Energy 34 (2009) 1187-1198

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Energy

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/energy

# Optimum autonomous stand-alone photovoltaic system design on the basis of energy pay-back analysis

### J.K. Kaldellis<sup>a,\*</sup>, D. Zafirakis<sup>a</sup>, E. Kondili<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Lab of Soft Energy Applications & Environmental Protection, TEI of Piraeus, P.O. Box 41046, Athens 12201, Greece <sup>b</sup> Optimisation of Production Systems Lab, Mechanical Eng, Dept., TEI of Piraeus, P.O. Box 41046, Athens 12201, Greece

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 24 November 2007 Received in revised form 28 April 2009 Accepted 2 May 2009 Available online 9 June 2009

Keywords: LCA Embodied energy Remote consumer Battery storage Island regions

#### ABSTRACT

Stand-alone photovoltaic (PV) systems comprise one of the most promising electrification solutions for covering the demand of remote consumers. However, such systems are strongly questioned due to extreme life-cycle (LC) energy requirements. For similar installations to be considered as environmentally sustainable, their LC energy content must be compensated by the respective useful energy production, i.e. their energy pay-back period (EPBP) should be found less than their service period. In this context, an optimum sizing methodology is currently developed, based on the criterion of minimum embodied energy. Various energy autonomous stand-alone PV-lead-acid battery systems are examined and two different cases are investigated; a high solar potential area and a medium solar potential area. By considering that the PV-battery (PV-Bat) system's useful energy production is equal to the remote consumer's electricity consumption, optimum cadmium telluride (CdTe) based systems yield the minimum EPBP (15 years). If achieving to exploit the net PV energy production however, the EPBP is found less than 20 years for all PV types. Finally, the most interesting finding concerns the fact that in all cases examined the contribution of the battery component exceeds 27% of the system LC energy requirements, reflecting the difference between grid-connected and stand-alone configurations.

© 2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### 1. Introduction

According to the Amsterdam Treaty, declaration N° 30 [1], "...insular regions suffer from structural handicaps linked to their island status, the permanence of which impairs their economic and social development". Among these handicaps, the insufficient energy structure questions the energy demand satisfaction of local societies. In fact, the electrification of numerous isolated consumers, living in small island regions and rural areas that do not appreciate grid-connection [2], comprises a considerable energyrelated problem seeking for solution [3,4]. To support the social and economical development of these regions, local energy demand should be satisfied according to the principles of security of supply, competitiveness and environmental sustainability [5].

To adhere to the above principles and improve the life-quality of several remote consumers, the implementation of autonomous renewable energy based stand-alone systems, able to increase the

0360-5442/\$ – see front matter  $\odot$  2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.energy.2009.05.003

security of supply levels through distributed generation [6,7], should be considered. Towards this direction, photovoltaic (PV) driven stand-alone systems, such as PV-battery (PV-Bat) configurations, suggest an off-the-shelf energy solution with a broad field of applications and a considerable research background [8,9]. To improve the performance of PV-Bat stand-alone systems, several techno-economic studies have been presented [10–12] that substantially contribute to the satisfaction of both the security of supply and the competitiveness principles. On top of these, the constant growth of the PV market [13,14] and the progress encountered in the fields of PV technology [15] imply further establishment for PV based systems in general, with lower costs [16] and higher efficiencies achieved [14].

On the other hand, PV based configurations are accused of extreme life-cycle (LC) energy requirements. In this context, although one may encounter several research works concerning the energy life-cycle assessment (LCA) of grid-connected systems [17–21], no profound research has been carried out for PV-Bat stand-alone systems. For similar systems to be considered as environmentally sustainable as well, one must ensure that their LC energy content may be compensated by the respective useful energy production. Developing a sizing methodology for the





<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +30 210 5381237/5381348; fax: +30 210 5381467. *E-mail address*: jkald@teipir.gr (J.K. Kaldellis). URL: http://www.sealab.gr

Nomenclature		N <sub>cc</sub>	Rated power of the charge controller (kW)	
		n <sub>cc</sub>	Service period of the charge controller (years)	
$A_{\rm PV}$	Area of the PV module (m <sup>2</sup> )	$N_{\rm D}$	Power demand of the remote consumer (kW)	
a-Si	Amorphous silicon PV module	Ni–Cd	Nickel-cadmium batteries	
BOS	Balance of System	N <sub>INV</sub>	Maximum power of the inverter (kW)	
CdTe	Cadmium telluride PV module	n <sub>INV</sub>	Service period of the inverter (years)	
CF	Capacity factor of the PV generator	N <sub>max</sub>	Peak load demand of the remote consumer (kW)	
CIS	Copper indium diselenide PV module	No	Maximum power output of a single PV panel (Watts)	
DOD	Battery system instantaneous depth of discharge	$N_{\rm PV}$	Maximum power output of the PV array (kW)	
DODL	Battery system maximum depth of discharge	n <sub>sys</sub>	Service period of the installation (years)	
$E_{\rm bat}$	Energy content of the battery system (kWh)	PbA	Lead-acid batteries	
$E_{\rm BOS}$	Energy content of the BOS components (kWh)	PV	Photovoltaic	
$E_{\rm D}$	Annual electricity consumption of the remote	PV-Bat	Photovoltaic-battery	
	consumer (kWh)	Q	Battery system instantaneous capacity (Ah)	
$E_{dec}$	Energy included in the decommissioning stage (kWh)	$Q^*$	Battery system capacity under the zero load rejection	
$E_{loss}$	Annual energy losses of the system (kWh)		criterion (Ah)	
EPBP	Energy pay-back period of the system (years)	Q <sub>max</sub>	Battery system maximum capacity (Ah)	
Enet	Annual net energy production of the PV generator	<i>Q</i> <sub>min</sub>	Battery system minimum permitted capacity (Ah)	
	(kWh)	R <sub>ch</sub>	Charge rate of the charge controller (Amperes)	
$E_{\text{prod}}$	Annual electricity production of the PV generator	sc-Si	Single-crystalline silicon PV module	
	(kWh)	SF	Safety factor	
$E_{\rm PV}$	Energy content of the PV modules (kWh)	U	Electrical voltage of the PV module (Volts)	
$E_{\rm rec}$	Energy gains through recycling (kWh)	$U_{\rm b}$	Battery system operation voltage (Volts)	
E <sub>rej</sub>	Annual energy surplus of the system (kWh)	$U_{\rm cc}$	Charging voltage of the charge controller (Volts)	
Eres	Residual energy of the PV generator (kWh)	Ζ	Integer number of PV panels	
$E_{tot}$	Total energy content of the PV-battery system (kWh)	$z_1$	Integer number of PV panels in parallel	
$E_{y}$	Annual useful energy production of the system (kWh)	$Z_2$	Integer number of PV panels in series	
G	Solar radiation at horizontal plane $(W/m^2)$			
I	Electrical current of the PV module (Amperes)	Greek le	reek letters	
$k_{cc}$	Specific energy content coefficient for the charge	β	Tilt angle of the PV panel (degrees)	
	controller (kWh/kW)	$\Delta N$	Power surplus of the PV generator (kW)	
k <sub>INV</sub>	Specific energy content coefficient for the inverter (kWh/kW)	€ <sub>BOS</sub>	Specific energy content coefficient of BOS components (kWh/m <sup>2</sup> )	
LC	Life-cycle	Eincl	Gravimetric energy content of batteries (kWh <sub>incl</sub> /kg)	
Li-ion	Lithium ion batteries	€out	Gravimetric energy density of batteries (kWh <sub>out</sub> /kg)	
M&O	Maintenance and operation	£ру	Specific energy content coefficient of PV modules	
$m_{\rm bat}$	Mass of batteries (kg)		$(kWh/m^2)$	
mc-Si	Multi-crystalline silicon photovoltaic module	$\eta_{ m bat}$	Energy efficiency of batteries	
Na-S	Sodium-sulfur batteries	$\eta_{\rm PV}$	Energy efficiency of PV modules	
$n_{\rm bat}$	Service period of batteries (years)	$\dot{\theta}$	Ambient temperature (°C)	

determination of optimum energy autonomous PV-Bat stand-alone configurations, based on the criterion of minimum embodied energy (i.e. minimum LC energy requirements), is the objective of the present paper.

For this purpose, a fast and reliable numerical code "PHOTOV-III" [11] has been used in order to generate PV-Bat configurations able to guarantee zero load rejections (100% energy autonomy) for a given area and time period examined. The algorithm provides detailed results concerning the energy autonomy and the energy performance of the stand-alone system components, considering also the panel tilt angle, while if using reliable information regarding the system's LC energy requirements, it is possible to determine optimum-size energy autonomous configurations, based on the criterion of minimum embodied energy.

Furthermore, using the energy requirements of optimum configurations and estimating the respective useful energy production, the energy pay-back period (EPBP) may be obtained as well. Various PV-lead-acid (PbA) battery combinations are evaluated and two different case studies are investigated; the first considering an island area of high solar potential (the island of Rhodes) and the second an island area of medium solar potential (the island of Thassos), both islands located at the Aegean Archipelagos region (Greece) where one may encounter several scattered islands with numerous off-grid consumers.

#### 2. Proposed solution

In order to face the urgent electrification problems of numerous remote consumers in areas with considerable solar potential, the following autonomous PV-Bat based installation is proposed (see also Fig. 1). The proposed stand-alone PV-Bat system comprises an array of PV modules connected to a battery, via a battery charge controller that feeds a DC/AC inverter. The battery charge controller switches the PV array off when the battery is fully charged and switches (rejects) the load off before the battery gets completely discharged. Regarding the energy storage system selected (an appropriate battery bank is found to be the most suitable solution given the current technological status [22]), it is essential that the respective capacity should be sufficient in order to store any energy production surplus during sunlight hours, for use during night time or at bad weather conditions. Finally, since most applications are based on alternative current [23], a DC/AC inverter is also required.

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1734427

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1734427

Daneshyari.com