



On the existence of solutions for a system of difference equations with non-monotone nonlinearity

Marek Galewski

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Lodz, Banacha 22, 90-238 Lodz, Poland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 22 September 2008
Accepted 15 September 2009

Keywords:

Variational method
Nonlinear system
Duality

ABSTRACT

We derive a dual variational method in order to obtain the existence of a bounded solution to a certain nonlinear system with non-monotone nonlinearities. We consider such a system representing a difference equation arising from evaluating some Dirichlet boundary value problems.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Variational methods (critical point theory) have lately been extensively applied in showing the existence of solutions for difference equations of certain types. In the main, the mountain pass methodology is applied, the linking theorem is involved and a Palais–Smale type condition is assumed; see [1–6] among other papers. For example in [4], difference equations arising from evaluating Dirichlet boundary value problems are considered. Such problems can often be put into the following form:

$$Au = \lambda f(u), \quad u \in R^N \quad (1.1)$$

for a real symmetric $N \times N$ matrix A and a continuous function $f : R^N \rightarrow R^N$, $f(x) = [f_1(x_1), \dots, f_N(x_N)]^T$, where $x_i \in R$. For example, let us consider the difference equation

$$\Delta^2 u_{k-1} = \lambda g(k, u_k), \quad k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \quad (1.2)$$

with a positive parameter λ and a continuous nonlinearity $g : [0, 1] \times R \rightarrow R$ and which is subject to the conditions

$$u_0 = u_{n+1} = 0.$$

Such a difference equation may arise from evaluating the Dirichlet boundary value problem

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} u = \lambda g(t, u), \quad 0 < t < 1, \quad u(0) = u(1) = 0.$$

With a positive definite $N \times N$ real symmetric matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & \dots & -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}_{N \times N}$$

problem (1.2) can be written in the form (1.1).

E-mail address: galewski@math.uni.lodz.pl.

Our aim here is to relax the assumptions that f_i is nondecreasing for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ which we have made in [7] and to derive a suitable version of the dual variational method in this new setting. Such an assumption yields for example that the primitive of f , i.e. such a function $F : R^N \rightarrow R$ that $\frac{\partial F(x)}{\partial x_i} = f_i(x_i)$, is a convex continuous function. In this work we try to relax the convexity of F . Instead we assume:

A1 $f_i : R \rightarrow R$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ is a C^1 function.

In that case a second-order convexity test reveals that on any interval $[-d, d]$ with $d > 0$ a function $x \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^N F_i(x_i) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \max_{y \in [-d, d]} \left| \frac{df_i(y)}{dx_i} \right| x_i^2$ is convex and of class C^2 . We denote, for a fixed $d > 0$, $g_i(x_i) = \max_{y \in [-d, d]} \left| \frac{df_i(y)}{dx_i} \right| x_i$ and so $g(x) = [g_1(x_1), \dots, g_N(x_N)]^T$. Thus for a fixed $d > 0$ we can rewrite problem (1.1) as follows:

$$Au + \lambda g(u) = \lambda h(u), \quad u \in R^N \quad (1.3)$$

where we write $h(u) = f(u) + g(u)$ for convenience and H stands for the primitive of h ; $H : R^N \rightarrow R^N$ is a C^2 convex function.

In our research we use a dual variational method and we determine the values of a parameter λ for which a solution to (1.1) or equivalently to (1.3) exists. The dual variational method is applied in the case where there are no global growth conditions allowing using another variational approach. Despite it relying on minimizing of the action functional $J_\lambda : R^N \rightarrow R$ given by $J_\lambda(u) = \frac{1}{2} \langle Au, u \rangle + \lambda G(u) - \lambda H(u)$, the use of the Fermat's rule is not permitted due to the fact that the set on which we minimize J_λ need not be open.

By $|\cdot|$ we mean the Euclidean norm and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the scalar product in R^N ; $\|A\|$ is the norm of a matrix A .

2. The existence of solutions

Since there exists an uniquely determined invertible symmetric matrix S such that $S^2 = A$, we may consider (having fixed $\lambda > 0$) a system

$$S^2 u + g(u) = h(u) \quad (2.1)$$

with the action functional $J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \langle Su, Su \rangle + G(u) - H(u)$. System (2.1) has enough convexity for applying a dual variational methodology. We see (for example by the direct variational approach) that the system

$$S^2 v + g(v) = h(u)$$

has a solution $v \in R^N$ for any fixed $u \in R^N$. Indeed, the functional $J_u(v) = \frac{1}{2} \langle Sv, Sv \rangle + G(v) - H(u)$ is convex, coercive and differentiable.

Theorem 2.1. We assume A1 and let $X \subset R^N$ be such a set that there exists $u \in X$ satisfying $J(u) = \inf_{z \in X} J(z)$. If equation $S^2 v + g(v) = h(u)$ has a solution in X , then u solves (2.1).

Proof. We may define $p, q \in R^N$ by $p = Sv, q = g(v)$. We have now the following two relations, of which the former follows by definition and the latter by the convexity of G and the properties of the Fenchel–Young transform (see [8]):

$$Sp + q = h(u), \quad (2.2)$$

$$\langle v, Sp \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle Sv, Sv \rangle + \langle v, q \rangle - G(v) = \frac{1}{2} \langle p, p \rangle + G^*(q). \quad (2.3)$$

By the Fenchel–Young inequality

$$\langle z, Sp \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle Sz, Sz \rangle + \langle z, q \rangle - G(z) \leq \frac{1}{2} \langle p, p \rangle + G^*(q) \quad (2.4)$$

valid for any $z \in R^N$, we see that by (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \langle p, p \rangle + G^*(q) &\leq \sup_{z \in X} \left\{ \langle z, Sp \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle Sz, Sz \rangle + \langle z, q \rangle - G(z) \right\} \\ &\leq \sup_{z \in R^N} \left\{ \langle z, Sp \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle Sz, Sz \rangle \right\} + \sup_{z \in R^N} \{ \langle z, q \rangle - G(z) \} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \langle p, p \rangle + G^*(q). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

By (2.2) and since $\frac{\partial H(x)}{\partial x_i} = h_i(x_i)$ we see by convexity that

$$\langle Sp + q, u \rangle = H(u) + H^*(Sp + q). \quad (2.6)$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1709082>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1709082>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)