Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research B

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/nimb

Molecular dynamics simulations to explore the effect of chemical bonding in the keV bombardment of Si with C_{60} , Ne_{60} and $^{12}Ne_{60}$ projectiles

K.D. Krantzman^{a,*}, B.J. Garrison^b

^a Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, College of Charleston, 66 George Street, Charleston, SC 29424, USA
^b Department of Chemistry, Penn State University, 104 Chemistry Building, University Park, PA 16802, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 11 October 2008 Received in revised form 6 November 2008 Available online 24 December 2008

PACS: 68.49.Sf 61.80.Jh 79.20.Ap 79.20.Rf

Keywords: Molecular dynamics MEDF C₆₀ Silicon

ABSTRACT

Depth profiling experiments using secondary ion spectrometry (SIMS) have shown effects that are characteristic to the pairing of the C_{60}^+ projectile with a Si target. Previous molecular dynamics simulations demonstrate that this unusual behavior is due to the fact that strong covalent bonds are formed between the C atoms in the projectile and the Si atoms in the target, which result in the implantation of carbon into the solid. The focus of this paper is to understand how the formation of chemical bonds affects the net sputtered yield. The results of molecular dynamics simulations of the keV bombardment of Si with C_{60} , Ne_{60} and $^{12}Ne_{60}$ at normal incidence are compared over a range of incident kinetic energies from 5 to 20 keV. The net yields with Ne_{60} and $^{12}Ne_{60}$ are significantly greater than with C_{60} at all incident kinetic energies, with $^{12}Ne_{60}$ having the largest values. Application of the substrate is similar with all three projectiles. Snapshots of the initial pathway of the projectile atoms through the substrate show a similar lateral and vertical distribution that is centered in the region of the energy footprint. Therefore, the reason for the reduced yield with C_{60} is that the C atoms form bonds with the Si atoms, which causes them to remain in the substrate instead of being sputtered.

Published by Elsevier B.V.

BEAM INTERACTIONS WITH MATERIALS AND ATOMS

1. Introduction

In the last 5 years, the introduction of cluster ion beams, particularly C_{60}^+ , has put secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) in the spotlight because of the increased sensitivity and reduced damage depth, which has opened up the possibility of three-dimensional imaging through the combination of lateral imaging with depth profiling [1–6]. Applications range from the analysis of multilayered inorganic materials [5] to the molecular depth profiling of sections of brain tissue [4]. However, the reactive nature of carbon atoms in the projectile may introduce unexpected processes that limit its usefulness. For example, depth profiling experiments of C_{60}^+ on silicon by Gillen et al. indicates unusual behavior that is unique to the pairing of the projectile and the target material [7]. At low incident kinetic energies, the sputtering yield is extinguished while, at higher incident kinetic energies, accumulation of material deposited on the surface results in the production of carbon deposits with unusual surface topography. Kozole and Winograd have also observed the formation of large C mound-like deposits in depth profiling experiments of Si with C_{60}^+ [8].

Previous molecular dynamics simulations (MD) of the bombardment of Si with normal incident keV C_{60} projectiles have explained the origin of the peculiar behavior observed in these experiments [9]. Strong covalent bonds are formed between C atoms from the projectile and Si atoms in the solid, which result in nearly all of the C atoms from the projectile being deposited into the target. The yield of sputtered Si atoms increases with incident kinetic energy until it exceeds the number of deposited C atoms. Consequently, the simulations reproduce the experimental observation of a transition from net deposition to net erosion of material. The transition occurs at ~12 keV in the simulations, which is comparable to the estimated value of ~14.5 keV in the depth profiling SIMS experiments [7], even though the simulations model a single impact event on the surface.

In previous work, simulations of C_{60} keV bombardment of different Si and C containing substrates were performed in order to see how the sputtered yield depends on the chemical composition and structure of the substrate [10,11]. The focus of this study is to understand how chemical bond formation between projectile atoms and target atoms alters the net sputtered yield of atoms in keV C_{60} bombardment of Si. Simulations of C_{60} keV bombardment of Si are modified by removing the chemistry and the results are compared with those from the C_{60} projectile containing the reactive C atoms. In order to mimic the MD simulations with C_{60} while

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 843 953 3378; fax: +1 843 953 1404. *E-mail address:* krantzmank@cofc.edu (K.D. Krantzman).

also removing the effects of bond formation, an artificial Ne₆₀ cluster is used, which is composed of non-reactive Ne atoms that cannot form bonds with the atoms in the Si target. In order to separate the effect of the mass of the projectile atoms from the chemistry, simulations are also performed with ¹²Ne₆₀ clusters that are composed of Ne atoms with an artificial mass equal to that of C. The sputtered yield of Si atoms is greater with both the Ne₆₀ and ¹²Ne₆₀ clusters than with C₆₀. We present a detailed mechanistic study to analyze why the sputtered yield is greater with the Ne₆₀ and ¹²Ne₆₀ projectiles. The mesoscale energy deposition footprint (MEDF) model [12–14] is applied to understand how the sputtered yield depends on two characteristics of the projectile atoms, the chemical reactivity and the mass.

2. Description of the simulations

The classical method of MD is used to model the systems of interest, and the application of this method to keV bombardment of solids is explained comprehensively elsewhere [15,16]. Specifics of the application of the method to these particular simulations are reported elsewhere and include detailed descriptions of the microcrystallite used to model the Si target, the potentials used to describe the interactions between the atoms, and the heat bath used to minimize edge effects [11]. Four trajectories at impact points chosen from the center of the crystal were calculated for a time of 10 ps at each kinetic energy with each projectile. Only a few trajectories are needed in order to obtain a qualitative assessment of the trends in the sputtered yields.

An empirical many body potential developed by Tersoff [17] is used to model the Si–Si, C–C and Si–C interactions. The Ne–Ne interactions are described by a Lennard Jones 12-6 potential with ε = 0.00405 eV and and σ = 2.7 Å [18]. Purely repulsive Molière potentials are used to model the Ne–Si interactions. The same potentials are used to describe the interactions for the simulations with ¹²Ne₆₀, but the mass of carbon is used for the Ne atoms. Although the positions of the atoms in the Ne clusters are not equal to the equilibrium values, the projectile remains unaltered until it impacts the surface. The difference in the potential energy of the Ne atoms compared to the potential of energy of the C atoms in the projectile is negligible in comparison to the initial kinetic energy of bombardment.

3. Results and discussion

The beginning of the discussion focuses on how the number of sputtered Si atoms and number of deposited projectile atoms depends on both the projectile and the incident kinetic energy. The net sputtered yield is calculated as the difference between the number of sputtered Si atoms and the number of deposited projectile atoms and is plotted for all three projectiles as a function of incident kinetic energy. The MEDF model is used to examine the energy deposition footprints in the substrate that are produced by the impacts of the 20 keV C₆₀, Ne₆₀ and ¹²Ne₆₀ projectiles. The

footprints can be understood by comparison with snapshots of the initial pathway of the projectile atoms through the substrate during the first 200 fs of bombardment in the trajectory. Finally, the MEDF predicted yields for the one trajectory at 20 keV are calculated from the volume of the energy footprint and compared with the sputtered yields obtained from the complete trajectories.

3.1. Net sputtered yields

In Table 1, the number of sputtered Si atoms and deposited projectile atoms from the keV normal incident bombardment of Si is shown for all three projectiles at incident kinetic energies ranging from 5 to 20 keV. In general, the number of sputtered Si atoms increases with increasing incident kinetic energy with all three projectiles. When compared to the results with C_{60} , both the Ne₆₀ and ¹²Ne₆₀ projectiles produce a large increase in the number of sputtered Si atoms at all incident kinetic energies. The sputtered yield with ¹²Ne₆₀ is greater than with the heavier Ne atoms in Ne₆₀. The relative increase in yield with both the Ne₆₀ and ¹²Ne₆₀ projectiles decreases as the incident kinetic energy increases, ranging from a factor of 6 at 5 keV to a factor of only 1.5 at 20 keV. The results show that chemical bonding between the projectile atoms and the target atoms reduces the sputtered yield, and this factor becomes less important at higher incident kinetic energies.

Nearly all of the C atoms from the C_{60} projectile are deposited in the target at all incident kinetic energies, while only a third of the projectile atoms are left remaining in the solid with the Ne₆₀ and ¹²Ne₆₀ clusters. An important difference, however, is that the implanted C atoms are chemically bonded to substrate atoms in the surface. Although ¹²Ne and C have the same mass, 70% of the ¹²Ne atoms are reflected back into the vacuum. Therefore, it is clear that the primary reason for the large deposition of C atoms into Si is due to the formation of strong covalent bonds. The number of projectile atoms backscattered into the vacuum is independent of the incident kinetic energy.

In Fig. 1, the net number of sputtered atoms is plotted for all three projectiles as a function of incident kinetic energy. The transition from net deposition to net erosion occurs when the net sputtered yield becomes greater than zero. Both Ne₆₀ and ¹²Ne₆₀ have a greater sputtered yield of Si atoms than C₆₀ and also have fewer atoms remaining in the substrate. Consequently, the differences in the net sputtered yield are more pronounced than the difference in the sputtered yields of Si atoms with the three projectiles. The average net sputtered yield with ¹²Ne₆₀ is greater than that with Ne₆₀, but the values are comparable within the standard deviations from the averages. Therefore, the effect of removing the chemistry is more important than the mass of the projectile atoms. The transition from net deposition to net erosion occurs at a lower value of incident kinetic energy, ${\sim}2$ keV, with Ne_{60} and $^{12}Ne_{60}$ than the value of ~ 12 keV with C₆₀. The net sputtered yield is significantly greater with the Ne₆₀ and ¹²Ne₆₀, and is a factor of two to three times greater at 15 and 20 keV.

Table 1

Average yield and standard deviation of sputtered and deposited atoms from C_{60} , Ne_{60} and ${}^{12}Ne_{60}$ bombardment of Si.

| Incident kinetic energy (keV) | C ₆₀ | | Ne ₆₀ | | ¹² Ne ₆₀ | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|-----------------|
| | Sputtered atoms | Deposited atoms | Sputtered atoms | Deposited atoms | Sputtered atoms | Deposited atoms |
| 5 | 8 ± 4 | 58 ± 2 | 47 ± 20 | 13±4 | 44 ± 9 | 16 ± 1 |
| 8 | 25 ± 9 | 55 ± 2 | 61 ± 13 | 14 ± 2 | 97 ± 23 | 12 ± 2 |
| 10 | 44 ± 17 | 56 ± 0 | 86 ± 17 | 15 ± 2 | 114 ± 27 | 15 ± 2 |
| 12 | 62 ± 17 | 55 ± 1 | 113 ± 35 | 18 ± 1 | 171 ± 23 | 16 ± 4 |
| 15 | 97 ± 28 | 55 ± 1 | 135 ± 18 | 13 ± 2 | 158 ± 36 | 19 ± 4 |
| 20 | 146 ± 33 | 55 ± 2 | 212 ± 36 | 18 ± 2 | 237 ± 30 | 23 ± 2 |

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1684178

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1684178

Daneshyari.com