Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Applied Mathematics Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/aml

On a subclass of strongly starlike functions

Mohamed Kamal Aouf^a, Jacek Dziok^b, Janusz Sokół^{c,*}

^a Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt

^b Department of Mathematics, Institute of Mathematics, University of Rzeszów, ul. Rejtana 16A, 35-310 Rzeszów, Poland

^c Department of Mathematics, Rzeszów University of Technology, ul. W. Pola 2, 35-959 Rzeszów, Poland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 4 November 2009 Received in revised form 20 July 2010 Accepted 1 August 2010

Keywords: Analytic functions Convex functions Starlike functions Strongly starlike functions Radius of starlikeness of order α Radius of convexity of order α

1. Introduction

A B S T R A C T

Let $\delta^*(q_c)$, $c \in (0, 1]$, denote the class of analytic functions f in the unit disc \mathcal{U} normalized by f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0 and satisfying the condition

$$\left| \left[zf'(z)/f(z) \right]^2 - 1 \right| \, | < c, \quad z \in \mathcal{U}$$

The relations between $\delta^*(q_c)$ and other classes geometrically defined are considered. The radii of convexity (starlikeness) of order α are calculated. The same problem in the class of strongly starlike functions of order β is also considered.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Let \mathcal{H} denote the class of analytic functions in the unit disc \mathcal{U} on the complex plane \mathbb{C} . Let \mathcal{A} denote the subclass of \mathcal{H} consisting of functions normalized by f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1. Robertson introduced in [1] the classes $\mathscr{S}^*(\alpha), \mathcal{K}(\alpha)$ of starlike and convex functions of order $\alpha < 1$, which are defined by

$$\delta^*(\alpha) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \mathfrak{Re}\left[\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right] > \alpha, \ z \in \mathcal{U} \right\}, \qquad \mathcal{K}(\alpha) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : zf'(z) \in \delta^*(\alpha) \right\}.$$
(1)

If $\alpha \in [0; 1)$, then a function in either of these sets is univalent, if $\alpha < 0$ it may fail to be univalent. In particular $\delta^*(0) = \delta^*$ is the class of starlike functions, while $\mathcal{K}(0) = \mathcal{K}$ is the class of convex functions. One can alter the conditions (1) to define a new geometric property of functions. In this way many interesting classes of analytic functions have been defined (see for instance [2]). Let $c \in (0, 1]$ be given and let us consider the class $\delta^*(q_c)$:

$$\delta^*(q_c) = \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \left| \left[\frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right]^2 - 1 \right| < c, \ z \in \mathcal{U} \right\}.$$
(2)

Some results about this class were given in [3]. The class $\delta^*(q_1) = \delta \mathcal{L}^*$ was considered in [4]. We say that an analytic function f is subordinate to an analytic function g, and write $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if and only if there exists a function ω , analytic in \mathcal{U} such that $\omega(0) = 0$, $|\omega(z)| < 1$ for |z| < 1 and $f(z) = g(\omega(z))$. In particular, if g is univalent in \mathcal{U} , then we have the following equivalence

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \Longleftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \quad \text{and} \quad f(|z| < 1) \subset g(|z| < 1).$$
(3)

* Corresponding author.





E-mail addresses: mkaouf127@yahoo.com (M.K. Aouf), jdziok@univ.rzeszow.pl (J. Dziok), jsokol@prz.edu.pl, jsokol@prz.rzeszow.pl (J. Sokół).

^{0893-9659/\$ –} see front matter s 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.aml.2010.08.004

It is easy to see that $f \in \delta^*(q_c), c \in (0, 1]$ if and only if it satisfies the differential subordination

$$zf'(z)/f(z) \prec q_c(z) = \sqrt{1 + cz} \quad z \in \mathcal{U},$$
(4)

where the branch of the square root is chosen in order to $\sqrt{1} = 1$, and $c \in (0, 1]$. Let us denote by \mathcal{O}_c a set of all points on the right half-plane such that the product of the distances from each point to the focuses -1 and 1 is less then c:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{c}} := \{ w \in \mathbb{C} : \mathfrak{Re} \ w > 0, \ |w^2 - 1| < \mathfrak{c} \}.$$

therefore its boundary $\partial \mathcal{O}_c$ is the right loop of the Cassinian Ovals

$$(x^2 + y^2)^2 - 2(x^2 - y^2) = c^2 - 1$$
⁽⁵⁾

which becomes the right half of the Lemniscate of Bernoulli when c = 1. In the polar coordinates the Eq. (5) becomes

$$r^4 - 2r^2 \cos 2\theta = c^2 - 1. \tag{6}$$

2. Main results

Theorem 1. Let $c \in (0, 1]$. Then we have

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{(i)} \ \left\{w: \ |w-1| < \sqrt{1+c} - 1\right\} \subset \mathcal{O}_c, \\ \text{(ii)} \ \mathcal{O}_c \subset \left\{w: \left|w - \frac{\sqrt{1+c} + \sqrt{1-c}}{2}\right| < \frac{\sqrt{1+c} - \sqrt{1-c}}{2}\right\}, \\ \text{(iii)} \ \mathcal{O}_c \subset \left\{w: \sqrt{1-c} < \Re ew < \sqrt{1+c}\right\}, \ \mathcal{O}_c \subset \left\{w: |\Im mw| < \frac{c}{2}\right\}, \\ \text{(iv)} \ \mathcal{O}_c \subset \left\{w: |\operatorname{Arg} w| < \frac{1}{2} \arccos \sqrt{1-c^2}\right\}. \end{array}$

Proof. It is easy to see that if $|w - 1| < \sqrt{1 + c} - 1$, then $|w + 1| < \sqrt{1 + c} + 1$. Multiplying these inequalities we obtain $|w^2 - 1| < c$ so $w \in \mathcal{O}_c$ and (i) is proved. For the proof of (ii) let us suppose that $w \in \mathcal{O}_c$, w = x + iy, x > 0. Then by (5) we have

$$(x^{2} + y^{2})^{2} - 2(x^{2} - y^{2}) < c^{2} - 1, \quad x > 0$$
⁽⁷⁾

and so

$$(x^{2} + y^{2})^{2} - 2\left(x^{2} - y^{2}\sqrt{1 - c^{2}}\right) < c^{2} - 1, \quad x > 0.$$
(8)

After some calculation we can obtain the following equivalent form of the inequality (8)

$$\left[x^{2}+y^{2}+\sqrt{1-c^{2}}\right]^{2} < \left[x(\sqrt{1-c}+\sqrt{1+c})\right]^{2}, \quad x > 0,$$

thus

$$x^{2} + y^{2} + \sqrt{1 - c^{2}} < x(\sqrt{1 - c} + \sqrt{1 + c}), \quad x > 0.$$
(9)

Combining with (9) we conclude that

$$\left[x - (\sqrt{1+c} + \sqrt{1-c})/2\right]^2 + y^2 < \left[(\sqrt{1+c} - \sqrt{1-c})/2\right]^2.$$

This proves (ii). The conditions (iii) become clear by looking at Fig. 1. For the proof of (iv) let us consider the equation of the boundary $\partial \mathcal{O}_c$ in the polar coordinates (6). Then we have

$$\cos 2\theta = (r^4 + 1 - c^2)/2r^2 =: S(r), \tag{10}$$

and $S_{\min}(\sqrt[4]{1-c^2}) = \sqrt{1-c^2}$. Thus from (10) we obtain (iv) which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Let $\delta \delta^*(\beta)$ denote the class of strongly starlike functions of order β

$$\delta \delta^*(\beta) := \left\{ f \in \mathcal{A} : \left| \operatorname{Arg} \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right| < \frac{\beta \pi}{2}, \ z \in \mathcal{U} \right\}, \quad 0 < \beta \le 1$$
(11)

which was introduced in [5,6]. The class $\mathscr{S}^*[A, B]$

$$\mathscr{S}^*[A,B] := \left\{ f \in \mathscr{A} : \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz}, \ z \in \mathcal{U} \right\}$$
(12)

was investigated in [7] for $-1 \le B < A \le 1$. The next corollary expresses Theorem 1 in terms of the above classes.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1708770

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1708770

Daneshyari.com