Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Applied Mathematics Letters

www.elsevier.com/locate/aml

An oscillation criterion for delay differential equations with several non-monotone arguments



 ^a Department of Applied Sciences and Mathematics, College of Arts and Sciences, Abu Dhabi University, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates
 ^b Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Educators, School of Pedagogical and Technological Education (ASPETE), 14121, N. Heraklio, Athens, Greece
 ^c Department of Mathematics, University of Ioannina, 451 10 Ioannina, Greece

ABSTRACT

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 2 February 2016 Received in revised form 20 March 2016 Accepted 20 March 2016 Available online 26 March 2016

Keywords: Differential equation Non-monotone delay argument Oscillatory solutions Nonoscillatory solutions

1. Introduction

The paper deals with the differential equation with several non-monotone delay arguments of the form

the significance of the result is also given.

$$x'(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(t) x\left(\tau_i(t)\right) = 0, \quad \forall t \ge 0,$$
(1.1)

The oscillatory behavior of the solutions to a differential equation with several

non-monotone delay arguments and non-negative coefficients is studied. A new

sufficient oscillation condition, involving lim sup, is obtained. An example illustrating

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

where p_i , $1 \le i \le m$, are functions of nonnegative real numbers, and τ_i , $1 \le i \le m$, are non-monotone functions of positive real numbers such that

$$\tau_i(t) < t, \quad t \ge 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \to \infty} \tau_i(t) = \infty, \quad 1 \le i \le m.$$
 (1.2)

(G.E. Chatzarakis), ipstav@uoi.gr (I.P. Stavroulakis).

 $\label{eq:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2016.03.013} 0893-9659 @ 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.$

FLSEVIER



Applied Mathematics Letters

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +30-210-2896774.

 $[\]label{eq:entropy} \textit{E-mail addresses: haydar.akca@adu.ac.ae, akcahy@yahoo.com (H. Akca), geaxatz@otenet.gr, gea.xatz@aspete.gr addresses: haydar.akcaby@yahoo.com (H. Akca), geaxatz@otenet.gr addresses: haydar.akcaby@yahoo.com (H. Akcaby@yahoo.com (H.$

Let $T_0 \in [0, +\infty)$, $\tau(t) = \min\{\tau_i(t) : i = 1, ..., m\}$ and $\tau_{(-1)}(t) = \sup\{s : \tau(s) \leq t\}$. By a solution of Eq. (1.1) we understand a function $x \in C([T_0, +\infty); \mathbb{R})$, continuously differentiable on $[\tau_{(-1)}(T_0), +\infty)$ and that satisfies (1.1) for $t \geq \tau_{(-1)}(T_0)$.

A solution x(t) of (1.1) is *oscillatory*, if it is neither eventually positive nor eventually negative. If there exists an eventually positive or an eventually negative solution, the equation is *nonoscillatory*. An equation is *oscillatory* if all its solutions oscillate.

The problem of establishing sufficient conditions for the oscillation of all solutions of Eq. (1.1) has been the subject of many investigations. See, for example, [1-15] and the references cited therein. Most of these papers concern the special case where the arguments are nondecreasing, while a small number of these papers are dealing with the general case where the arguments are non-monotone. See, for example, [1,2,15] and the references cited therein. For the general oscillation theory of differential equations the reader is referred to the monographs [16-18].

In 1978 Ladde [11] and in 1982 Ladas and Stavroulakis [10] proved that if

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \int_{\tau_{\max}(t)}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(s) ds > \frac{1}{e},$$
(1.3)

where $\tau_{\max}(t) = \max_{1 \le i \le m} \{\tau_i(t)\}$, then all solutions of (1.1) oscillate.

In 1984, Hunt and Yorke [5] proved that if $t - \tau_i(t) \leq \tau_0$, $1 \leq i \leq m$, and

$$\liminf_{t \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(t) \left(t - \tau_i(t) \right) > \frac{1}{e}, \tag{1.4}$$

then all solutions of (1.1) oscillate.

When m = 1, that is in the special case of the equation

$$x'(t) + p(t)x(\tau(t)) = 0, \quad \forall t \ge 0,$$
(1.1')

in 1991, Kwong [9], proved that if

$$0 < \alpha := \liminf_{t \to \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s) ds \le 1/e,$$

$$\tau(t) \text{ is decreasing and } \limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{\tau(t)}^{t} p(s) ds > \frac{1 + \ln \lambda_0}{\lambda_0},$$
(1.5)

where λ_0 is the smaller root of the equation $\lambda = e^{\alpha \lambda}$, then all solutions of (1.1)' oscillate.

Recently, Braverman, Chatzarakis and Stavroulakis [1], established the following theorem in the general case that the arguments $\tau_i(t)$, $1 \le i \le m$ are non-monotone.

Theorem 1. Assume that $p_i(t) \ge 0, 1 \le i \le m$,

$$h(t) = \max_{1 \le i \le m} h_i(t), \quad \text{where } h_i(t) = \sup_{0 \le s \le t} \tau_i(s), \quad t \ge 0$$
 (1.6)

and $a_r(t,s), r \in \mathbb{N}$ are defined as

$$a_{1}(t,s) := \exp\left\{\int_{s}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}(\zeta) \ d\zeta\right\}, \quad a_{r+1}(t,s) := \exp\left\{\int_{s}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i}(\zeta) a_{r}(\zeta,\tau_{i}(\zeta)) \ d\zeta\right\}.$$
(1.7)

If for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\limsup_{t \to \infty} \int_{h(t)}^{t} \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i(\zeta) a_r(h(t), \tau_i(\zeta)) \quad d\zeta > 1,$$
(1.8)

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1707493

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1707493

Daneshyari.com