



A review of potential innovations for production, conditioning and utilization of biogas with multiple-criteria assessment



Wojciech M. Budzianowski*

Wrocław University of Technology, Wybrzeże Wyspiańskiego 27, 50-370 Wrocław, Poland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 5 June 2014

Received in revised form

7 August 2015

Accepted 20 October 2015

Available online 11 November 2015

Keywords:

Biogas

Renewable energy

Process innovation

Multiple-criteria assessment

Production

Conditioning

Utilization

ABSTRACT

Biogas is a relatively mature renewable energy technology but still most commercial biogas power plants require significant financial incentives. Additionally, local shortages of very cheap digestible feedstocks limit biogas productivity, especially for larger biogas power plants (> 1 MW_e). Innovations that could improve cost-effectiveness and resource efficiency of biogas energy technology are therefore required.

Over the last few years a number of potential process innovations for biogas technology have been proposed and investigated. However, the majority of these novel concepts has minimal or no impact on technology development. Disruptive innovations are very rare, but only they really matter for the economy. Therefore review reports that systematically compare, analyze and evaluate the suitability of these emerging methods with emphasis on technological excellence and realistic commercial potential are needed.

This study presents potential process innovations from most recent patent and academic literature proposed for biogas (i) production, (ii) conditioning, (iii) utilization and (iv) industrial symbiosis. Within these four highly interdisciplinary categories the review attempts to provide short practical comments on selected methods and briefly analyzes their perspectives and constraints. Further, relevant biogas process innovation criteria are designed and multiple-criteria assessment of pre-selected potential process innovations is made. The paper concludes with the characterization of innovativeness of selected solutions and suggests future research needs for biogas energy technology that could bring new innovations in near term.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction	1149
2. Potential process innovations in biogas production	1150
2.1. Pretreatment to enhance digestibility of lignocellulosic biomass	1150
2.2. Enhanced AD	1152
2.2.1. Enhanced AD processes	1152

Abbreviations: AD, anaerobic digestion; AER, absorption enhanced reforming; AFEX, ammonia fiber explosion; AHPD, autogenerative high-pressure digestion; ARP, ammonia recycle percolation; AwR, alkaline with regeneration; BA, bottom ash; BM, biomethane; BS, biosyngas; C, degree of Celsius; CCR, CO₂ capture and recycling; CCS, carbon capture and sequestration; CH₄, methane; CHHP, combined heat, hydrogen and power; CHP, combined heat and power; CI, compression ignition; C/N, carbon/nitrogen; CO₂, carbon dioxide; COD, chemical oxygen demand; COG, coke oven gas; CP, commercialization potential; CPB, cryogenic packed beds; CSTR, continuous stirred tank reactor; CY, number of citations per year; DF, dark fermentation; DME, dimethyl ether; EECl, energy, environment and climate impact; Ef, factor of energy; EP, electrochemical pretreatment; F-T, Fischer-Tropsch; FVW, fruit and vegetable wastes; GHG, greenhouse gas; GT, gas turbine; HCCI, homogeneous charge compression ignition; HHV, higher heating value; HMF, hydroxymethylfurfural; HPRSS, high-pressure reactive solvent scrubbing; HRAP, high rate algal pond; HRT, hydraulic retention time; HTL, hydrothermal liquefaction; IEA, International Energy Agency; IF, impact factor; IT, information technology; KOH, potassium hydroxide; KSAMBR, Kubota Submerged Anaerobic Membrane Bioreactor; kW_e, electrical kilo Watt; L, liter; LBM, liquid biomethane; LCA, Life Cycle Assessment; LHW, liquid hot water; LNG, liquid natural gas; MBR, membrane bioreactor; MCA, multiple-criteria assessment; MCFC, Molten carbonate fuel cell; MEC, microbial electrolysis cell; MFC, microbial fuel cell; MFR, microbial film reactors; MJ, Mega Joule; MOM, metal-organic material; MeOH, methanol; MSW, municipal solid waste; MW_e, electrical Mega Watt; NaOH, sodium hydroxide; NMMO, N-methylmorpholine-N-oxide; Nm³, cubic meter at standard temperature and pressure; NP, number of publications; NPK, nitrogen/phosphorous/potassium; OLR, organic loading rate; ORFC, oxy-reforming fuel cell; O&M, operating and maintenance; PAH, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon; PEIO, primary energy input to output; PEMFC, proton exchange membrane fuel cell; PSAP, patent status or academic performance; SCFA, short-chain fatty acid (or volatile fatty acids (VFA)); SI, spark ignition; SNG, synthetic natural gas; SOC, soil organic carbon; SOFC, solid oxide fuel cell; SRT, solids retention time; SS-AD, solid-state anaerobic digestion; T, temperature (K); t, tonne; TCOE, thermoeconomic cost of electricity; TRL, technology readiness level; TS, total solids; UASB, upflow anaerobic sludge blanket; USD, US dollar; Wh, Watt hour; WWTP, waste water treatment plant; VPSA, vacuum pressure swing adsorption; VS, volatile solids; VSS, volatile suspended solids; %wt, percent by weight.

* Tel.: +48 71 792914912.

E-mail address: wojciech.budzianowski@gmail.com

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.10.054>

1364-0321/© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

2.2.2.	Enhanced AD reactors	1152
2.2.3.	Solid-state AD	1153
2.2.4.	Two-stage AD	1153
2.3.	GHG mitigation in AD	1154
2.4.	Biohydrogen	1154
2.5.	Biorefineries integrated with AD	1155
2.5.1.	Integrated biorefineries for biofuels production involving AD	1155
2.5.2.	Algal biorefineries involving AD	1155
2.6.	Unconventional feedstocks for AD	1156
3.	Potential process innovations in biogas conditioning	1157
3.1.	Adsorption	1157
3.2.	Biological methods	1157
3.3.	Membranes	1158
3.4.	Absorption	1158
3.5.	Cryogenic methods	1158
3.6.	Hybrid methods	1158
4.	Potential process innovations in biogas utilization	1159
4.1.	Gas engines, gas turbines	1159
4.2.	Fuel cells	1159
4.2.1.	SOFCS	1159
4.2.2.	PEMFCs	1160
4.2.3.	MCFCS	1160
4.2.4.	MFCs	1160
4.3.	ORCs	1160
4.4.	Hybrid energy systems	1161
4.5.	Biofuels from biogas conversion	1161
4.5.1.	Biomethane	1161
4.5.2.	Biosyngas and biohydrogen	1161
4.5.3.	Biomethanol and bioDME	1161
4.5.4.	Higher biohydrocarbons	1162
5.	Potential process innovations in biogas relevant industrial symbiosis	1162
6.	Multiple-criteria assessment of potential biogas process innovations	1162
6.1.	MCA of innovations in literature	1162
6.2.	A new set of assessment criteria, indicators and weights designed for biogas innovations	1163
6.3.	MCA of potential biogas process innovations	1164
7.	Conclusions	1165
8.	Future research and development	1167
	Acknowledgments	1167
	References	1167

1. Introduction

Biogas is attracting significant attention as an increasingly interesting renewable and sustainable energy technology. Although biogas technology seems relatively mature it is not considered fully economically viable and usually requires significant financial incentives to successfully compete with commercial fossil fuel based energy technologies [1–3]. Three major factors limit expanded adoption of biogas energy technologies: (i) high cost of digestible feedstocks, (ii) limited local availability of such feedstocks and (iii) limited availability of innovations that could make biogas energy more economically attractive.

In some highly developed countries such as Germany biogas production receives significant financial support which has led to rapid expansion of this renewable energy technology over recent years. Attractive German financial incentives facilitate the use of several raw materials as feedstocks for anaerobic digestion (AD) (e.g. dedicated energy crops) and therefore the overall production potential of biogas has significantly increased over the past two decades. Also some other European countries like Denmark, Austria, Italy or Sweden have legislation and financial incentives beneficial for investments facilitating expanded use of biogas energy. Unfortunately, most other countries offer insufficient or no financial support for the production, conditioning and utilization of biogas. Importantly, these countries usually cannot adopt most of existing biogas technologies directly from highly developed

countries (e.g. technologies utilizing expensive energy crops) because such biogas plants would make a loss. Consequently, for those numerous countries [3–7], especially if they have limited access to cheap digestible biomass, availability of innovations that could facilitate cost-effective production, conditioning and utilization of biogas is of particular importance.

An innovation is the implementation of a new or significantly improved: (1) product (good or service), (2) process, (3) marketing method, or (4) organizational method in business practices, workplace organization or external relations. The minimum requirement for an innovation is that the product, process, marketing method or organizational method must be new (or significantly improved) to the enterprise [8]. This study reviews only process innovations in the field of biogas technology. A process innovation is the implementation of a new or significantly improved production or delivery method. This includes significant changes in techniques, equipment and/or software [8]. In general, many new concepts are being constantly proposed for all commercial technologies. However, only very few of them have or might have realistic commercialization potential. Although breakthrough or disruptive innovations with significant commercialization potential are very rare, in practice only they matter for the economy. Scientists should therefore constantly filter emerging concepts with potentially innovative character in order to find most promising ones and to facilitate their diffusion and possibly future commercialization. Academic [9–11] and patent

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1749906>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1749906>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)