

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Applied Mathematics Letters

Applied Mathematics Letters 20 (2007) 681-685

www.elsevier.com/locate/aml

Non-existence of positive solutions of some elliptic equations in positive-type domains

Tianqing An

College of Science, Hohai University, Nanjing, 210098, PR China

Received 8 February 2005; received in revised form 3 July 2006; accepted 10 July 2006

Abstract

In this work we prove a non-existence result for a positive solution of a Dirichlet boundary value problem in a class of domains that are more general than star-shaped ones. Our result extends some earlier non-existence results for the star-shaped case. We also present an example of a domain which satisfies our conditions but not star-shaped essentially. © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Non-existence; Positive solution; Pohozaev-type identity; Star-shaped domain; Positive-type domain

1. A non-existence result for elliptic equations

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ be a smooth domain; consider the following elliptic boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = u|u|^{\alpha - 1} + \lambda u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

If Ω is star-shaped, based on the work of Pohozaev [5], there have been many non-existence results for positive solution of (1.1) with supercritical growth (see [5–7]). Recently some non-existence results appeared for the case that Ω is not necessarily star-shaped; see for example [4]. It is still an interesting problem to consider the non-existence results related to the growth and domain geometry. In [1–3], the author of this work introduced a class of hypersurfaces that are more general than star-shaped ones. Motivated by the ideas of [1–3], in this work we discuss the non-existence of a positive solution of (1.1) in a new class of domains called positive-type domains. Our results extend some earlier related works for the star-shaped case.

We firstly consider the solutions of the elliptic boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f(u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial \Omega \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

where $f \in C(\mathbb{R}^1, \mathbb{R}^1)$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a domain with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. Let $F(x) = \int_0^x f(s) ds$. Denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the usual inner product of \mathbb{R}^n and $\nu(x)$ the outward normal to $\partial \Omega$ at x.

E-mail address: antq@hhu.edu.cn.

^{0893-9659/\$ -} see front matter © 2006 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.aml.2006.07.008

Lemma 1.1. Suppose that $V(x) = (V_1(x), \ldots, V_n(x))$ is a C^1 vector field on \mathbb{R}^n and $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ is a solution of (1.2). Then

$$\int_{\Omega} u \operatorname{div} V(x) dx = -\int_{\Omega} \langle V(x), \nabla u \rangle dx,$$
$$\int_{\Omega} F(u) \operatorname{div} V(x) dx = -\int_{\Omega} f(u) \langle V(x), \nabla u \rangle dx.$$

Proof. Since u(x) = 0 and F(u(x)) = 0 for $x \in \partial \Omega$, by the divergence theorem, one has

$$0 = \int_{\partial \Omega} \langle u(x)V(x), v(x) \rangle ds = \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div} (u(x)V(x)) dx$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} (u(x)V_{i}(x)) = \int_{\Omega} u \operatorname{div} V(x) dx + \int_{\Omega} \langle V(x), \nabla u \rangle dx,$$

and

$$0 = \int_{\partial \Omega} \langle F(u(x))V(x), v(x) \rangle ds = \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(F(u(x))V(x))dx$$
$$= \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}(F(u(x))V_{i}(x)) = \int_{\Omega} F(u)\operatorname{div}V(x)dx + \int_{\Omega} f(u)\langle V(x), \nabla u \rangle dx.$$

The proof is complete. \Box

On the basis of Lemma 1.1, we prove an identity for the solutions of (1.2).

Theorem 1.2. Suppose V(x) is a linear vector field on \mathbf{R}^n with the form

$$V(x) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \cdots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \\ a_{n1} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix} x.$$

If $u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega})$ is a solution of (1.2), then

$$2\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ii} \int_{\Omega} F(u) dx - \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ii} \int_{\Omega} u f(u) dx + 2\int_{\Omega} \langle V(\nabla u), \nabla u \rangle dx = \int_{\partial \Omega} \langle V(x), v(x) \rangle |\nabla u|^2 ds.$$
(1.3)

Proof. By divergence theorem and Lemma 1.1,

$$\begin{split} &\int_{\partial\Omega} \langle V(x), v(x) \rangle |\nabla u|^2 ds = \int_{\partial\Omega} \langle |\nabla u|^2 V(x), v(x) \rangle ds = \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^2 V(x)) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} |\nabla u|^2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \sum_{i=1}^n V_i(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right) \right) dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} \int_{\Omega} uf(u) dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^n u \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n V_i(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right) dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} \int_{\Omega} uf(u) dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} u \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \left(a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + V_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j^2} \right) dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} \int_{\Omega} uf(u) dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} u \operatorname{div}(V(\nabla u)) dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n u V_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j^2} dx \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} \int_{\Omega} uf(u) dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} \langle V(\nabla u), \nabla u \rangle dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (u V_i(x)) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j^2} dx \end{split}$$

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1710362

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1710362

Daneshyari.com