

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



**ENERGY** 

Energy 33 (2008) 189-198

www.elsevier.com/locate/energy

# Thermo-economic optimisation of the integration of electrolysis in synthetic natural gas production from wood

M. Gassner\*, F. Maréchal

Laboratoire d'Energétique Industrielle, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

Received 24 December 2006

### Abstract

Converting wood to grid quality methane allows to distribute a  $CO_2$  free, renewable energy resource in a conventional energy distribution system and use it in transportation applications. Applying a multi-objective optimisation algorithm to a previously developed thermo-economic process model for the thermochemical production of synthetic natural gas from wood, the present paper assesses the prospect of integrating an electrolyser in conversion systems based on directly and indirectly heated gasification. Due to an inherent lack of hydrogen for complete conversion of wood into methane and the possibility for rational use of oxygen, it is shown that electrolysis is an efficient and economically interesting option for increasing the gas output of the process while storing electricity and producing fuel that mitigates  $CO_2$  emissions.

© 2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Biofuels; Bioenergy; Gasification; Methanation; Electrolysis; Optimisation; SNG

## 1. Introduction

Thermochemical production of synthetic natural gas (SNG) from wood by means of wood gasification, methane synthesis and further  $CO_2$  removal from the produced gases offers several interesting features. A widely available and renewable energy source which is not in competition with food production is used in a process that may be operated as a  $CO_2$  sink if  $CO_2$  sequestration is realised. Clean gaseous fuel is produced and distributed in existing networks. Its use in transportation applications would allow to cut greenhouse gas emissions in a domain where few solutions for mitigating  $CO_2$  emissions and using lignocellulosic biomass exist.

Currently, different process designs are under investigation [1,2]. From the atomic composition of wood, they all have in common that the gas produced by gasification lacks of hydrogen for completely reforming the carbon into methane, which results in a by-production of CO<sub>2</sub>. Furthermore, the overall process is exothermic and cogeneration of electricity is therefore possible. For this reason, integrating an electrolyser in the system would allow to increase the methane yield by adding hydrogen to the carbon flow and to produce pure oxygen that is needed for the gasification process while using the cogenerated power. Alternatively, by importing renewable electricity, the process is a way of storing green electrical power in the form of SNG.

Using the process modelling approach described in [3] and further developed in [1], this paper aims to analyse the integration of electrolysis and its impact on the process design and performances.

#### 2. Process description

## 2.1. Block flow diagram

A general block flow diagram of the process superstructure with the investigated technological alternatives is shown in Fig. 1. In a first process step, chipped wood with properties described in Table 1 is dried to avoid severe exergy losses and enhance the formation of  $CH_4$  during gasification. Indirectly heated, steam blown gasification in

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. Tel.: +41216935316; fax: +41216933502. *E-mail address:* martin.gassner@epfl.ch (M. Gassner).

<sup>0360-5442/</sup> $\$  - see front matter  $\$  2007 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.energy.2007.09.010

#### Nomenclature

Roman letters $T_g$ gasification temperature, °C $\dot{m}$ mass flow, kg/s $T_{s,s}$ methanation temperature, °C $c$ concentration, %vol $T_{s,b2}$ temperature of bleeding level $i$ , °C $C_{GR}$ grass roots cost, k€ $T_{s,s}$ steam superheat temperature, °C $C_{OP}$ operating costs, €/MW h $W^+$ consumed electrical power (entering the system), kW $C_{P}$ total production costs, €/MW h $W^-$ produced electrical power (leaving the system), kW $e_{a,i}$ specific avoided CO <sub>2</sub> emissions assigned to the production of substance $i$ , kg/MW h <sub>i</sub> $W^-$ produced electrical power (leaving the system), kW $e_{u,i}$ specific CO <sub>2</sub> emissions assigned to the usage of substance $i$ , kg/MW h <sub>i</sub> $Greek$ $Etters$ $e_{u,i}$ specific CO <sub>2</sub> emissions assigned to the usage of substance $i$ , kg/MW h <sub>i</sub> $Greek$ $Etters$ $MI^{0}$ lower heating value of substance $i$ , MJ/kg $MI^{0}$ $MI^{0}$
Roman letters $T_m$ methanation temperature, °C $\dot{m}$ mass flow, kg/s $T_{s,b2}$ temperature of bleeding level $i$ , °C $c$ concentration, %vol $T_{s,b2}$ temperature of bleeding level $i$ , °C $C_{GR}$ grass roots cost, k $\in$ $W^+$ consumed electrical power (entering the system), kW $C_{OP}$ operating costs, $\in/MWh$ $W^+$ consumed electrical power (entering the system), kW $e_{a,i}$ specific avoided CO <sub>2</sub> emissions assigned to substance $i$ , kg/MW $h_i$ $W^-$ produced electrical power (leaving the system), kW $e_{p,i}$ specific CO <sub>2</sub> emissions assigned to the produc- tion of substance $i$ , kg/MW $h_i$ $Greek$ letters $e_{u,i}$ specific CO <sub>2</sub> emissions assigned to the usage of substance $i$ , kg/MW $h_i$ $Greek$ letters $\Delta h_i^0$ lower heating value of substance $i$ , MJ/kg
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
cconcentration, %vol $T_{s,s}$ steam superheat temperature, °C $C_{GR}$ grass roots cost, k€ $w^+$ consumed electrical power (entering the system), kW $C_{OP}$ operating costs, €/MW h $w^+$ consumed electrical power (entering the system), kW $C_P$ total production costs, €/MW h $w^-$ produced electrical power (leaving the system), kW $e_{a,i}$ specific avoided CO <sub>2</sub> emissions assigned to substance <i>i</i> , kg/MW h <sub>i</sub> $w^-$ produced electrical power (leaving the system), kW $e_{p,i}$ specific CO <sub>2</sub> emissions assigned to the produc- tion of substance <i>i</i> , kg/MW h <sub>i</sub> $Greek \ letters$ $e_{u,i}$ specific CO <sub>2</sub> emissions assigned to the usage of substance <i>i</i> , kg/MW h <sub>i</sub> $Greek \ letters$ $\Delta h_i^0$ lower heating value of substance <i>i</i> , MJ/kg
$C_{\rm GR}$ grass roots cost, $k \in$ $w^+$ consumed electrical power (entering the system), kW $C_{\rm OP}$ operating costs, $\in/MWh$ $w^+$ consumed electrical power (entering the system), kW $C_{\rm P}$ total production costs, $\in/MWh$ $w^-$ produced electrical power (leaving the system), kW $e_{\rm a,i}$ specific avoided CO <sub>2</sub> emissions assigned to substance <i>i</i> , kg/MW h <sub>i</sub> $w^-$ produced electrical power (leaving the system), kW $e_{\rm p,i}$ specific CO <sub>2</sub> emissions assigned to the produc- tion of substance <i>i</i> , kg/MW h <sub>i</sub> $Greek \ letters$ $e_{\rm u,i}$ specific CO <sub>2</sub> emissions assigned to the usage of substance <i>i</i> , kg/MW h <sub>i</sub> $Greek \ letters$ $\Delta h_i^0$ lower heating value of substance <i>i</i> , MJ/kg
$C_{\rm OP}$ operating costs, $\notin/MWh$ kW $C_{\rm P}$ total production costs, $\notin/MWh$ $w^ e_{\rm a,i}$ specific avoided CO <sub>2</sub> emissions assigned to substance <i>i</i> , kg/MW h <sub>i</sub> $w^ e_{\rm p,i}$ specific CO <sub>2</sub> emissions assigned to the produc- tion of substance <i>i</i> , kg/MW h <sub>i</sub> $kW$ $e_{\rm u,i}$ specific CO <sub>2</sub> emissions assigned to the usage of substance <i>i</i> , kg/MW h <sub>i</sub> <i>Greek letters</i> $\Delta h_i^0$ lower heating value of substance <i>i</i> , MJ/kg
$C_{\rm P}$ total production costs, $\notin/MWh$ $w^-$ produced electrical power (leaving the system), $e_{\rm a,i}$ specific avoided CO <sub>2</sub> emissions assigned to $w^-$ produced electrical power (leaving the system), $kW$ substance i, kg/MW h_ikW $e_{\rm u,i}$ specific CO <sub>2</sub> emissions assigned to the production of substance i, kg/MW h_i $Greek \ letters$ $k_{\rm u,i}$ specific CO <sub>2</sub> emissions assigned to the usage of substance i, kg/MW h_i $Greek \ letters$ $k_{\rm u,i}$ specific CO <sub>2</sub> emissions assigned to the usage of substance i, kg/MW h_i $\Delta h_i^0$ $k_{\rm u,i}$ lower heating value of substance i, MJ/kg
$e_{a,i}$ specific avoided CO2 emissions assigned to substance <i>i</i> , kg/MW h_ikW $e_{p,i}$ specific CO2 emissions assigned to the produc- tion of substance <i>i</i> , kg/MW h_ikW $e_{u,i}$ specific CO2 emissions assigned to the usage of substance <i>i</i> , kg/MW h_iGreek letters $\Delta h_i^0$ lower heating value of substance <i>i</i> , MJ/kg
substance <i>i</i> , kg/MW h <sub>i</sub> $e_{p,i}$ specific CO <sub>2</sub> emissions assigned to the produc- tion of substance <i>i</i> , kg/MW h <sub>i</sub> $e_{u,i}$ specific CO <sub>2</sub> emissions assigned to the usage of substance <i>i</i> , kg/MW h <sub>i</sub> $\Delta h_i^0$ lower heating value of substance <i>i</i> , MJ/kg
$e_{p,i}$ specific CO2 emissions assigned to the production of substance $i$ , kg/MW hi $Greek \ letters$ $e_{u,i}$ specific CO2 emissions assigned to the usage of substance $i$ , kg/MW hi $Greek \ letters$ $\Delta h_i^0$ lower heating value of substance $i$ , MJ/kg
tion of substance <i>i</i> , kg/MW h <sub>i</sub> $e_{u,i}$ specific CO <sub>2</sub> emissions assigned to the usage of substance <i>i</i> , kg/MW h <sub>i</sub> $\Delta h_i^0$ lower heating value of substance <i>i</i> , MJ/kg
$e_{u,i}$ specific CO <sub>2</sub> emissions assigned to the usage of substance <i>i</i> , kg/MW h <sub>i</sub> $\Delta h_i^0$ lower heating value of substance <i>i</i> , MJ/kg
substance <i>i</i> , kg/MW h <sub>i</sub> $\Delta h_i^0$ lower heating value of substance <i>i</i> , MJ/kg
$p_{\rm g}$ gasification pressure, bar $\Delta H_{\rm r}$ standard heat of reaction, kJ/mol
$p_{\rm m}$ methanation pressure, bar $\Delta h_{\rm yap}$ latent heat of vaporisation, MJ/kg
$p_{s,p}$ steam production pressure, bar $\Delta k_i^0$ exergy value of substance <i>i</i> , MJ/kg
$r_{\rm H_2}$ additional hydrogen for methanation, wt% $\varepsilon$ energy efficiency, %
$s_i$ stoichiometric coefficient of substance <i>i</i> , dimen- $\eta$ exergy efficiency, %
sionless $\mu$ mean value
SN stoichiometric number, dimensionless $\Phi_{\rm w}$ wood humidity, wt%
SNG synthetic natural gas $\rho$ correlation coefficient, dimensionless
$T_{\rm d}$ drying temperature, °C $\sigma$ standard deviation

an internally circulating fluidised bed usually operating at around 850 °C and atmospheric pressure and directly heated, oxygen blown pressurised fluidised bed gasification operating at around 800 °C are considered as gasification technologies [5,6]. The oxygen necessary for the latter might be supplied externally or produced on-site using either ion transfer membranes [7] or electrolysis. After gasification, the obtained gases need to be cleaned from impurities to prevent methanation catalyst damage. Being

rich in H<sub>2</sub>, CO and CO<sub>2</sub>, the gas is reformed at around 300-400 °C in an internally cooled, pressurised fluidised bed reactor where a sufficient amount of steam is added to avoid carbon deposition [8]. The synthesis gas is dried and CO<sub>2</sub> is removed in order to increase its calorific value and meet the condition of a Wobbe index between 13.3 and  $15.7 \,\mathrm{kWh/Nm^3}$  specified for the injection into the gas grid. As excess heat is available from methanation and the flue gases from gasification, the integration of a steam



Fig. 1. Process superstructure. Dashed boxes assemble competing technologies and dotted ones are used for optional equipment. The process configurations examined in this paper is shown shaded.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1736254

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1736254

Daneshyari.com