone (PEEK). PEEK is one of the highest performing thermoplastics, with the ability

to retain mechanical structure in harsh environments, a high chemical resistance, a high thermal stability ( $T_m \sim 330^\circ\text{C}$ ), and excellent biocompatibility [7]. As a result, PEEK is a material of choice in many biomedical applications such as total joint replacement, orthopaedic and spinal implants, as well as in fracture fixation [7]. These properties also make PEEK an ideal material for encapsulation of active medical implants [5,8].

The application of barrier coatings on polymers such as PEEK is a potential solution to achieving the required hermeticity. Thin films displaying high barrier performance are characterized by high thermal stability, chemical inertness, dense microstructure with low porosity (as micro-porosities degrade coating adhesion strength) [9], tightly bound grain structure, and most importantly insolubility in water [10]. This directs attention towards coatings with a low density of defects, as achieved in atomic layer deposition (ALD).

Thin films grown by ALD have excellent barrier properties [11–13]. ALD is a surface-controlled, thin film deposition technique, in which films are deposited one atomic layer at a time [14]. ALD offers the advantage of atomic-level control of film thickness, leading to homogeneity, low pinhole density, and conformal growth of the film on the substrate [15]. In a comparative study by Hirvikorpi et al. [11], the barrier properties of thin films deposited by several deposition techniques were compared including magnetron sputtering, electron beam evaporation, sol–gel deposition and ALD. Although significant improvements in barrier performance were found with each technique, ALD was the only technique that produced coatings that were conformal in nature, with dense, pinhole free films with the lowest recorded water vapour transmission rate (WVTR) of the samples tested.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [tahm4852@uni.sydney.edu.au](mailto:tahm4852@uni.sydney.edu.au) (T. Ahmadzada).

<sup>1</sup> These authors contributed equally.

**Table 1**  
Studies on the performance of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> as a barrier coating on various polymers, deposited by ALD.

| Authors                | Polymer substrate | WVTR of uncoated polymer (mg/m <sup>2</sup> /day) | ALD Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> configuration | WVTR (mg/m <sup>2</sup> /day) | Ratio coated: uncoated |
|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|
| Carcia et al. [19]     | PET               | 18,000                                            | 5–25 nm, single-side coating                     | <0.05                         | 1:360,000              |
| Dameron et al. [20]    | PEN               | 900                                               | 26 nm, single-side coating                       | 1                             | 1:900                  |
| Groner et al. [21]     | PEN               | 900                                               | 26 nm, single-side coating                       | 1.5                           | 1:600                  |
| Kim et al. [22]        | PEN               | 900                                               | 11.8 nm, single-side coating                     | <4                            | 1:225                  |
| Kim et al. [22]        | PES               | 92,800                                            | 11.8 nm, single-side coating                     | 4.1                           | 1:22,634               |
| Langereis et al. [23]  | PEN               | 900                                               | 20 nm, double-side coating                       | 5                             | 1:180                  |
| Park et al. [24]       | PES               | 92,800                                            | 30 nm, double-side coating                       | 30                            | 1:3093                 |
| Kääriäinen et al. [25] | PET               | 18,000                                            | 128 nm, single-side coating                      | 100                           | 1:180                  |
| Hirvikorpi et al. [26] | PEN               | 900                                               | 50 nm, single-side coating                       | 600                           | 1:1.5                  |
| Kääriäinen et al. [25] | PLA               | 93,000                                            | 108 nm, single-side coating                      | 4100                          | 1:23                   |
| Hirvikorpi et al. [27] | PLA               | 93,000                                            | 25 nm, double-side coating                       | 33,000                        | 1:3                    |

Thin film Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (alumina) is an ideal candidate as a barrier enhancement material; numerous studies demonstrate significant reduction in water vapour permeation of polymers upon application of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> as a thin film coating. Table 1 presents a summary of the performance of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> as a barrier coating as reported in the literature. Thin film TiO<sub>2</sub> (titanium dioxide) has also been used previously as a protective coating owing to its barrier properties. In addition to its barrier properties, it has superior anti-corrosion properties. The combination of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and TiO<sub>2</sub> has been shown to be a good choice for a corrosion-resistant barrier coating [16,17]. Abdulgatov et al. [18] showed that while Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and TiO<sub>2</sub> films alone were insufficient to prevent copper corrosion, an Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> bilayer coating reduced the overall porosity of the film and enhanced the resilience of copper to corrosion.

Although the barrier properties of ALD Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coatings on PEEK are the focus of this study, measurement of their permeability to water vapour alone is insufficient to assess their suitability for use in medical implants. Enhanced barrier properties may have limited use without a strong and robust interfacial bond between the coating and the substrate. Thus an understanding of the adhesion strength and mechanical stability at the coating–polymer interface is particularly important.

There are currently no studies of the use of ALD coatings on PEEK to improve the barrier against water vapour ingress. In this study, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> nanofilms are investigated for protecting a polymer enclosure against water vapour permeation. We developed a high performance ALD Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/TiO<sub>2</sub> moisture barrier coating on PEEK, which enabled us to achieve a reduction of moisture penetration of over two orders of magnitude.

## 2. Method

### 2.1. Materials and sample preparation

Untreated semi-crystalline PEEK microfilm (250 µm) (APTIV® 1000 series, Victrex, Lancashire, UK) was used as the substrate for this study. The PEEK film was coated with either a single layer coating of thin film Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (25 nm or 100 nm) or a bilayer coating consisting of thin film Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (25 nm or 100 nm) with a 10 nm TiO<sub>2</sub> top coating. These coatings were deposited either on one or both sides of the PEEK film, producing a total of eight different coating configurations. Coatings were applied by ALD in an Advanced SUNALE™ P-300B ALD reactor (Picosun, Oy). The precursors were trimethylaluminum (TMA) and water for Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> coatings, and titanium tetrachloride (TiCl<sub>4</sub>) and water for TiO<sub>2</sub> coatings. The ALD deposition sequence was carried out as follows:

- TMA + water: TMA pulse 0.2 s → purge 10.5 s → water pulse 0.2 s → purge 10.5 s (total cycle time 21.4 s).

- TiCl<sub>4</sub> + water: TiCl<sub>4</sub> pulse 0.2 s → purge 10.5 s → water pulse 0.2 s → purge 10.5 s (total cycle time 21.4 s).

The 100 mm × 100 mm samples were cleaned in 50% isopropyl alcohol in an ultrasonic bath, rinsed in deionised water and blown dry with nitrogen prior to deposition of the barrier coatings. Coatings were deposited at 110 °C at 10 cycles/nm of targeted thickness. The thickness of representative coatings (100 nm Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>/10 nm TiO<sub>2</sub>) was measured on silicon wafers using a FilmTec 2000 reflectometer; 100 nm Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was measured to be 99.09 nm–102.8 nm (n = 2) and 10 nm TiO<sub>2</sub> was measured to be 13.88 nm on each sample (n = 2). Samples for single layer coating were taped to silicon wafers to protect against backside coating. The characteristics of all samples used in this study are displayed in Table 2.

### 2.2. Water vapour transmission rate

The WVTR of the samples (n = 3 per group) was measured using a commercial MOCON Aquatran® 1 Model G, having a sensitivity of ± 0.5 mg H<sub>2</sub>O/(m<sup>2</sup>·day) at 37 °C, a detection limit of 0.0005 g/(m<sup>2</sup>·day) and 100% relative humidity (RH). Where only one surface of the sample was coated, the coated side was mounted facing the sensor. High performance liquid chromatography grade water was used to wet the sponges and maintained 100% RH.

### 2.3. Nanoindentation

Nanoindentation tests were conducted using a commercial Hysitron TriboIndenter (TI 900 TriboIndenter, Hysitron Inc). The indenter used was a Berkovich diamond conical indenter having a half semi angle of 42.8°. For each sample, a peak load of 8000 µN was applied at a loading and unloading rate of 20 µN/s, with a hold time of 10 s at the peak load in order to account for stress relaxation.

**Table 2**  
Average WVTR of each coating type arranged in the order of highest to lowest.

| Group | Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (nm) | TiO <sub>2</sub> (nm) | Single or double side coating | Total Thickness (nm) | Average WVTR (mg/m <sup>2</sup> ·day) | Ratio of coated:uncoated PEEK |
|-------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1     | 25                                  | 0                     | 1                             | 25                   | 959.52                                | 1:3                           |
| 2     | 25                                  | 0                     | 2                             | 50                   | 464.31                                | 1:7                           |
| 3     | 25                                  | 10                    | 1                             | 35                   | 341.35                                | 1:9                           |
| 4     | 25                                  | 10                    | 2                             | 70                   | 258.84                                | 1:12                          |
| 5     | 100                                 | 0                     | 1                             | 100                  | 88.59                                 | 1:35                          |
| 6     | 100                                 | 0                     | 2                             | 200                  | 50.38                                 | 1:62                          |
| 7     | 100                                 | 10                    | 1                             | 110                  | 27.13                                 | 1:115                         |
| 8     | 100                                 | 10                    | 2                             | 220                  | 24.19                                 | 1:129                         |

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1664579>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1664579>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)