Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Applied Mathematical Modelling

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apm

### A generalised geometrical model of turning operations for cutting force modelling using edge discretisation



<sup>a</sup> Arts et Metiers ParisTech, LaBoMaP, Rue Porte de Paris, 71250 Cluny, France <sup>b</sup> CEA, DAM, Valduc, 21120 Is-sur-Tille, France

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 16 July 2013 Received in revised form 16 January 2015 Accepted 2 February 2015 Available online 26 February 2015

Keywords: Cutting force modelling Edge discretisation Tool geometry Homogeneous matrix transformations Turning operations

#### ABSTRACT

The knowledge of cutting forces is of prime importance to ensure the success of cutting operations, the desired properties of the machined parts and therefore the functionality of the workpieces. Edge discretisation is one way to model cutting forces. Traditionally used in milling, this methodology enables local changes in uncut chip thickness or cutting geometry to be taken into account and then gives suitable results in the three directions. A key point of this method is the geometrical transformation that enables the description of various tool geometries. This study proposes a geometrical model based on homogeneous matrices, whose main interest is to decompose the transformations step-by-step. The method, generalisable to all machining operations, is detailed for turning operations. Inserted cutters are modelled considering both the positioning of the insert and the local geometry of the insert. The cutting geometry and the edge are described using the same model in the machine coordinates system, allowing forces and moments to be calculated easily.

© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

The modelling of cutting forces is essential to predict the progress of machining operations as well as the final properties of workpieces. At a large scale, the cutting forces can be used to size the clamping system [4] or to predict the deflections [5] or the vibrations [6-11] of the tool, the part or the structure, in order to ensure the geometry and the roughness characteristics of the machined surface. When focusing on the tool-part interface, numerous studies have tried to link cutting forces to residual stresses [12] or surface integrity, and then predict fatigue life or corrosion resistance [13].

More and more manufacturers wish to adapt the cutting parameters in order to obtain the expected properties of the workpiece. For example, the feed can be modified along the tool path in order to limit the cutting forces, while minimising the cycle time [14,15]; the machining allowance may also be variable. The feed can be adapted in real-time by measuring the forces and modifying the numerical command (NC) instructions [16]. Nevertheless, predictive methods should be preferred because of the cost of the monitoring equipment and the difficulties in modifying the NC command data or the set-point value in the speed control loop. Moreover, simply respecting a maximum force does not ensure the smooth progress of the cutting process. As a consequence, there is a need for cutting force models which can be used for complex and various cutting operations.

*E-mail addresses:* sebastien.campocasso@ensam.eu (S. Campocasso), jean-philippe.costes@ensam.eu (J.-P. Costes), guillaume.fromentin@ensam.eu (G. Fromentin), stephanie.breton@cea.fr (S. Bissey-Breton), gerard.poulachon@ensam.eu (G. Poulachon).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apm.2015.02.008 0307-904X/© 2015 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.







<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +33 385595388.

Nomenclature	
$\alpha_{ne} \\ \alpha_n^P \\ \alpha_{oe} \\ \gamma_{ne} \\ \gamma_n^P$	working normal clearance angle; defined in $P_n$ [1] normal clearance angle given by the local preparation (P) of the insert; defined in $P_n$ working orthogonal clearance angle; defined in $P_{oe}$ [1] working normal rake angle; defined in $P_n$ [1] normal rake angle given by the local preparation (P) of the insert; defined in $P_n$
ε <sup>E</sup>	tool included angle of the cutting edge (E); also denoted $\varepsilon_r$ if the cutting edge is included in $P_r$ [1]
η θ Θ κ' <sub>r</sub>	chip flow angle polar angle defined in a coordinate system linked to the insert (parameterisation of the cutting edge) polar angle defined in a coordinate system linked to the machine ( $\Theta = \theta + \kappa_r + \varepsilon_r/2 - \pi/2$ ) tool minor cutting edge angle; defined in $P_r$ [1]
$\kappa_r^B$	tool cutting edge angle of the major cutting edge during cylindrical turning (or $\kappa_r$ [1])
$\kappa_{re}$	working cutting edge angle; defined in $P_{re}$ [1]
λ <sub>se</sub> λ <sup>E</sup>	inclination angle given by the local curvature of the cutting edge (E)
$\psi_f^B$	tilting angle defining the positioning of the insert on the tool body (B); defined in $P_f$
$\psi_p^B$	tilting angle defining the positioning of the insert on the tool body (B); defined in $P_p$
$A_D$	nominal cross-sectional area of the cut [2]
a <sub>p</sub> f	depth of cut (back engagement of the cutting edge [2])
$\stackrel{J}{\overrightarrow{F}}$	local linear forces
$\frac{J}{F}$	global force applied to the tool in the machine X axis direction (idem for $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ )
h	local thickness of cut [2]
h <sub>max</sub>	maximum uncut chip thickness on the active cutting edge
K <sub>c</sub>	specific cutting force
L <sub>S</sub>	length of the considered segment
M	current point on the cutting edge moment around $\vec{X}$ at point <i>C</i>
$\mathcal{M}_{X,C}$ $N_{Carr}$	number of segments used in the discretisation
$P_f$	assumed working plane [1]
$P_n$	cutting edge normal plane [1]
Poe	working orthogonal plane [1]
$P_p$	tool back plane [1]
$P_r$	tool reference plane [1]
P <sub>re</sub> P <sub>re</sub>	working reference plane [1]
$r_{\beta}$	rounded cutting edge radius (standardised notation: $r_n$ [1])
$r_{c}^{E}$	corner/nose radius; also denoted $r_{\rm s}$ if the cutting edge is included in $P_r$ [1]
R <sup>E</sup>	polar radius (parameterisation of the cutting edge)
$R_{o}^{W}$	radius of the workpiece (W) in the plane $P_{0}$
$\vec{v}_{c}$	local cutting speed [1]
$\overrightarrow{v}_e$	local resultant cutting speed [1]
$\overrightarrow{V}_{f}$	feed speed [1]
$x^M$	machine axis translation in the X direction (defined by $[3]$ )
$Z^M$	machine axis translation in the Z direction (defined by $[3]$ )

In a literature review conducted in 1998 [17], the authors noted that cutting force models are too rarely used in industry, because they are not well formalised and the validity domain is not clearly specified.

The aim of the present study is to propose a methodology which enables the description of cutting operations when turning with inserted tools.

A brief review of cutting force modelling by mechanistic approaches is first proposed. Then a geometrical model using homogeneous matrix transformations is presented. Next, the cutting geometry is described and the main factors affecting the forces are calculated in order to be used as inputs for the cutting force models. Finally, the forces and moments applied to the tool can be calculated.

In this article, most notations used are consistent with ISO standards [1–3]. The notations  $\vec{F}_x$ ,  $\vec{F}_y$  and  $\vec{F}_z$  correspond respectively to the radial, tangential and axial components of the global forces (in Newtons). The local forces (in N/mm) are denoted with a lowercase  $\vec{f}$ .

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1703077

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1703077

Daneshyari.com