Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





Ocean Engineering

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/oceaneng

Impacts of the rudder profile on manoeuvring performance of ships



Jialun Liu^{a,*}, Frans Quadvlieg^b, Robert Hekkenberg^a

^a Delft University of Technology, Mekelweg 2, Delft, 2628 CD The Netherlands

^b Maritime Research Institute Netherlands (MARIN), Haagsteeg 2, Wageningen, 6700 AA The Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history: Received 5 January 2016 Received in revised form 5 June 2016 Accepted 26 July 2016 Available online 6 August 2016

Keywords: Rudder profiles Ship manoeuvrability RANS methods Manoeuvring simulations KVLCC2 The profile of a ship rudder influences the forces it generates, which in turn influence the manoeuvring performance of a ship. Thus, rudder forces and moments should be calculated considering the profile. Instead of an empirical estimation of the rudder normal force coefficient, this paper applies a RANS method to determine the hydrodynamic characteristics of various profiles, i.e. lift and drag coefficients. The RANS method is validated with a classic NACA 0012 profile and applied to 9 profiles from the NACA series, the wedge-tail series, and the IFS series. Furthermore, the 2D open-water RANS results are corrected for the effects of the propeller slipstream and the rudder aspect ratio. New regression formulas of the normal force coefficients are proposed for the tested profiles. These formulas are then integrated into a standard MMG model. Taking the KVLC22 tanker as a reference ship, the manoeuvring model is validated with free-running tests executed by MARIN. Finally, the manoeuvring performance of the reference ship with various rudder profiles are quantified with turning and zigzag manoeuvres. The simulation results confirm that the wedge-tail series is most effective (largest manoeuvring forces) while the NACA series is most efficient (highest lift to drag ratio) among the tested profiles. The IFS series achieves a balance of effectiveness and efficiency.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Rudders are the most common steering devices of ships. They determine the response of a ship to navigation orders and affect the manoeuvrability. To satisfy the mandatory manoeuvrability criteria of the International Maritime Organization (IMO) (International Maritime Organization, 2002a,b), a ship must achieve sufficient turning forces and moments from its rudders. Furthermore, the rudder induced resistance also impacts the overall fuel consumption. Thus, rudders do not only affect navigation safety but transport efficiency as well. In the initial design stage of a rudder, a decision has to be made regarding the profile, which has significant impacts on its hydrodynamic performance for manoeuvring (Kim et al., 2012). Additionally, Liu and Hekkenberg (2016) reviewed the design choices of rudders and their impacts on rudder performance in ship manoeuvrability, fuel consumption, and rudder cavitation.

Yasukawa and Yoshimura (2014) introduced the standard MMG model for ship manoeuvring simulations. The MMG model calculates rudder forces (X_R and Y_R) and moments (N_R) based on the rudder's normal force (F_N) as the following:

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: J.Liu@tudelft.nl (J. Liu).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.oceaneng.2016.07.064 0029-8018/© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

$$F_N = 0.5\rho A_R V_R^2 C_N,\tag{1}$$

where ρ is the water density, A_R is the rudder lateral area, V_R is the rudder inflow velocity, and C_N is the rudder normal force coefficient, which depends on the rudder profile. Routinely, C_N is obtained by empirical formulas (Fujii, 1960; Fujii and Tsuda, 1961, 1962) as the following:

$$C_N = 6.13 \sin \alpha_R \frac{\Lambda_G}{\Lambda_G + 2.25},\tag{2}$$

where Λ_G is the rudder geometric aspect ratio, which is the ratio of the rudder span (B_R) to the rudder chord length (C_R), α_R is the effective rudder angle. Normally, the rudder effectiveness is judged by the rudder side force (Y_R), which primarily depends on A_R , V_R , and C_N as shown by Eqs. (1) and (2).

The rudder area (A_R) is determined by the rudder span and the chord, which are constrained by design draught and capacity of the steering gear. Achieving the required rudder area may not be a problem for seagoing ships, but it is critical for inland vessels (Liu et al., 2015). When the rudder size is limited, a twin-rudder or multiple-rudder configuration is the solution. This solution, for example, is frequently applied to inland vessels with multiple rudders and very large seagoing ships with twin rudders.

The rudder inflow velocity (V_R) relates to the ship speed, the propeller working load, the propeller rate of revolution, and the

m m_{x} ship mass (kg)

added mass due to ship motion in x-direction (kg)

Nomenclature

Abbreviations

ADDIEVIL		m_y	added mass due to ship motion in y-direction (kg)
CED		N_H	hydrodynamic moment due to hull acting on the ship
CFD	computational fluid dynamics		around z-axis (N m)
RANS	Reynolds-Averaged Navier–Stokes	n_P	propeller revolution (s^{-1})
IFS	Institute für Schiffbau	N _R	hydrodynamic moment due to rudder acting on the
NACA	National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics		ship around z-axis (Nm)
Re	Reynolds number	ŕ	vaw acceleration around midshin <i>z</i> -axis (rad s)
SST	shear stress transport	r	yaw rate around midshin z-axis (rad s)
		T	shin draught (m)
Greek symbols		t	rudder profile section thickness (m)
0.001.09			propeller thrust (N)
~	offective midder angle (rad)	IP t	propeller thrust deduction factor in managurring
α_R	ellective fuddel aligie (lad)	ι_P	properties thrust deduction factor in manoeuvring
p	snip drift angle (rad)		motions (–)
β_P	drift angle at propeller position (rad)	t_R	steering resistance deduction factor (–)
δ	rudder angle (rad)	ù	ship acceleration in the direction of x-axis (m s ^{-2})
δ	rudder rate (°s ⁻¹)	и	surge speed in the direction of x-axis, $u = V \cos \beta$
η	ratio of propeller diameter to rudder span (–)		$(m s^{-1})$
γ_R	flow straightening coefficient of the rudder (–)	u_0	service speed of the ship (the initial speed for man-
l	flow-straitening factor due to the yaw rate (–)		oeuvring simulations) (m s ^{-1})
κ	experimental constant for expressing $u_R(-)$	u_G	longitudinal speed in the direction of <i>x</i> -axis at centre
Λ_{G}	rudder geometric aspect ratio, $\Lambda_{C} = B_{R}/C_{R}$ (–)	-	of gravity, $u_c = u \text{ (m s}^{-1}\text{)}$
ν	ship displacement volume (m^3)	Uр	longitudinal velocity of the inflow to rudder (m s ^{-1})
	ship heading angle (rad)	v v	ship acceleration in the direction of v-axis (m s ^{-2})
Ψ Ω	water density (kg m $^{-3}$)	V	ship velocity on midship $V = \sqrt{u^2 + v^2}$ (m s ⁻¹)
Ρ	water density (kg m)	11	supposed in the direction of v_{-} axis on midship
Roman symbols		ν	sway speed in the uncertain of y-axis on midship, $y = V \sin \theta \ (m c^{-1})$
		V	$v = -v \sin p (\sin s)$
		VA	properties advance speed (III's)
A_D	advance of turning circle test (m)	v_G	sway speed in the direction of y-axis at centre of
A'_D	non-dimensional advance of turning circle test,		gravity, $v_G = v + x_G r (m s^{-1})$
	$A'_D = A_D / L_{pp} (-)$	V_R	rudder inflow velocity (m s ⁻¹)
a _H	rudder force increase factor (–)	v_R	lateral velocity of the inflow to rudder (m s ⁻¹)
A_R	rudder lateral area without the horn part (m^2)	w_P	wake factor at propeller position in manoeuvring (–)
Tn	tactical diameter of turning circle test (m)	W_R	wake factor at rudder position in manoeuvring (–)
T'n	non-dimensional tactical diameter of turning circle	W_{P_0}	wake factor at propeller position in straight moving
-0	test $T_{\rm p}' - T_{\rm p}/I_{\rm rm}$ (-)	-	(-)
R _n	rudder span (m)	χ_G	longitudinal position of centre of gravity in $o - xyz$
D _R	maximum moulded breadth at design water line (m)	U U	(m)
D_{Wl}	hlock coefficient (Хн	hydrodynamic force due to hull acting on midship in
C_b	Diock coefficient of the mudder with specific	11	x-direction (N)
C_N	normal force coefficient of the rudder with specific	Y.,	longitudinal position of acting point of additional lat-
_	aspect ratio in propeller slipstream (–)	h	aral force (m)
C_R	rudder chord length (m)	v	bydrodynamic force due to propellor acting on mid
$C_{N_{\infty}}$	normal force coefficient of the rudder with infinite	Λ_P	abin in a direction (N)
	aspect ratio in open water (–)		ship in y-direction (N)
D_P	propeller diameter (m)	χ_P	longitudinal position of propeller in $o - xyz$ (m)
F_N	rudder normal force (N)	X_R	hydrodynamic force due to rudder acting on midship
I_z	yaw moment of inertia of the ship around centre of		in <i>x</i> -direction (N)
-	gravity (kg m ²)	χ_R	longitudinal position of rudder in $o - xyz$ (m)
IP	propeller advance ratio (–)	y_+	non-dimensional first cell height (–)
Jr Iz	added moment of inertia of the shin around centre of	Y_H	hydrodynamic force due to hull acting on midship in
JZ	σ r_{avity} (kg m^2)		<i>x</i> -direction (N)
<i>K</i> _	propeller thrust coefficient (Y_R	hydrodynamic force due to rudder acting on midship
к _Т I	length between perpendiculars (m)	n	in <i>y</i> -direction (N)
Lpp	iengui between perpendiculais (III)		

location of the rudder relative to the propeller. However, these parameters are rarely optimised on purpose for the rudder effectiveness. Therefore, the rudder normal force coefficient (C_N) mainly depends on the rudder profile. As the empirical method (Eq. (2)) only considers the aspect ratio, it does not enable an analysis of the profile impact on rudder forces and moments regarding the ship manoeuvring performance.

To obtain the normal force coefficients of various profiles, the

rudder hydrodynamic characteristics should be analysed, i.e. the lift and drag coefficients. These coefficients are traditionally obtained in wind tunnels (Thieme, 1965), towing tanks (Vantorre, 2001), or by Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) (Söding, 1998; El Moctar, 2001). Even though CFD methods may encounter challenges in convergence and accuracy, they are convenient to study various cases as a primary study. Thus, this paper applies a Reynolds-Averaged Navier-Stokes (RANS) method to achieve the

Please cite this article as: Liu, J., et al., Impacts of the rudder profile on manoeuvring performance of ships. Ocean Eng. (2016), http://dx. doi.org/10.1016/j.oceaneng.2016.07.064

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1724970

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1724970

Daneshyari.com