



Some discrete Fourier transform pairs associated with the Lipschitz–Lerch Zeta function

Djurdje Cvijović^a, H.M. Srivastava^{b,*}

^a Atomic Physics Laboratory, Vinča Institute of Nuclear Sciences, P.O. Box 522, YU-11001 Belgrade, Serbia

^b Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia V8W 3R4, Canada

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 6 August 2008

Accepted 6 August 2008

Keywords:

Hurwitz–Lerch Zeta function
Lipschitz–Lerch Zeta function
Lerch Zeta function
Hurwitz Zeta function
Riemann Zeta function
Legendre chi function
Bernoulli polynomials
Bernoulli numbers
Discrete Fourier transform

ABSTRACT

It is shown that there exists a companion formula to Srivastava's formula for the Lipschitz–Lerch Zeta function [see H.M. Srivastava, Some formulas for the Bernoulli and Euler polynomials at rational arguments, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 129 (2000) 77–84] and that together these two results form a discrete Fourier transform pair. This Fourier transform pair makes it possible for other (known or new) results involving the values of various Zeta functions at rational arguments to be easily recovered or deduced in a more general context and in a remarkably unified manner.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction and definitions

Srivastava's formula [1, p. 81, Eq. (3.9)] reproduced here in (2.2) below, which provides a relationship between the values of the Lipschitz–Lerch and Hurwitz Zeta functions, has been used in several recent papers (see [2, p. 298, Eq. (39)], [3, p. 821, Eq. (23)] and [4, p. 806, Eq. (18)]). In this note we obtain its companion formula and show that together these two results would form a discrete Fourier transform (DFT) pair. For more details regarding the discrete Fourier transforms, the reader is referred to such standard text on the subject as the book by Weaver [5].

A general Hurwitz–Lerch Zeta function $\Phi(z, s, a)$ defined by [6, p. 121 *et seq.*]

$$\Phi(z, s, a) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{(n+a)^s} \quad (a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; \mathbb{Z}_0^- := \{0, -1, -2, -3, \dots\}) \quad (1.1)$$

$(s \in \mathbb{C} \text{ when } |z| < 1; \Re(s) > 1 \text{ when } |z| = 1)$

contains, as its *special* cases, not only the Lipschitz–Lerch Zeta function [6, p. 122, Eq. 2.5(11)]:

$$\phi(\xi, a, s) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2n\pi i \xi}}{(n+a)^s} = \Phi(e^{2\pi i \xi}, s, a) \quad (1.2)$$

$(a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; \Re(s) > 0 \text{ when } \xi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}; \Re(s) > 1 \text{ when } \xi \in \mathbb{Z})$

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: djurdje@vin.bg.ac.yu (D. Cvijović), harimsri@math.uvic.ca (H.M. Srivastava).

and the Hurwitz (or generalized) and the Riemann Zeta functions:

$$\zeta(s, a) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+a)^s} = \Phi(1, s, a) \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta(s) = \Phi(1, s, 1), \quad (1.3)$$

but also other functions such as the Lerch Zeta function [6, p. 122, Eq. 2.5(11)]:

$$\ell_s(\xi) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2n\pi i \xi}}{n^s} = e^{2\pi i \xi} \Phi(e^{2\pi i \xi}, s, 1) \quad (\xi \in \mathbb{R}; \Re(s) > 1) \quad (1.4)$$

and the Legendre Chi function $\chi_s(z)$ (see, for instance, [7,8]):

$$\chi_s(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)^s} = \frac{1}{2^s} z \Phi\left(z^2, s, \frac{1}{2}\right) \quad (|z| \leq 1; \Re(s) > 1). \quad (1.5)$$

Finally, the classical Bernoulli polynomials $B_n(x)$ and the classical Bernoulli numbers B_n are defined by (see, for details, [6, p. 61 *et seq.*]; see also a recent work [9]):

$$\frac{te^{xt}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (|t| < 2\pi) \quad \text{and} \quad B_n := B_n(0) \\ (n \in \mathbb{N}_0 := \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}; \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}). \quad (1.6)$$

2. The main results and their proofs

We begin by observing that, in what follows, we set an empty sum to be zero and it is assumed that p, r and q are positive integers. Our main results in this section are stated and proved as follows. As indicated above, (2.2) is Srivastava's formula [1], while (2.1), (2.3) and (2.4) are presumably new.

Theorem. Suppose that s and a are complex numbers, $s \neq 1$ and $a \notin \mathbb{Z}_0^-$. Then $\zeta(s, a)$ and $\phi(\xi, a, s)$ form the following DFT pair:

$$\zeta\left(s, \frac{p+a-1}{q}\right) = \frac{1}{q} \sum_{r=1}^q q^s \phi\left(\frac{r}{q}, a, s\right) \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi i(r-1)p}{q}\right) \\ (p = 1, \dots, q) \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\phi\left(\frac{r}{q}, a, s\right) = \frac{1}{q^s} \sum_{p=1}^q \zeta\left(s, \frac{p+a-1}{q}\right) \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i(p-1)r}{q}\right) \\ (r = 1, \dots, q). \quad (2.2)$$

Proof. Assume that $\Re(s) > 1$. We first note that Srivastava [1] gave a simple and elegant proof of (2.2) (see, for details, [1, p. 81]). Our proof of (2.1) requires each of the following results:

(a) *Simpson's Series Multisection Formula* (see, for instance, [10, p. 131]). Let

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$$

and let q be fixed. Then, for any p ($1 \leq p \leq q$), we have

$$q \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{p+qk} z^{p+qk} = \sum_{s=1}^q \omega^{-sp} f(\omega^s z) \quad \left(\omega = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{q}\right)\right). \quad (2.3)$$

(b) *Abel's Theorem* (see [11, p. 148]). Let

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k.$$

If the series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1709379>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1709379>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)