

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Energy 31 (2006) 528-545



www.elsevier.com/locate/energy

Thermal analysis of an enriched flame incinerator for aqueous residues

Pedro Teixeira Lacava^a, João A. Carvalho Jr.^{b,*}, Amilcar Porto Pimenta^a, Marco Aurélio Ferreira^c

^aDivisão de Engenharia Aeronáutica, Instituto Tecnológico de Aeronáutica, Pça. Mal. Eduardo Gomes, 50, Vila das Acácias, 12228-900, São José dos Campos, SP, Brazil ^bDepartamento de Energia, Campus de Guaratinguetá, Universidade Estadual Paulista, Av. Dr. Ariberto Pereira da Cunha, 333, 12516-410, Guaratinguetá, SP, Brazil ^cLaboratório Associado de Combustão e Propulsão, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas Espaciais, Rod. Presidente Dutra, km 40, 12630-000, Cachoeira Paulista, SP, Brazil

Received 9 May 2002

Abstract

The use of oxygen to enrich the combustion air can be an attractive technique to increase capacity of an incinerator originally designed to operate with air. If incinerator parameters such as operation temperature, turbulence level and residence time are fixed for a certain fuel supply rate, it is possible to increase the residue consumption rate using enriched air. This paper presents the thermal analysis for operation with enriched air of an aqueous residue experimental incinerator. The auxiliary fuel was diesel oil. The theoretical results showed that there is a considerable increase in the incineration ratio up to approximately 50% of O_2 in the oxidiser. The tendency was confirmed experimentally. Thermal analysis was demonstrated to be an important tool to predict possible incinerator capacity increase.

© 2005 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

1. Introduction

The insertion of oxygen in the combustion air can be an attractive means of increasing incineration rates of a system originally designed to operate with air [1-3]. Nitrogen present in air is an inert gas,

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +55 12 31232838; fax: +55 12 31232835. *E-mail address:* joao@feg.unesp.br (J.A. Carvalho Jr.).

^{0360-5442/\$ -} see front matter © 2005 Published by Elsevier Ltd. doi:10.1016/j.energy.2005.04.003

$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Nomenclature		
$\begin{array}{lll} \Delta \varepsilon & \text{spectral correction factor} \\ \Delta H_{\text{RES}} & \text{energy transferred to the residue, kcal} \\ \Delta h_{T_{\text{s}}} & \text{enthalpy increase from 298 K to } T_{\text{s}}, \text{ kcal kmol}^{-1} \\ \varepsilon_{i} & \text{emissivity for the } i\text{th gas component, non dimensional} \\ \varepsilon_{\text{g}} & \text{gas emissivity, non dimensional} \\ \varepsilon_{\text{w}} & \text{wall emissivity, non dimensional} \\ \mu & \text{gas dynamic viscosity, kg m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \\ \rho & \text{gas density, kg m}^{-3} \\ \sigma & \text{Stefan-Boltzmann constant, W m}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1}. \end{array}$		Nomen i_{p} C_{i} $C_{p_{j}}$ C_{p	number of moles of pure oxygen normalised oxidant excess number of moles of residue pressure correction factor for the <i>i</i> th gas component, non dimensional specific heat of the <i>j</i> th component, kcal kmol ⁻¹ K ⁻¹ control volume chamber diameter, m enthalpy of formation of the <i>i</i> th component, kcal kmol ⁻¹ water latent heat of vaporisation, kcal kmol ⁻¹ enthalpy of combustion products, kcal enthalpy of reactants; kcal mean beam length, m fuel low heat value; kcal kmol ⁻¹ gas average molecular weight, kg kmol ⁻¹ mass flow rate of fuel, kg s ⁻¹ number of moles of the <i>i</i> th component pressure, atm partial pressure, atm heat lost through the chamber wall, kcal gas universal constant, atm liter K ⁻¹ mol ⁻¹ gas absortivity, non dimensional
$\Delta \varepsilon \qquad \text{spectral correction factor} \\ \Delta H_{\text{RES}} & \text{energy transferred to the residue, kcal} \\ \Delta h_{T_{\text{s}}} & \text{enthalpy increase from 298 K to } T_{\text{s}}, \text{ kcal kmol}^{-1} \\ \varepsilon_i & \text{emissivity for the } i\text{th gas component, non dimensional} \\ \varepsilon_{\text{g}} & \text{gas emissivity, non dimensional} \\ \varepsilon_{\text{w}} & \text{wall emissivity, non dimensional} \\ \mu & \text{gas dynamic viscosity, kg m}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \\ \rho & \text{gas density, kg m}^{-3} \\ \sigma & \text{Stefan-Boltzmann constant, W m}^{-2} \text{K}^{-1}. \end{cases}$		2 _{wall} R T _s T _w Z	heat lost through the chamber wall, kcal gas universal constant, atm liter $K^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ gas temperature, K wall temperature, K mean gas velocity, m/s gas absortivity, non dimensional
	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	$\Delta \varepsilon$ $\Delta H_{\rm RES}$ $\Delta h_{T_{\rm s}}$ S_{i} S_{g} S_{w} μ D σ	spectral correction factor energy transferred to the residue, kcal enthalpy increase from 298 K to T_s , kcal kmol ⁻¹ emissivity for the <i>i</i> th gas component, non dimensional gas emissivity, non dimensional wall emissivity, non dimensional gas dynamic viscosity, kg m ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ gas density, kg m ⁻³ Stefan-Boltzmann constant, W m ⁻² K ⁻¹ .

absorbing heat that could otherwise be utilised for incineration. With air enrichment, the combustion process will occur with reduced overall gas flow rate and improved thermal efficiency. In most waste incineration processes such a reduction in flue gas volume will lead to more complete waste destruction and, consequently, to higher consumption rates. The benefits of oxygen enrichment can be achieved at very low levels of enrichment, from 21 to 25% oxygen in air, up to 100% oxygen.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1736520

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/1736520

Daneshyari.com