



A colorimetric and ratiometric fluorescence probe for rapid detection of SO₂ derivatives bisulfite and sulfite



Kaiqiang Xiang^a, Shunzhou Chang^b, Jingjing Feng^a, Changjiang Li^c, Wei Ming^a,
Ziyan Liu^a, Yunchang Liu^a, Baozhu Tian^{a,*}, Jinlong Zhang^a

^a Key Lab for Advanced Materials and Institute of Fine Chemicals, School of Chemistry & Molecular Engineering, East China University of Science and Technology, 130 Meilong Road, Shanghai, 200237, PR China

^b Research Institute of Physical and Chemical Engineering of Nuclear Industry, 168 JinTang Highroad, Tianjin, 300180, PR China

^c Department of Chemistry, Huangshan University, Huangshan, 245041, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 26 April 2016

Received in revised form

28 June 2016

Accepted 1 July 2016

Available online 2 July 2016

Keywords:

Fluorescence probe

SO₂

Bisulfite/sulfite

Ratiometric detection

High selectivity

ABSTRACT

As one of the main atmospheric pollutants, sulfur dioxide (SO₂) can be easily inhaled and turned into sulfite and bisulfite, which is harmful to both human health and ecological environment. Therefore, it is of significance to develop an effective method to detect the trace SO₂ derivatives—SO₃²⁻ and HSO₃⁻. Herein, we synthesized a semi-cyanine-coumarin hybrid dye for detection of SO₃²⁻ and HSO₃⁻. Based on the nucleophilic addition of HSO₃⁻/SO₃²⁻ to the vinyl group, Probe 1 showed high response speed, selectivity, and sensitivity towards SO₃²⁻ and HSO₃⁻. Upon addition of HSO₃⁻/SO₃²⁻, color obviously changes from blue to yellow which can be differentiated by naked eyes. Probe 1 displays colorimetric and ratiometric response toward HSO₃⁻ and SO₃²⁻. The detection limit is as low as 27.6 nM and the signal-to-background ratio in fluorescence intensity can reach 35-folds. Moreover, Probe 1 showed high selectivity and anti-interference ability in the co-existence of the environmental and biologic species.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

As one of the main atmospheric pollutants, sulfur dioxide (SO₂) can be easily inhaled and turned into sulfite and bisulfite, which is harmful to human health, cause acid rain, damage aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems [1–7]. In an aqueous environment, SO₂ usually exists in the form of its derivatives—sulfite (SO₃²⁻) and bisulfite (HSO₃⁻), which usually stand for the activity or toxicity of SO₂ in solution [8]. Epidemiological studies have proved that long term SO₂ exposure or drinking the water containing its derivatives can induce some respiratory illnesses [9], lung cancer, cardiovascular diseases [10], and neurological disorders (migraine headaches, stroke and brain cancer) [11]. Toxicological studies further confirmed that the characteristics of voltage-gated sodium channels and potassium channels in rat hippocampal neurons could be influenced by SO₂ and its derivatives [12]. In addition, SO₂ and its derivatives could also affect thiol levels and redox balance in cells [13], which produce a neuronal insult [14]. Therefore, it is of

significance to develop an effective method for detection of trace SO₂ derivatives [15–20].

Due to the advantages of non-invasiveness, high sensitivity, real-time spatial imaging, and ease of operation, fluorescent probes have become powerful tools for visualizing anions in environmental and biological systems [21,22]. So far, the fluorescence probes for detection of SO₂ derivatives are mainly based on nucleophilic reaction with aldehyde [23,24], SO₃²⁻-mediated levulinic cleavage [25,26], Michael-type additions [27,28], and coordinative interactions [29]. Despite their utility, most of these fluorescent probes are still suffered from low selectivity in the presence of biothiols (Cys or Hcy), unsatisfactory detection limit and low response speed [25,30,31]. Moreover, some fluorescence probes are based on turn-on/turn-off response, which could influence their quantitative detection because of environmental effects [24,25,30]. Recently, ratiometric fluorescent probes for detection of SO₂ derivatives based on coumarin-hemicyanine dyes have received much research attention [15,32–34]. For instance, Hu et al. reported a twisted intramolecular charge transfer probe, which showed high response speed (less than 250 S) and low detection limit (3 nM) for detection of biological SO₂ derivatives [15]. Yu et al. explored a TCF-based probe with a very low detection limit

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: baozhutian@ecust.edu.cn (B. Tian).

(0.27 nM) for detection of SO₂ derivatives [33]. Yu et al. designed a mitochondria-targeted fluorescent probe for detecting the biological SO₂ derivatives, which showed high signal-to-background ratio, fast response time (less than 60 S), and low detection limit (0.15 μM) [34]. Therefore, it is of great theoretic and realistic significances to develop a new ratiometric fluorescence probe with high sensitivity, rapidly responsive speed, and high selectivity for sensing SO₂ derivatives.

It has been reported that HSO₃[−] or SO₃^{2−} could be rapidly and quantitatively added to a,b-unsaturated compounds in aqueous solution [35]. Enlightened by this addition reaction, we hope that this reaction could be served as the foundation to design a novel fluorescence probe. Herein, we focused our attention on semi-cyanine-coumarin hybrid dyes because these dyes have typical donor-π-acceptor (D-π-A) structure, longer emission wavelength in the red (or NIR) region, and large Stokes shift from the ultrafast intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) [36–43]. In addition, this type of dyes is difficult to be inactivated in the presence of biothiols compared with other common Michael receptors [44]. Therefore, with all these considerations in mind, we designed a novel fluorescence probe (Probe 1) for detection of HSO₃[−] or SO₃^{2−} on the basis of nucleophilic addition reaction, i.e., incorporating an electron-withdrawing unit of 1H-benzo[e]indolium through a vinylene bridge to the coumarin core. We hoped that HSO₃[−] or SO₃^{2−} could break π-conjugation of coumarin-hemicyanine dyes in aqueous solution and thus block the ICT process. As a consequence, the two distinct emission peaks could be obtained after adding HSO₃[−] or SO₃^{2−}. Fortunately, the experiment results indicated that the synthesized fluorescence probe showed high sensitivity, selectivity and response speed for the ratiometric detection of HSO₃[−] or SO₃^{2−}.

2. Experimental

2.1. Synthesis

2.1.1. Synthesis of Compound 4

4-diethylamino salicylaldehyde (5.79 g, 30 mmol) was dissolved in EtOH (30.0 mL), followed by addition of diethylmalonate (9.6 mL, 60 mmol) and piperidine (1 mL). After the mixture was refluxed for 12 h, the solvent was concentrated under vacuum, extracted with CH₂Cl₂, and washed with brine. Finally, the crude product was purified by column chromatography using Petroleum/Ethyl acetate (1:1, v/v) to give a yellow solid (6.53 g, 75%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.43 (s, 1H), 7.36 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.60 (dd, *J* = 4 Hz, 1H), 6.45 (d, *J* = 4 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (m, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 3.45 (m, *J* = 8 Hz, 4H), 1.39 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 3H), 1.23 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 6H) (Fig. S1). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 164.24, 158.32, 152.86, 149.19, 131.03, 108.89, 107.65, 96.67, 61.12, 45.09, 14.38, 12.41 (Fig. S2).

2.1.2. Synthesis of Compound 3

Compound 4 (2.9 g, 10 mmol) was dissolved in 60 mL of 18% hydrochloric acid solution and refluxed for 8 h. After the mixture was cooled to room temperature, the pH value of the mixture was adjusted to 4.5 with 45% sodium hydroxide solution. The obtained yellow precipitate was filtrated, dried in vacuum, and purified by column chromatography to give Compound 3 (1.5 g, 68.7%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.55 (d, *J* = 12 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.67 (dd, *J* = 4 Hz, 1H), 6.48 (d, *J* = 4 Hz, 1H), 6.04 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 3.42 (m, *J* = 8 Hz, 4H), 1.21 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 6H) (Fig. S3). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 162.31, 156.73, 150.68, 143.74, 128.78, 109.11, 108.66, 108.25, 97.48, 44.78, 12.43 (Fig. S4).

2.1.3. Synthesis of Compound 2

POCl₃ (5 mL) was added dropwise to dry DMF (5 mL) at 0 °C. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C and room temperature for 30 min,

respectively. Then, Compound 3 (1 g, 4.6 mmol) dissolved in DMF (3 mL) was added dropwise to the above solution. After the mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 3 h, it was poured into ice water. Finally, the precipitate was filtered, thoroughly washed with water, and dried in vacuum to obtain an orange solid (720 mg, 64%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 10.11 (s, 1H), 8.24 (s, 1H), 7.42 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (dd, *J* = 4 Hz, 1H), 6.48 (d, *J* = 4 Hz, 1H), 6.04 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 3.49 (m, *J* = 8 Hz, 4H), 1.26 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 6H) (Fig. S5). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 187.87, 161.87, 158.90, 153.50, 145.40, 132.53, 114.15, 110.24, 108.19, 97.08, 45.28, 12.44 (Fig. S6).

2.1.4. Synthesis of probe 1

Compound 2 (100 mg, 0.41 mmol), 1H-benzo[e]indolium (150 mg, 0.41 mmol) and NH₄OAc (77 mg, 1 mmol) were added to EtOH (10 mL). Then, the mixture was refluxed for 3 h to give a blue precipitate. Finally, the crude product was filtered, thoroughly washed with EtOH, and further dried in vacuum to attain a blue solid (105 mg, 42%), denoted as Probe 1. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 10.08 (s, 1H), 8.70 (d, *J* = 16 Hz, 1H), 8.24 (d, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 8.12 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 8.06 (dd, *J* = 12 Hz, 2H), 7.74 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (t, *J* = 12 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.68 (dd, *J* = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.45 (d, *J* = 4 Hz, 1H), 4.94 (m, *J* = 8 Hz, 2H), 3.51 (m, *J* = 8 Hz, 4H), 2.13 (s, 6H), 1.68 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 3H), 1.28 (t, *J* = 8 Hz, 6H) (Fig. S7). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 181.32, 161.13, 158.64, 154.40, 149.74, 138.09, 137.72, 131.55, 130.32, 128.52, 127.58, 127.08, 122.72, 112.55, 111.49, 110.93, 110.91, 108.26, 96.74, 53.43, 45.61, 43.08, 27.43, 14.28, 12.63 (Fig. S8). HRMS (ESI): calcd. For [C₃₁H₃₃O₂N₂I-I]⁺ 465.2542; found 465.2542 (Fig. S9).

2.2. Characterization

¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AM400 NMR spectrometer with TMS as internal standard. HRMS was conducted on a Waters LCT Premier XE spectrometer. Absorption spectra were measured with a SHIMADZU UV-2450 spectrophotometer at room temperature. The Fluorescence spectra were obtained on a SHIMADZU RF-5301PC fluorescence spectrophotometer by using 490 nm line of Xe lamp as excitation source at room temperature.

3. Result and discussion

3.1. Synthesis route

The synthetic route of Probe 1 is illustrated in Scheme 1. Firstly, Compound 4 was synthesized by the condensation reaction between 4-diethylamino salicylaldehyde and diethylmalonate. Then, Compound 3 and Compound 2 were obtained by the decarboxylation and Vilsmeier-Haack reaction. Finally, Probe 1 was obtained by the condensation reaction in EtOH. The structures of Compound 4, Compound 3, and Compound 2, and Probe 1 were confirmed by NMR and HRMS spectroscopy (Supporting information, Figs. S1–S9).

3.2. Absorption and fluorescence spectra

Fig. 1 shows the time dependent absorption and fluorescence emission spectra of Probe 1 after adding HSO₃[−] in DMF/PBS buffer solution (50/50, v/v, pH = 7.4, 20 mM). In absence of HSO₃[−], Probe 1 (10 μM) displays a strong absorption band at 588 nm, together with a low and broad band in the range of 350–470 nm (Fig. 1A). The former should be attributed to the conjugated D-π-A structure of Probe 1 (coumarin core: electron donor; 1H-benzo[e]indolium: electron acceptor), while the later should result from both benzo[e]indolium and coumarin structures. The fluorescence emission

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/175249>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/175249>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)