ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Computers and Chemical Engineering

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/compchemeng



Separation network design with mass and energy separating agents

Li-Juan Li^a, Rui-Jie Zhou^a, Hong-Guang Dong^{a,*}, Ignacio E. Grossmann^b

- a School of Chemical Engineering, Dalian University of Technology, No. 158, Zhongshan Road, Dalian 116012, Liaoning, People's Republic of China
- ^b Department of Chemical Engineering, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh 15213, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 30 June 2010
Received in revised form 26 October 2010
Accepted 31 October 2010
Available online 5 November 2010

Keywords: Simultaneous synthesis Process integration Separation network design State-space superstructure MINLP model

ABSTRACT

The mathematical model developed in this paper deals with simultaneous synthesis of the integrated separation network, where both mass separating agents (MSAs) and energy separating agents (ESAs) are taken into account. The proposed model formulation is believed to be superior to the available ones. Traditionally, the tasks of optimizing ESA-based and MSA-based processes were either performed individually or studied on a heuristic basis. In this work, both kinds of processes are incorporated into a single comprehensive flowsheet and a novel state-space superstructure with multi-stream mixings is adopted to capture all possible network configurations. By properly addressing the issue of interactions between the MSA and ESA subsystems, lower total annualized cost (TAC) can be obtained by solving the corresponding mixed-integer nonlinear programming (MINLP) model. A benchmark problem already published in the literature has been investigated to demonstrate how better conceptual designs can be generated by our proposed approach.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Separation operations, which transform chemical mixtures into new mixtures and/or essentially pure components, are of central importance in process industries. Separations involve different modes and one way of classifying these separation processes is based on the nature of separating agents, which take the form of MSAs and ESAs. Typical ESA and MSA processes, especially distillation sequences and the mass exchange networks (MEN), have been the subject of extensive investigations due to the significant capital and operating costs associated with such processes.

In the past decades, a number of approaches have been proposed for the systematic synthesis of distillation sequences, including heuristic methods (Seader & Westerberg, 1977), evolutionary techniques (Stephanopoulos & Westerberg, 1976), hierarchical decomposition (Douglas, 1998), explicit and implicit enumerations (Chavez, Seader, & Wayburn, 1986; Fraga & McKinnon, 1995), stochastic methods (An & Yuan, 2009; Fraga & Matias, 1996; Wang, Li, Hu, & Wang, 2008), matrix based methods (Ivakpour & Kasiri, 2009; Shah & Agrawal, 2010), temperature collocation approaches (Ruiz et al., in press; Zhang & Linninger, 2004) and superstructure based optimization (Andrecovich & Westerberg, 1985; Bagajewicz & Manousiouthakis, 1992; Caballero & Grossmann, 2001, 2004; Floudas & Paules, 1988; Proios &

Compared to the extensive investigations on distillation sequences, it was not until late 1980s that pollution preventions and economic considerations had drawn attention to a more specialized separation problem, MEN synthesis. In the early development, a systematic sequential procedure that can synthesize MEN was first proposed by El-Halwagi and Manousiouthakis (1989). In this work, preliminary network featuring maximum mass exchange was generated and then improved to obtain a final cost effective configuration which satisfies the assigned exchange duty. Later, El-Halwagi and Manousiouthakis (1990a) introduced the linear transshipment model (Papoulias & Grossmann, 1983) to the synthesis of MEN with single-component targets. Their work was further developed to incorporate the associate mass-exchange regeneration networks which deal with lean stream recycling (El-Halwagi & Manousiouthakis, 1990b). In recent studies, Hallale and Fraser (2000a, 2000b, 2000c, 2000d) presented a series of papers for targeting the capital and operating cost estimates with

Pistikopoulos, 2005; Yeomans & Grossmann, 2000a, 2000b). As observed by Yeomans and Grossmann (1999), while there are relative merits and shortcomings of these different approaches, superstructure optimization can provide a systematic framework with which the various subsystems can be simultaneously optimized and interconnected in a natural way. For instance, a superstructure optimization model for distillation can be readily incorporated as part of the optimization of a process flowsheet. As we will demonstrate later in this paper, our research fully takes such advantage and is specifically aimed at addressing the formulation and interactions of distillation system with MEN design.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 159 4265 1993. E-mail address: hgdong@andrew.cmu.edu (H.-G. Dong).

Nomenclature

Sets		

RS^{IN} initial inlet nodes of rich streams to DN PLSIN initial inlet nodes of process lean streams to DN ELS^{IN} initial inlet nodes of external lean streams to DN RLS^{IN} initial inlet nodes of regenerating agents to DN RS^{OUT} final outlet nodes of rich streams from DN PLSOUT final outlet nodes of process lean streams to DN ELSOUT final outlet nodes of external lean streams to DN RLSOUT final outlet nodes of regenerating agents to DN

ME set of mass exchange units (including regenerating units) in the system

DIS set of distillation columns in the system

 RIN_{ME} mixing node of rich streams leading to the inlet of mass exchange unit me

 $ROUT_{ME}$ splitting node of the rich stream from the outlet of mass exchange unit me

mixing node of lean streams (including regenerating LIN_{ME} agents) leading to the inlet of mass exchange unit me

 $LOUT_{ME}$ splitting node of the lean streams (including regenerating agents) from the outlet of mass exchange unit me

node denoting the inlet of the distillation columns DIN_{DIS}

 $TOUT_{DIS}$ node denoting the outlet from the top of distillation

 $BOUT_{DIS}$ node denoting the outlet from the bottom of distillation unit dis

all mixing nodes in the system, $MX = RS^{OUT} \cup PLS$ MX $OUT \cup ELS^{OUT} \cup RLS^{OUT} \cup RIN_{ME} \cup LIN_{ME} \cup DIN_{DIS}$

all splitting nodes in the syst $SP = RS^{IN} \cup PLS^{IN} \cup ELS^{IN} \cup RLS^{IN} \cup RIN_{ME} \cup LIN_{ME} \cup LIN_{M$ SP

 $TOUT_{DIS} \cup BOUT_{DIS}$

 N_{SP} all forbidden mixing nodes of stream from splitting

Parameters

Fs_{max}, Fs_{min} upper and lower bounds of the flow rates in DN $M_{me}^{\text{max}}, M_{me}^{\text{min}}$ upper and lower bounds of the mass exchanged in unit me

 ΔC_{me}^{min} minimum composition difference for unit me

 h_{me} , b_{me} Henry coefficient and constant in mass exchange unit me

overall mass transfer coefficient

 $K_{re}a \atop lpha_{dis}^{
m LK, HK}$ relative volatility of the light key component to the heavy key component

unit latent heat of the mixtures in distillation unit r_{dis} dis

 $Q_{dis}^{\max}, Q_{dis}^{\min}$ maximum and minimum inlet flow rate to unit dis

annualized cost coefficients for mass separating and C_{els} , C_{rls} regenerating agents

Cme, Cdis annualized cost factors for mass exchange unit me and distillation unit dis

 C^{h} . C^{c} annualized cost coefficients for hot and cold utilities

Continuous variables

 f_{mx}^{out} total outlet flow rate from mixing node mx c_{mx}^{out} f_{sp}^{in} c_{sp}^{in} composition at mixing node mx total inlet flow rate to splitting node sp composition at splitting node sp

flow rate from splitting node sp to mixing node mx $fs_{sp,mx}$ fr_{me} flow rate of the rich stream passing through mass exchange unit me

 cr_{me}^{in} composition of the rich stream leading to the inlet of mass exchange unit me

 cr_{me}^{out} composition of the rich stream from the outlet of mass exchange unit me

 fl_{me} flow rate of the lean stream passing through mass exchange unit me

 cl_{me}^{in} composition of the lean stream leading to the inlet of mass exchange unit me

 cl_{me}^{out} composition of the lean stream from the outlet of mass exchange unit me

mass exchanged of unit me m_{me}

 $\Delta c_{me}^1, \Delta c_{me}^2$ composition driving forces at both ends of the mass exchanger me

logarithmic mean composition difference for mass Δc_{me} exchanged of unit me

 A_{me} the area of mass exchange unit me

 NTU_{me} , HTU_{me} the number of transfer units and the height of a transfer unit for packed column me

 H_{me} the height of packed column me

number of the trays in the tray column me NH_{me} q_{dis}^{in} inlet flow rate to distillation unit dis

composition of the heavy key component in stream to distillation unit dis

q^{tout} c^{tout} c^{dis} outlet flow rate from the top of distillation unit dis composition of the heavy key component in stream

from the top of distillation unit dis

 q_{dis}^{bout} outlet flow rate from the bottom of distillation unit

 c_{dis}^{bout} composition of the heavy key component in stream from the bottom of distillation unit dis

 N_{dis}^{\min} minimum number of equilibrium stages of distillation unit dis

 $NP_{dis} \ R_{dis}^{\min}$ number of equilibrium stages of distillation unit dis minimum reflux ratio of the of distillation column

 R_{dis} actual reflux ratio of distillation column dis

the mass fractions of the heavy key component in x_{dis}^e, y_{dis}^e liquid and vapor at the feed plate in distillation unit

 $\Phi^{
m R}_{dis}, \Phi^{
m C}_{dis}$ the heat duty for reboilers and condenser for unit dis

Binary and integer variables

mx) binary variables denoting the nfs(sp, tence/nonexistence of the flow rate between nodes sp and mx

w(me), w(dis) binary variables denoting the existence/nonexistence of the mass exchange unit me and distillation unit dis

final number of trays after rounding up NT_{me} to the N_{me} nearest integer

 N_{dis} final number of plates after rounding up NP_{dis} to the nearest integer

simple approximations when calculating annualized costs. Apart from the aforementioned sequential procedures based on pinch technique, mathematical optimization techniques for MEN have been used to handle more complex trade-offs of all cost factors. Papalexandri, Pistikopoulos, and Floudas (1994) first developed an MINLP model based on a hyperstructure representation. The two-way balance between operating cost and investment cost was explored and this model was also extended to include regenera-

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/173011

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/173011

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>