Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





Computers and Chemical Engineering

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/compchemeng

Optimizing the tactical planning in the Fast Moving Consumer Goods industry considering shelf-life restrictions



M.A.H. van Elzakker^{a,*}, E. Zondervan^a, N.B. Raikar^b, H. Hoogland^b, I.E. Grossmann^c

^a Department of Chemical Engineering and Chemistry, Eindhoven University of Technology, P.O. Box 513, 5600MB Eindhoven, The Netherlands

^b Unilever R&D Vlaardingen, The Netherlands

^c Department of Chemical Engineering, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 30 September 2013 Received in revised form 17 January 2014 Accepted 31 January 2014 Available online 8 February 2014

Keywords: Shelf-life Enterprise-Wide Optimization Tactical planning MILP Fast Moving Consumer Goods

ABSTRACT

This paper addresses the optimization of the tactical planning for the Fast Moving Consumer Goods industry using an MILP model. To prevent unnecessary waste and missed sales, shelf-life restrictions are introduced using three methods. The direct method tracks the age of products directly. While it provides optimal solutions, it is computationally inefficient. The indirect method forces products to leave inventory before the end of their shelf-life. It obtains solutions within a few percent of optimality. Moreover, compared to the direct method, the computational time was on average reduced by a factor 32. The hybrid method models the shelf-life directly in the first and indirectly in the second storage stage. It obtains near optimal solutions and, on average, reduces the required computational time by a factor 5 compared to the direct method. Cases containing up to 25, 100, and 1000 SKUs were optimized using the direct, hybrid and indirect method respectively.

© 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Due to the increasingly competitive global market, companies with a global supply chain have to continuously optimize their supply chain operations. Optimizing these operations could, for example, allow a company to reduce the inventory while maintaining high customer satisfaction levels (Papageorgiou, 2009). Grossmann (2005) and Varma, Reklaitis, Blau, & Pekny (2007) review the research on Enterprise-Wide Optimization (EWO), which focuses on optimizing the procurement, production and distribution operations.

In this paper, we consider these procurement, production and distribution operations over a one year horizon. Specifically, we optimize these decisions on the tactical planning level for a Fast Moving Consumer Goods (FMCG) company. Examples of FMCG are yoghurt, ice cream and shampoo.

FMCG are products that are replaced/used up within a relatively short period, which depending on the product ranges from days to a year. They are usually quickly substituted when not available, and they are generally produced in large quantities. Because of these large quantities, they are profitable despite typically low profit margins. Therefore, optimizing the tactical planning of a FMCG company is important to ensure that the products remain profitable, while ensuring that they are available in the right place at the right time.

For example, Kellogg greatly reduced its production, distribution, and inventory cost through the use of Linear Programming (LP) planning models (Brown, Keegan, Vigus, & Wood, 2001). For an extensive review on quantitative optimization methods for the food supply chain, we refer to Akkerman, Farahani, & Grunow (2010). These authors mention that the perishability of the products is an important challenge in the optimization of the operations in a food supply chain.

Considering perishability is important because product freshness is one of the primary concerns for consumers when buying food products. Consumers can judge the freshness of a product either by evaluating the sensory qualities of the product or by the Best-Before-Date (BBD) listed on the packaging. Since many products are fully packed, the consumer must often rely on calculating the remaining shelf-life based on this BBD (Entrup, 2005).

Shelf-life is defined by the Institute of Food Science and Technology (1993) as "the time during which the food product will remain safe, be certain to retain the sensory, chemical, physical and microbiological characteristics, and comply with any label declaration of nutritional data."

Because product freshness is important for consumers, the retailers require that the products they receive have a certain minimum remaining shelf-life. Therefore, only part of the shelf-life can be used in the supply chain up to the retailers. For the remainder

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +31 402475807.

E-mail addresses: M.A.H.v.elzakker@tue.nl, martijnvan_elzakker@hotmail.com (M.A.H. van Elzakker).

^{0098-1354/\$ –} see front matter © 2014 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.compchemeng.2014.01.020

Indices	
а	age of an SKU in weeks
dc	distribution centers
f	factories
fam	SKU families
h	ingredients
i	SKUs
mfam	mixing families
pfam	packing families
r	retailers
S	suppliers
t, t'	weeks
w	warehouses

Subsets

FAM _{pfam}	SKU families belonging to packing family pfam
IF _{fam}	SKUs belonging to SKU family fam
IM _{mfam}	SKUs belonging to mixing family <i>mfam</i>
<i>IP</i> _{pfam}	SKUs belonging to packing family pfam

Variables

- Infeasibility_{*i*,*d*,*t*} amount of SKU *i* in distribution center *dc* in week *t* that exceeds its shelf-life
- $INVDC_{i,dc,t}$ amount of SKU *i* stored in distribution center *dc* in week *t*
- $INVDC_{i,dc,t,a < SL}$ amount of SKU *i* stored in distribution center *dc* in week *t* with an age of *t'* weeks. Since the inventory is the inventory at the end of the week, the age of all SKUs must be less than their shelf-life. Otherwise they would need to be disposed of

 $INVIng_{h,f,t}$ inventory of ingredient *h* at factory *f* in week *t*

 $INVWH_{i,w,t}$ amount of SKU *i* stored in warehouse *w* in week *t* $INVWH_{i,w,t,a < SL}$ amount of SKU *i* stored in warehouse *w* in week *t* with an age of *t'* weeks. Since the inventory is the inventory at the end of the week, the age of all SKUs must be less than their shelf-life. Otherwise they would need to be disposed of

*MissedSales*_{*i,r,t*} shortage of SKU *i* at retailer *r* in week *t*

- *Prod_{i,f,t}* Amount of SKU *i* produced in factory *f* in week *t*
- *SSVioDC_{i,dc,t}* amount of SKU *i* short of the safety stock in distribution center *dc* in week *t*
- SSVioWH_{*i*,*w*,*t*} amount of SKU *i* short of the safety stock in warehouse *w* in week *t*
- *TransDCR*_{*i,dc,r,t*} amount of SKU *i* transported from distribution center *dc* to retailer *r* in week *t*
- *TransDCR*_{*i,dc,r,t,a*} amount of SKU *i* with age *a* transported from distribution center *dc* to retailer *r* in week *t*
- TransDCRC_{*i,dc,r,t,a*} amount of SKU *i* with age *a* transported from distribution center *dc* to retailer *r* in week *t* (This variable is only used in the correction model of the hybrid model)
- $TransFW_{i,f,w,t}$ amount of SKU *i* transported from factory *f* to warehouse *w* in week *t*

 $TransIng_{h,f,s,t}$ amount of ingredient *h* procured from supplier *s* to factory *f* in week *t*

- *TransWDC*_{*i,w,dc,t*} amount of SKU *i* transported from warehouse *w* to distribution center *dc* in week *t*
- $TransWDC_{i,w,dc,t,a}$ amount of SKU *i* with age *a* transported from warehouse *w* to distribution center *dc* in week
- $WasteDC_{i,dc,t}$ amount of SKU *i* that is disposed of at the end of week *t* in distribution center *dc*

WasteDC	$i_{i,dc,t,a=SL_i}$ amount of SKU <i>i</i> that is disposed of at the
	end of week <i>t</i> in distribution center <i>dc</i> . This variable
	is only defined for SKUs that have reached the end
	of their shelf-life
WasteDC	$C_{i,dc,t,a=SL_i}$ amount of SKU <i>i</i> that is disposed of at the
	end of week t in distribution center dc. This variable
	is only defined for SKUs that have reached the end
	of their shelf-life and is only used in the correction
	model of the hybrid model
WasteWł	$H_{i,w,t}$ amount of SKU <i>i</i> that is disposed of at the end
	of week <i>t</i> in warehouse <i>w</i>
WasteWł	$H_{i,w,t,q=SL_i}$ amount of SKU <i>i</i> that is disposed of at the
	end of week <i>t</i> in warehouse <i>w</i> . This variable is only
	defined for SKUs that have reached the end of their
	shelf-life
WSU; ft	binary variable, indicates a set-up of SKU <i>i</i> in factory
ı.j,ı	f in week t
YFAMSU _f	a_{mft} 0–1 continuous variable, indicates if there is a
	set-up of SKU family <i>fam</i> in factory f in week t
	see up of one fulling juin in factory j in week?
Paramete	ers
CostIng _b	unit cost of ingredient <i>h</i> at supplier s in week <i>t</i>
D:	demand of SKU <i>i</i> at retailer <i>r</i> in week t
DCCan .	available storage capacity in distribution center dc
$DCCup_{dc}$	nart of the shelf-life of SKILi that is dedicated to the
DCSLi	distribution contors
EAMELIC	distribution centers
FAIVISUCU	St _{fam} average set up cost for SKO failing juin
FAIVISUT _f	am average set up time for SKO family jum
INVDCini	i,dc,a the initial inventory of SKU <i>i</i> in distribution cen-
	ter <i>dc</i> that has been in storage for <i>a</i> weeks
INVIngCA	P_f available storage capacity for ingredients at fac-
	tory f
INVWHin	$u_{i,w,a}$ the initial inventory of SKU <i>i</i> in warehouse <i>w</i>
	that has been in storage for <i>a</i> weeks
MaxSupp	<i>ly_{h,s,t}</i> available supply of ingredient <i>h</i> at supplier <i>s</i>
	in week <i>t</i>
MixTime _r	<i>nfam,f</i> available mixing time at factory <i>f</i> for SKUs that
	are part of mixing family <i>mfam</i>
MixRate _{i,}	f mixing rate of SKU <i>i</i> in factory f
MSpen _{i,r,t}	penalty costs per unit of missed sales of SKU <i>i</i> at
	retailer r in week t
PackRate	if packing rate of SKU <i>i</i> in factory <i>f</i>
PackTime	$e_{nfam f}$ available packing time at factory f for SKUs
	that are part of packing family <i>pfam</i>
Recipe	amount of ingredient <i>h</i> consumed per unit produced
1 · 11,1	of SKU i
SCIng _b c	storage costs of ingredient h at factory f
SCDC: 4-	storage costs of SKU <i>i</i> at distribution center <i>dc</i>
SCW/H	storage costs of SKU <i>i</i> at warehouse <i>w</i>
SC VIII _{I,W}	maximum shelf-life of SKU i
	minimum cafety stock of SVII i in distribution con
SSDC _{i,dc,t}	tor do in wook t
CCIAILI	minimum safety stock of SKU i in warehouse win
3377 п _{i,w,t}	minimum safety stock of SKO I in wateriouse will
CC	
SSpenCos	safety stock violation penalty cost
SUCOSt _i	average set-up cost for SKU i
SUI _i	average set-up time for SKU i
TCDCR _{dc,1}	transportation cost between distribution center dc
	and retailer r
TCFW _{f,w}	transportation cost between factory f and ware-
	house w
TCSE	transportation cost between supplier s and factory f

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/172402

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/172402

Daneshyari.com