



Penstock material selection in small hydropower plants using MADM methods



Ravi Kumar*, S.K. Singal¹

Alternate Hydro Energy Centre, Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee, Uttarakhand 247667, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 6 November 2014

Received in revised form

13 May 2015

Accepted 7 July 2015

Available online 13 August 2015

Keywords:

Small hydropower

Penstock

AHP

TOPSIS

Modified TOPSIS

Material selection

ABSTRACT

Small hydropower (SHP) is a promising source of renewable and clean energy. Selection of proper material for different components of SHP projects in general and penstock in particular is one of the most challenging tasks as civil work components contribute substantially in the overall cost of the project. There is no systematic and efficient approach found in the literature for selecting best material and consequently engineers take number of criteria for such selection. In the recent time, the verities of material are increasing very fast with various properties, which make material selection process complicated. In the present study, an effort has been made to apply Multiple Attribute Decision Making (MADM) methods for solving the material selection problem for penstock in SHP installations. Analytic hierarchy process (AHP), technique for order preference by similarity to ideal solution (TOPSIS) and Modified TOPSIS methods are used to select the best material. Four alternative materials such as polyvinyl chloride (PVC), high-density polyethylene (HDPE), glass reinforced polymer (GRP) and mild steel (MS) and five assessment attributes/criteria such as yield strength, life, thickness, cost of material and maintenance cost have been considered in the analysis. Two case studies have also been analyzed and included in the study. It has been found that TOPSIS and Modified TOPSIS methods are best suited for penstock material selection and mild steel is the suitable material as compared to other materials.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

Acronyms.....	241
1. Introduction.....	241
1.1. Small hydropower (SHP).....	241
1.2. Penstock.....	242
2. Literature review.....	242
3. MADM methods.....	245
3.1. Analytic hierarchy process.....	245
3.2. Technique for order preference by similarity to ideal solution (TOPSIS).....	247
3.3. Modified TOPSIS method.....	248
4. Analysis for penstock material selection.....	249
4.1. Implementation of developed methodology on SHP scheme.....	249
4.2. Criteria for penstock material selection.....	250
5. Case studies.....	250
5.1. Case study 1: Sikasar SHP (2*3500) kW.....	250
5.1.1. AHP method.....	250
5.1.2. TOPSIS method.....	251
5.1.3. Modified TOPSIS.....	251
5.2. Case study 2: Sie (2*2800) kW SHP.....	252
5.2.1. AHP method.....	252

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +91 7060892696, +91 8126149005.

E-mail addresses: er.ravi.49@gmail.com (R. Kumar), sunilfah@iitr.ac.in, sunilksingal@gmail.com (S.K. Singal).

¹ Tel.: +91 1332 285167.

5.2.2.	TOPSIS method	252
5.2.3.	Modified TOPSIS	252
6.	Result and discussions	252
7.	Conclusion	253
	Acknowledgment	253
	Appendix A	253
	Summary	254
	References	254

Acronyms

SHP	small hydro power
MADM	multiple attribute decision making
AHP	analytic hierarchy process
TOPSIS	technique for order preference by similarity to ideal solution
Modified TOPSIS	modified technique for order preference by similarity to ideal solution
PVC	polyvinyl chloride
HDPE	high-density polyethylene
GRP	glass reinforced polymer
MS	mild steel
P	power
W	watt
ηt	hydraulic efficiency of the turbine
ρw	density of water
g	acceleration due to gravity
Q	discharge
h	head of water acting on the turbine
kg/m^3	kilogram per cubic meter
m/s^2	meter per square second
m^3/s	cubic meter per second
m	meter
kW	kilo Watt
SAW	simple additive weighted
ELECTRE	elimination and choice translating reality
WPM	weighted product method
VIKOR	vise kriterijumska optimizacija kompromisno resenje
GTMA	graph theory and matrix representation approach
COPRAS	complex proportional assessment
EVAMIX	evaluation of mixed data
FAD-MSI	fuzzy axiomatic design-model selection interface
ECD	environmentally conscious design
IDEA	integrated decision aid
PROMETHEE	preference ranking organization method for enrichment of evaluations
MPa	mega Pascal
mm	milli meter
gm/cm^3	gram per cubic centimeter
GPa	gega Pascal
Rs/m/year	rupees per meter per year
Rs/m	rupees per meter
$\text{\$}$	dollar
MODM	multi-objective decision making
DM	decision maker
YS	yield strength
L	life
T	thickness
C	cost of material
MC	maintenance cost
GM	geometric mean
CV	consistency value

λ_{max}	eigen value
CI	consistency index
RI	random index
CR	consistency ratio
MSI	material selection index
O&M	operation and maintenance
AHEC	Alternate Hydro Energy Centre
m/s	meter per second

1. Introduction

In recent years, varieties of materials are available and the material selection plays a significant role in engineering and other fields. Material selection with minimum cost and better performance is a challenging task [1]. Understanding of functional requirements and performance criteria is needed for material selection for each component in any field. With increase in the availability of number of materials, the selection processes take more time and become complex [2,3]. The objectives of material selection process are to choose the best material with low cost, prolonged life, less maintenance cost, lesser in weight and good performance. The material, which has more than two alternatives and attribute/criteria, can be selected by formulating the MADM problem [4,5].

1.1. Small hydropower (SHP)

Small hydropower is one of the earliest known renewable energy technology in existence in the country since the beginning of the 20th century. It is a proven and clean source of energy. In hydropower, electricity is generated, when water pressure is converted into mechanical energy by using hydro turbine and that energy is used to derive the electric generator [6]. The power available is proportional to the product of head and discharge. The mechanical power, P (in W), produced at the turbine shaft can be estimated as

$$P = \eta t \rho w g Q h \quad (1)$$

where ηt is the hydraulic efficiency of the turbine, ρw the density of water (kg/m^3), g the acceleration due to gravity (m/s^2), Q the discharge (m^3/s) and h is the head of water acting on the turbine (m). Hydropower projects are classified based on installed capacity. Classification of SHP schemes in India is described in Table 1 [6,7]. Different countries follow different norms to define SHP based on installed capacity.

The basic components of small hydropower scheme can be broadly classified as (i) civil works and (ii) electro-mechanical equipment. Fig. 1 shows a schematic for various components of typical small hydropower scheme. Civil works of small hydropower scheme generally comprise of diversion weir and intake – is required for diverting the flow of water from the river or stream

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/1749943>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/1749943>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)