Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

Procedia CIRP 46 (2016) 420 – 423

www.elsevier.com/locate/procedia

7th HPC 2016 – CIRP Conference on High Performance Cutting

The effect of varying cutting speeds on tool wear during conventional and Ultrasonic Assisted Drilling (UAD) of Carbon Fibre Composite (CFC) and titanium alloy stacks.

Aishah Najiah Dahnel[a,b], Helen Ascroft[a], Stuart Barnes[a]

[a]*Warwick Manufacturing Group (WMG), University of Warwick, CV4 7AL Coventry, United Kingdom.*
[b]*International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM), 53100 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.*

* Corresponding author. *E-mail address:* A.N.Dahnel@warwick.ac.uk

Abstract

The application of Carbon Fibre Composite (CFC) and titanium alloys are becoming more prevalent in aerospace industry due to their high-strength-to-weight ratio. However, the drawback of these materials is poor machinability. This paper presents the potential of Ultrasonic Assisted Drilling (UAD) of CFC and titanium Ti6Al4V stacks in delaying tool wear progression. Experiments comparing conventional and UAD were conducted using 6.1 mm diameter tungsten carbide drills, employing constant feed rate of 0.05 mm/rev and cutting speeds of 25, 50 and 75 m/min, demonstrated that the drills used in UAD underwent lower tool wear rate and thrust forces than conventional drilling.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
Peer-review under responsibility of the International Scientific Committee of 7th HPC 2016 in the person of the Conference Chair Prof. Matthias Putz

Keywords: Drilling, Ultrasonic, Wear, Carbon Fibre Composite, Titanium Alloy.

1. Introduction

Increasing demands for lightweight, high performance materials has put Carbon Fibre Composite (CFC) and titanium alloys at the forefront in many industries. CFC which comprises carbon fibre reinforcement in lightweight polymer was originally developed, particularly for making aircrafts due to concerns over fuel and energy saving [1]. Titanium alloy is typically employed in the area where metallic structure and high temperature strength is required such as the airframe and engine parts. Drilling is normally performed on both CFC and titanium alloy for joining by mechanical fasteners. Drilling these materials however, can result in delamination (CFC), burr formation (titanium) and rapid tool failure [2, 3]. CFCs were preferably drilled using cutting speeds of 100 to 200 m/min and feed rates within the range of 0.01 to 0.05 mm/rev; with tungsten carbide cutting tools [4]. Diamond coated and PCD cutting tools though can tolerate higher cutting speeds, which also resulted in longer tool life and improved surface quality [5]. Considering that drilling titanium alloys can result in extremely high cutting temperatures [6], titanium in contrast is preferably drilled with much lower cutting speeds in the range of 10 to 60 m/min and higher feed rates than CFC in the range of 0.05 to 0.1 mm/rev.

The work of Kuo *et al*. [7] involving drilling of titanium, CFC and aluminium stacks demonstrated that the use of higher cutting speeds resulted in higher surface roughness values for all materials and shorter tool life. The use of higher cutting speeds and lower feed rates can result in a significant increase in cutting temperature up to 1000 °C, hence weakening the cutting tool and shortening tool life [8]. In the case of drilling multi-materials stacks, a compromise between the ideal parameters for individual materials in the stacks is recommended, although some literature [2, 3] reported changing and varying the parameters accordingly when drilling respective materials in the stacks was feasible. The work of Makhdum *et al*. [9] and Pujana *et al*. [10]

2212-8271 © 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
Peer-review under responsibility of the International Scientific Committee of 7th HPC 2016 in the person of the Conference Chair Prof. Matthias Putz
doi:10.1016/j.procir.2016.04.044

demonstrated that Ultrasonic Assisted Drilling (UAD) on CFC and titanium alloy individually has potential to improve drilling performance in terms of lower cutting forces, less CFC delamination and smaller titanium burr compared to conventional drilling. This paper presents the performance of UAD on CFC/Ti6Al4V stacks in terms of tool wear/life when using three different cutting speeds with a constant feed rate in comparison to conventional drilling. UAD is a hybrid machining process in which the cutting motion of a conventional drill is superimposed with high frequency ultrasonic vibration in axial direction [11].

2. Experimental Procedure

The stacks materials used in this work comprise 4 mm thick CFC (multidirectional (0˚, 45˚, 90˚, 135˚) carbon fibres with Bismaleimide (BMI) resin) and 4 mm thick titanium alloy Ti6Al4V. Adhesive Loctite 9492 epoxy (0.3 mm thick) was used to join CFC and Ti6Al4V in the stacks. Experiments comparing conventional drilling and UAD of CFC/Ti6Al4V stacks were conducted using DMG Ultrasonic 65 Monoblock machine tool and 6.1 mm diameter tungsten carbide 2-flutes twist drills. Figure 1 shows the experimental setup for drilling CFC/Ti6Al4V stacks conventionally and with ultrasonic.



Figure 1: Experimental setup.

Although CFC is sometimes recommended to be machined dry considering the hydrophilic nature of its polymer resin, some researchers demonstrated that machining CFC with water-based cutting fluid resulted in improved surface finish due to suppression of the heat which is favourable in retaining the strength of the resin, hence minimizing thermal damage [3, 12]. Titanium alloys are always preferable to be machined with cutting fluid due to the fact that they are poor heat conductors [8]. Therefore, all drilling operations were performed with cutting fluid, supplied through external nozzles. Drilling was performed from CFC plate through to the Ti6Al4V plate. The ultrasonic actuator was turned on during UAD work with fixed ultrasonic amplitude and frequency of 5.7 μm and 39 kHz, respectively. The cutting parameters are shown in Table 1. The first hole and every subsequent 10^{th} holes were drilled in the CFC/Ti6Al4V stack mounted on Kistler Dynamometer Type 9257B connected to Dynoware software for measuring cutting forces. Tool wear was photographed and measured after drilling every 10^{th} subsequent holes using a Nikon optical microscope connected to a computer equipped with Carl Zeiss ZEN imaging software and further investigation was performed using Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM).

Table 1: Cutting parameters during conventional drilling and UAD of CFC/Ti6Al4V stacks.

Drilling Experiment #		Feed Rate (mm/rev)	Cutting Speed (m/min)	Total Number of Holes
1	Conventional	0.05	25	40
	UAD			
2	Conventional		50	80
	UAD			
3	Conventional		75	80
	UAD			

3. Analysis of Tool Wear and Thrust Forces

Figure 2 compares flank wear rate of the drills used in conventional drilling and UAD with cutting speeds of 25, 50 and 75 m/min. The graph shows that UAD resulted in lower tool wear rate and longer tool life at all cutting speeds used. Based on ISO 3685 standard, tool life ended when the flank wear reached 300 μm [13]. It was observed that titanium adhesion, edge chipping and dull cutting edges were the tool wear features when drilling CFC/Ti6Al4V stacks. With cutting speed of 75 m/min, cutting tool failed after drilling 28 holes conventionally and in UAD, the tool failed after 34 holes since the edge chipping and wear had reached 300 μm. The use of lower cutting speed of 50 m/min resulted in longer tool life which were up to 62 holes in conventional drilling and 80 holes in UAD. With respect to tool life, cutting speed of 25 m/min were seen as the optimum since it resulted in the lowest tool wear rate; with UAD providing longer tool life than conventional drilling; Figure 2.



Figure 2: Graph comparing tool wear during conventional drilling (CD) and UAD of CFC/Ti6Al4V stacks using cutting speeds of 25, 50 and 75 m/min (constant feed rate = 0.05 mm/rev).

The lower tool wear in UAD resulted in reduction of thrust forces (for both CFC and titanium) which is favorable for improving hole quality, compared to conventional drilling. As shown in Figure 3 and 4, UAD with cutting speed of 25 m/min resulted in 10 to 42 N lower thrust forces for CFC and 36 to 78 N lower thrust forces for titanium alloy, compared to conventional drilling. UAD with cutting speed of 50 m/min resulted in lower thrust force than conventional drilling by 3 to 58 N for CFC and 70 to 164 N for titanium alloy. Increasing cutting speed to 75 m/min also resulted in lower thrust forces in UAD (by 15 to 40 N for CFC; by 13 to 66 N for titanium) than conventional drilling.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1698456

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1698456

Daneshyari.com