

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Aerospace Science and Technology

www.elsevier.com/locate/aescte



Design and aerodynamic analysis of a twin-engine commuter aircraft



Fabrizio Nicolosi^{a,*}, Pierluigi Della Vecchia^b, Salvatore Corcione^b

^a University of Naples "Federico II", Department of Industrial Engineering, Naples 80125, Italy
 ^b University of Naples "Federico II", Via Claudio 21, 80125 Naples, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 9 January 2014 Received in revised form 23 September 2014 Accepted 20 October 2014 Available online 23 October 2014

Keywords: Commuter aircraft General aviation market Conceptual and preliminary design Wing span loading Wind tunnel tests

ABSTRACT

The present paper deals with the preliminary design of a new general aviation Commuter 11 seat aircraft. The commuter aircraft market is today characterized by very few new models and the majority of aircraft in operation belonging to this category are older than 35 years. Tecnam Aircraft Industries and the Department of Industrial Engineering (DII) of the University of Naples "Federico II" have been deeply involved in the design of a new commuter aircraft that should be introduced in the market with very good opportunities of success. This paper aims to provide some guidelines on the conceptual design of this new twin-engine commuter aircraft. Aircraft configuration and cabin layout choices are shown and compared to similar solutions adopted by main competitors. The aerodynamic analyses are focused on some particular effects such as the wing–fuselage interference and the nacelle lift contribution and their effect on wing span loading. The aerodynamic analyses have been also essential to validate the preliminary estimation of aircraft stability and control derivatives (both longitudinal and lateral-directional) and to lead to a right sizing of tail surfaces. These analyses have been carried out through the use of a 3-D panel code. Finally some preliminary wind tunnel test results are presented.

© 2014 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

0. Introduction

Many in the industry had anticipated 2011 to be the year when the General Aviation manufacturing industry would begin to recover. However, the demand for business airplanes and services, especially in the established markets of Europe and North America. remained soft and customer confidence in making purchase decision in these regions remained weak. This inactivity, nonetheless, was offset in part by demand from the emerging markets of China and Russia. While a full resurgence did not take place in 2011, the year finished with signs of recovery and reason of optimism. GAMA (General Aviation Manufacturer Association) 2011 Statistical Databook & Industry Outlook [9], which is usually a very useful and impressive source of data and statistics for general aviation, reports that the average age of general aviation registered aircraft is 46 year for single-engine piston powered aircraft and 15 years for single-engine turboprop aircraft. The average age for twin-engine 8-12 seats aircraft is 42 years for piston powered models and about 29 years for twin-engine turboprop commuter aircraft. These impressive data dramatically show the need of new aircraft models which will be characterized also by the application of new technologies like composite and light structures, new engines (with lower weight and lower fuel consumption), new and advanced aerodynamics (i.e. optimized airfoil and winglet) and new avionics and flight control systems. Since 1990 Tecnam Aircraft Industries¹ is involved in the design, development and construction of several light and ultra-light aircraft with 2 and 4-seat, characterized by high-wing and low-wing configurations. The company has acquired good and consolidated experience in the design of light aluminum allov aircraft structures. In the last five years, Tecnam has started also to employ composite materials and some recent model presents an aircraft structure with extensive use of carbon fiber (fuselage and vertical stabilizer). Several research activities have been focused on reducing the empty weight, improving aircraft aerodynamics and flying qualities and reducing aircraft costs. An example of recent innovative design proposed by Tecnam is the P2010 single-engine 4-seat aircraft, see Fig. 1, that combines the carbon fiber fuselage technology employed on previous model (P2008) and efficient aluminum-alloy wing and stabilator derived from recent P2006T twin-engine 4-seat aircraft (that represented a great commercial success for the company). The combined use of carbon fiber and metal structure leads to a global optimization of aircraft aerodynamics, weight, cost and reliability. Carbon fiber ensures smooth surfaces and allows to produce a nice-looking

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: fabrnico@unina.it (F. Nicolosi), pierluigi.dellavecchia@unina.it (P. Della Vecchia), salvatore.corcione@unina.it (S. Corcione).

¹ Costruzioni Aeronautiche Tecnam website http://www.tecnam.com.

Nomenclature

AR	wing aspect ratio	N ₀	aircraft neutral point as percentage of MAC
ARh	horizontal tail aspect ratio	OEI	one engine inoperative condition
AR _v	vertical tail aspect ratio	S	wing surface
$a_{\rm v}$	vertical tail lift curve slope	S S _h	horizontal tail surface
$b_{\rm h}$	horizontal tail span	S_h	vertical tail surface
BHV	body horizontal and vertical tail	S_{w}	scaled model wing area
br br	rudder span	S _W V	isolated vertical tail
$b_{\rm r}$	vertical tail span	-	
BV	body and vertical tail	$\Lambda_{c/4}$	horizontal tail sweep angle at quarter chord line
b _w	scaled model wing span	V _{EF}	aircraft engine failure speed
C C	wing mean aerodynamic chord	V _{EF}	engine failure velocity
cC_1	wing span loads	V _h	horizontal tail volumetric coefficient
$C_{\rm D}$	3D aircraft drag coefficient	V _{MC}	minimum control speed
	elevator chord	VR	aircraft rotation speed
c _e CG	aircraft center of gravity	V_{SL}	aircraft stall speed in landing condition
C_1	2D lift coefficient	V _{STO}	aircraft stall speed in take-off condition
C_L	3D aircraft lift coefficient	Vv	vertical tail volumetric coefficient
$C_{L\alpha}$	aircraft lift curve slope	W/P	power loading
$C_{L\alpha}$ C_{M}	3D aircraft pitching moment coefficient	W/S	wing loading
$C_{M\alpha}$	aircraft pitching moment derivative with respect to	w _B	scaled model maximum fuselage width
CMα	the angle of incidence	WBV	wing body and vertical tail
C _N	aircraft yawing moment coefficient	WE	empty weight
C _N C _{Nβ}	aircraft yawing moment derivatives with respect to the	W _{TO}	maximum take-off weight
CNβ	sideslip angle	<i>x</i> _{acVT}	longitudinal coordinate of the vertical tail aerody-
$C_{N\beta,v}$	vertical tail yawing moment derivatives with respect		namic center
σnρ,v	to the sideslip angle	x _{cg}	longitudinal coordinate of aircraft center of gravity
C _{Nδr}	aircraft yawing moment derivatives with respect to the	Zcg	vertical coordinate of aircraft center of gravity
CINOI	rudder deflection	z _h	vertical coordinate of the horizontal tail leading edge
C _{Nδr,v}	vertical tail yawing moment derivatives with respect	α	aircraft angle of attack
CIN01,V	to the rudder angle	β	aircraft sideslip angle
СР	pressure coefficient	δ_{e}	elevator angle
$C_{\rm roll}$	aircraft rolling moment coefficient	$\delta_{\rm F}$	flap angle
$C_{\text{roll }\beta}$	aircraft rolling moment derivatives with respect to the	$\delta_{\rm r}$	rudder angle
	sideslip angle	δ_{req}	rudder angle for the yawing equilibrium
$d\varepsilon/d\alpha$	wing downwash angle derivatives with respect to the	$\delta_{\rm rmax}$	maximum rudder angle
,	aircraft angle of attack	$\eta_{ m h}$	horizontal tail dynamic pressure ratio
i _{to}	horizontal tail tilt angle	λ_h	horizontal tail taper ratio
l/h	fuselage fineness ratio	λ_{v}	vertical tail taper ratio
l _B	scaled model fuselage length	$ au_{ m e}$	elevator effectiveness in the linear range
MAC	wing mean aerodynamic chord	$\tau_{ m emax}$	elevator effectiveness at maximum deflection
mgc	wing mean geometric chord	$ au_{ m r}$	rudder effectiveness in the linear range



Fig. 1. P2010 4-seat FAR23 aircraft during flight certification tests (courtesy of Tecnam).

aircraft shape. Metal structure is often used for the wing and stabilator to provide further strength and stability. In this paper the

design of a new commuter aircraft, named P2012 Traveller, with 11-seats, is presented. The airplane design has been carried out at Tecnam Aeronautical Industries under the guidance of Prof. L. Pascale, designer of all Tecnam aircraft and known all over the world as one of the main expert in the design of general aviation aircraft. The authors are involved in the definition and optimization of aircraft shape and especially on the correct estimation of aircraft stability and control characteristics. Since the middle of 90's the authors have been involved in the design of light aircraft [10]. All software tools and experimental technologies, like wind-tunnel tests to improve the aerodynamic design of light aircraft, developed at the Department of Industrial Engineering of University of Naples have been fully presented in [3]. In [4] the authors have been involved in designing, building and testing of a small RPV model characterized by 3 lifting surfaces. During 2005 the design of an STOL ultra-light aircraft characterized by application of composite material has been carried out by the authors [5]. Previous articles [19,16,15] show research activities performed by the authors in collaboration with Tecnam on the design and test of a new twin-engine four seats light aircraft (P2006T). Since 1996 the

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1717758

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1717758

Daneshyari.com