



Rapid communication

Experimental study of a rarefied plume impinging on a cylinder-plate configuration



G. Cappelletti, L. Marino*

Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, University "La Sapienza", Rome, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 9 October 2013

Received in revised form

15 November 2013

Accepted 20 November 2013

Keywords:

Rarefied gas dynamic

Plume

Jet–cylinder interaction

ABSTRACT

An experimental analysis of the interaction of a rarefied jet plume with a cylinder-plate system is presented. The main goal is to show that the gas rarefaction can change the distribution of pressure between the two bodies quite unpredictably. The geometrical configuration has been proposed as test case to investigate the development of plume that are typically present in complex structures on spatial satellite. The results are qualitatively in a good agreement with analogous experiments and numerical simulations.

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Rarefied gas jets are particularly interesting in the spatial environment of complex systems like the Space Station. The generation of plumes due to the expansion of a gaseous jet in a high vacuum ambient and its subsequent impinging on spacecraft bodies or appendices can be the origin of significant problems that span from surface damage phenomena to unwanted distributions of heat loads or disturbing torques, just to cite a few examples. In the past a extensively research has been carried out regarding the analysis of the characteristics of jets impinging on a flat plate [1]. This simple geometrical configuration allows to understand the main peculiar issues of the rarefaction effect and it is easy to realize from the experimental point of view. In so it represents a perfect candidate to carry on benchmark of numerical solutions based on molecular models (*i.e.* DSMC) [2]. In particular Legge [1] examined experimentally the impingement of a nozzle plume over a plate at different angles between the jet axis and the normal to the plate. His experimental results have been then used as reference data for several numerical papers [3,2] in the recent years. Benchmark problems in rarefied gas dynamics have been proposed in the past to many purposes, in particular to test codes and numerical procedures to be adopted subsequently to more complex configurations. Sharipov [4] listed some simple geometrical configurations and pointed out the main requirements of benchmark problems.

In real applications the geometry is usually more complex with a consequent flow field quite difficult to predict. Particularly interesting can be the analysis of the wake behind a body interacting with a plume in fact the characteristics of the flow field can change significantly with the flow regime. Moreover if a surface is located in the wake, the dynamic and thermal loads are strongly related to the wake characteristics.

We report here the results of an experimental campaign concerning the analysis of the flow field generated by a jet impinging on a cross cylinder and its subsequent wake streaming on a flat plate. This geometry has been already considered as a test case in Refs. [5,6] where some experimental and numerical results are provided. Here we show results corresponding to a wide range of the plume density conditions, in so extending the investigation from almost continuum to high rarefied conditions. The main geometrical parameters which drive the problem are the diameters of the nozzle, the cylinder and of the plate. The relative distances between the nozzle and the cylinder and the cylinder and the plate complete the geometrical definition of the problem. The physical characteristics of the flow are given by the values of the mass flow rate, the pressures and the temperatures which are established in the upstream and downstream nozzle ambients and eventually by the gas considered with its properties.

All the experiments have been carried out in the Laboratories of the Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering. Fig. 1 shows a sketch of the experimental apparatus. It mainly consists of an upstream stagnation chamber C_1 connected through the convergent circular nozzle to the downstream chamber C_2 which is kept at a constant low pressure, below 1 Pa, by a vacuum pumps

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +39 0644585; fax: +39 064881759.
E-mail address: luca.marino@uniroma1.it (L. Marino).




Fig. 1. Sketch of the experimental setup.

system. In particular we adopted both root (UNO 035D) and turbo molecular (TPH) Pfeiffer pumps to deal with all the mass flow rates investigated. The chamber C_1 is fed by Nitrogen through a mass flow meter and controller connected to a high pressure reservoir gas bottle.

The experimental run methodology is based on the possibility to assign a constant value of the mass flow rate and to measure the correspondingly values of the pressures in the experimental chain. All the results here reported are obtained for steady conditions, in fact for each value of the given mass flow rate we waited a sufficiently time Δt to reach a constant value of the pressure in both the two chambers C_1, C_2 . The typical values of the Δt spans from tenths of minutes for flows in continuum conditions to some hours when the mass flow rate corresponds to rarefied flows.

The circular convergent nozzle has a diameter $D_n = 8 \times 10^{-4}$ m and lets the jet to discharge in the chamber C_2 that has a diameter $D_2 = 9 \times 10^{-1}$ m and length $H_2 = 1.5$ m. The upstream stagnation chamber has $D_1 = 6 \times 10^{-1}$ m and $H_1 = 0.8$ m. Both the two chambers are equipped with pressure transducers and thermocouple sensors. In particular one thermocouple is located in the upstream chamber C_1 approximately at its center. In the downstream chamber C_2 we adopt two sensors. The first is positioned between nozzle and cylinder, 10 cm off the axis, while the second is located between cylinder and plate, at the same distance from the axis. The main goal of the temperature measurements is to monitor any possible changes between temperature ahead and after the cylinder. The upstream temperature was, in all the cases investigated, equal to the ambient one, i.e. $T_{amb} = 300$ K. In the second chamber C_2 the two temperatures were both equal to $T_{C_2} = 295$ K.

In Table 1 the characteristics of the instruments adopted are listed.

Table 1
Instruments and Pumps characteristics. The accuracy refers to the full scale value. Mass flow rates are expressed in sccm (standard cubic centimeters per minute), where $1 \text{ sccm} \approx 2 \cdot 10^{-8} \text{ kg/s}$.

Instrument/device	Product	Range/flow rate	Accuracy	Manufacturer
Mass flow meters/ controllers	MFC5850S	0–5 sccm	0.7%	Brooks Instr.
Mass flow meters/ controllers	MFC5850E	0–200 sccm	1.0%	Brooks Instr.
Mass flow meters/ controllers	GFG-2107	0–1000 sccm	2.4%	Dwyer
Thermocouples	KMQSS-010-12	250–500 K	1%	Omega
Absolute pressure transducer	MKS-627	10^{-2} – 10^2 Pa	0.1%	MKS Instr.

Note that often the performance of the mass flow rate controllers adopted in the vacuum technology is given in terms of *standard liter per minute (slm)* or *standard cubic centimeter per minute (sccm)*. This “apparently” volume flow rate unit represents actually a measurement of a mass flow rate. In fact by taking into account that 1 standard cubic centimeter per minute of gas is the amount that flows in a minute at the reference temperature and pressure of 273 K and 101,325 Pa, respectively, it is straightforward to obtain the value in kg/s. In case of Nitrogen (density $\rho = 1.234 \text{ kg/m}^3$ at reference conditions) we obtain $1 \text{ sccm} \approx 2 \cdot 10^{-8} \text{ kg/s}$.

Inside the chamber C_2 the system cylinder/plate is accommodated. As shown in Fig. 2, the cylinder is located between the nozzle and the plate with its axis perpendicular to the jet axis. The distances between nozzle and cylinder and cylinder and the circular plate are L_1 and L_2 , respectively. All the results here presented are carried out with $L_1 = L_2$. The diameter of the cylinder is $D_c = 8 \times 10^{-2} \text{ m} = 100D_n$. The circular plate has the same diameter of the cylinder.

Both the cylinder and the circular plate are equipped with pressure tabs. On the cylinder we measure the pressure at the windward stagnation point A and at the point B, located at the opposite leeward side (see Fig. 2). On the plate the pressure was




Fig. 2. Cylinder-plate arrangement views.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1688345>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1688345>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)