Looking for hysteresis in coal consumption in the US

Emilio Congregado [a,*], Antonio A. Golpe [b], Mónica Carmona [c]

[a] *Department of Economics, University of Huelva, Plaza de la Merced, 11, 21002 E-Huelva, Spain*
[b] *Department of Economics, University of Huelva, Spain*
[c] *Department of Business Administration and Marketing, University of Huelva, Spain*

ABSTRACT

This paper estimates an unobserved components model to explore coal consumption in the USA. We ask whether coal consumption exhibits *hysteresis*, defined as a dynamic structure in which the cyclical component of coal consumption has permanent effects on the natural component. In contrast to previous analysis, we provide evidence in favor of hysteresis in coal consumption, by using the nonlinear framework proposed recently by Pérez-Alonso and Di Sanzo [13], in which threshold type nonlinearities are introduced by allowing past cyclical consumption to have a different impact on the natural component depending on the regime. The article discusses implications of the findings for energy conservation policies.

© 2012 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction

Efforts to find alternative and cleaner energy sources are intensifying given the public interest in the reduction of the negative effects of carbon dioxide emissions. But meanwhile governments around the world regard energy conservation policies as a promising candidate in this respect. In this context, the study of the effects of these conservation policies on the reduction of energy consumption is a highly hot topical issue at the time of writing, given that these interventions often impose sizeable costs on the taxpayer.

Given these costs, the lack of robust evidence associating these policies with long term effects on the consumption is particularly striking.[1] At the heart of this question is how energy consumption evolves. If energy consumption is trend-stationary, policy shocks such us the energy conservation policy can be regarded as transitory: energy consumption eventually reverts to its underlying, long-run ("natural") component. For instance, one can conceive of an energy conservation policy, which thereby creates a downward shift in consumption. If energy consumption is stationary, the shock dies away once the policy has been implemented, and energy consumption settles up at its new, lower, level. If on the other hand the energy consumption is non-stationary, such shocks can have permanent effects. For example, the energy conservation

---

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +34 692841133; fax: +34 959217828.
E-mail addresses: congregado@uhu.es (E. Congregado),
Antonio.golpe@dehie.uhu.es (A.A. Golpe), monica@uhu.es (M. Carmona).

---

[1] The available evidence is mixed. Some authors suggests that energy consumption is stationary in which case policies oriented toward the reduction of energy consumption only have short-term effects [4–7] while others [8,9] provides evidence on the contrary.

policy considered above, decreases energy consumption in a way which affects all subsequent levels of consumption. A necessary (but not sufficient) condition for this to occur is a unit root in energy consumption.

In a time-series context, hysteresis can be defined and measured in various ways. A popular approach in the empirical literature simply equates hysteresis with the existence of a unit root in a variable (see, Røed [1], for a survey). An alternative approach proposed by Jaeger and Parkinson [2,3] posits a more demanding criterion: hysteresis exists if shocks (such as the one-off policy change discussed above) affect the natural component of a variable, which itself follows a unit root process. In which case, temporary shocks have permanent effects while the cycle does not evolve independently of the natural component; it then follows that a unit root is a necessary but not a sufficient condition for hysteresis. In this article, we adopt Jaeger and Parkinson's [2,3] definition of hysteresis in order to conduct a searching test and to explore whether energy conservation policies on coal consumption have long-term effects.

To test for hysteresis in this way, we decompose coal consumption into two unobservable components: a non-stationary "natural or permanent" component, and a stationary "cyclical" component. These components can be estimated by maximum likelihood using the Kalman filter. Although Jaeger and Parkinson's approach has been applied extensively in labor economics [10–13] to the best of our knowledge its application to energy economics is novel.

Once hysteresis is tested in the linear model, the new test for hysteresis based on a nonlinear unobserved components model, proposed by Pérez-Alonso and Di Sanzo [13], is applied. This test introduce, threshold type nonlinearities by allowing past cyclical component to have a different impact on the natural component depending on the regime of the economy. Note that we must take into account this possibility because the estimation of linear relations could yield spurious inference results if the nonlinear model provides a better empirical description of our data.

A further motivation for our empirical analysis is that hysteresis exists this implies that energy conservation policies might be more powerful than has been thought hitherto.

This article has the following structure. The next section describes the data and the estimation methodology. The third section presents and discusses the results. The final section concludes with a discussion of policy implications and some promising avenues for future research.

2. Data and methodology

2.1. Data

The data used are quarterly observations from 1973:1 to 2010:3. The coal consumption (measured in thousand short tons) and GDP data (measured in billions of chained 2005 dollars) are extracted from the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) and the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA), respectively. Before conducting the empirical analysis data were seasonally adjusted.

2.2. Econometric methodology

As we mentioned before, several empirical studies equate hysteresis in a time series with a unit root process. Others argue that hysteresis arises when changes to the cyclical component of a time series, C_t^C, induce permanent changes in the "natural" component of the series, C_t^N. This is different to a unit root process. To comprehend the different estimation strategies these approaches call for, decompose the series C_t into the sum of its two (unobservable)

components: the non-stationary natural component, C_t^N, and the stationary cyclical component, C_t^C:

$$C_t = C_t^N + C_t^C \tag{1}$$

Now define the natural component as a random walk plus a term capturing a possible hysteresis effect:

$$C_t^N = C_{t-1}^N + \beta C_{t-1}^C + \varepsilon_t^N \tag{2}$$

where the β coefficient measures, in percentage points, how much the natural component increases if the consumption experiences a cyclical increase of 1%. Evidently a unit root in the consumption C_t is necessary but not sufficient condition for the existence of hysteresis since a unit root could be generated by an accumulation of shocks to the natural component C_t^N while at the same time $\beta = 0$ [1]. In contrast, there is hysteresis if $\beta > 0$.

The specification of the model is completed by writing the cyclical component as a stationary second-order autoregressive process[2]:

$$C_t^C = \varphi_1 C_{t-1}^C + \varphi_2 C_{t-2}^C + \varepsilon_t^C \tag{3}$$

where φ_1 and φ_2 provide a measure of the periodicity of the cyclical component.

To identify the model, the system is completed by augmenting it with an equation, which relates the cyclical component of the coal consumption and output growth,

$$D_t = \alpha D_{t-1} + \delta C_t^C + \varepsilon_t^D \tag{4}$$

where D_t stands for the output growth rate at date t.[3]

The random shocks ε_t^N, ε_t^C and ε_t^D are assumed to be mean-zero draws from the normal distribution with variance–covariance matrix Ω; the state-space form of the model can be written as

$$C_t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C_t^N \\ C_t^C \\ C_{t-1}^C \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix} D_{t-1} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \varepsilon_t^D \end{pmatrix} \tag{5}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} C_t^N \\ C_t^C \\ C_{t-1}^C \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \beta & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi_1 & \varphi_2 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} C_{t-1}^N \\ C_{t-1}^C \\ C_{t-2}^C \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_t^N \\ \varepsilon_t^C \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{6}$$

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_N^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_C^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{7}$$

To summarize, hysteresis is inferred if the coefficient β is significantly different from zero. The coefficients of the model (4)–(7) are estimated by maximum likelihood using a Kalman filter.

A non-linear version of this model (5)–(7) can also be estimated, to take account of the possibility that coal consumption displays asymmetries in adjustment dynamics in response to positive and negative shocks. Relaxing the linearity assumption may allow a better estimation of hysteresis if it exists. When we talk about "positive" or "negative" shocks, we do so relative to some threshold level, τ (where τ is not necessarily zero). To explore whether asymmetries exist, we estimate a non-linear version of the unobserved components model by allowing past cyclical consumption to have a different impact on the natural consumption, which depends on

---

[2] The assumption of a purely autoregressive process for the cyclical equation can be relaxed in favor of more general (and possibly more parsimonious) autoregressive moving-average specifications. In the present application, an AR(2) fits the data best according to AIC comparisons. Full results are available from the authors on request.

[3] However, as Proietti [14] states, this model is identified without this additional equation.